

Appendix 4
**Air Quality, Energy, and Greenhouse
Gas Evaluation**

Magnolia Ranch Residential Project
Initial Study

**AIR QUALITY, ENERGY, AND GREENHOUSE GAS
EMISSIONS IMPACT ANALYSIS
MAGNOLIA RANCH RESIDENTIAL PROJECT
CITY OF EASTVALE**

Lead Agency:

City of Eastvale
12363 Limonite Street
Eastvale, CA 91752

Prepared by:

Vista Environmental
1021 Didrickson Way
Laguna Beach, CA 92651
949 510 5355
Greg Tonkovich, AICP

Project No. 21003

July 26, 2022

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	Introduction	1
	1.1 Purpose of Analysis and Study Objectives	1
	1.2 Site Locations and Study Area.....	1
	1.3 Proposed Project Description	1
	1.4 Executive Summary.....	2
	1.5 Mitigation Measures for the Proposed Project	3
2.0	Air Pollutants.....	6
	2.1 Criteria Pollutants and Ozone Precursors.....	6
	2.2 Other Pollutants of Concern	8
3.0	Greenhouse Gases	10
	3.1 Greenhouse Gases	10
	3.2 Global Warming Potential.....	12
	3.3 Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventory.....	13
4.0	Air Quality Management	14
	4.1 Federal – United States Environmental Protection Agency.....	14
	4.2 State – California Air Resources Board	17
	4.3 Regional – Southern California	18
	4.4 Local – City of Eastvale.....	21
5.0	Energy Conservation Management	23
	5.1 State	23
	5.2 Local – City of Eastvale.....	25
6.0	Global Climate Change Management.....	27
	6.1 International	27
	6.2 Federal – United States Environmental Protection Agency.....	27
	6.3 State	28
	6.4 Regional – Southern California	33
	6.5 Local – City of Eastvale.....	34
7.0	Atmospheric Setting	35
	7.1 South Coast Air Basin	35
	7.2 Local Climate	35
	7.3 Monitored Local Air Quality.....	36
	7.4 Toxic Air Contaminant Levels in the Air Basin	38
8.0	Modeling Parameters and Assumptions.....	40
	8.1 CalEEMod Model Input Parameters	40
	8.2 Energy Use Calculations.....	44
9.0	Thresholds of Significance.....	48

TABLE OF CONTENTS CONTINUED

9.1 Regional Air Quality	48
9.2 Local Air Quality	48
9.3 Toxic Air Contaminants	50
9.4 Odor Impacts.....	50
9.5 Energy Conservation	51
9.6 Greenhouse Gas Emissions	51
10.0 Impact Analysis	53
10.1 CEQA Thresholds of Significance	53
10.2 Air Quality Compliance	53
10.3 Cumulative Net Increase in Non-Attainment Pollution	55
10.4 Sensitive Receptors.....	61
10.5 Odor Emissions	63
10.6 Energy Consumption.....	65
10.7 Energy Plan Consistency	69
10.8 Generation of Greenhouse Gas Emissions.....	70
10.9 Greenhouse Gas Plan Consistency.....	71
11.0 References.....	72

APPENDICES

Appendix A – CalEEMod Model Daily Printouts

Appendix B – EMFAC2017 Model Printouts

Appendix C – CalEEMod Model Annual Printouts

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1 – Project Local Study Area	4
Figure 2 – Proposed Site Plan	5

LIST OF TABLES

Table A – Global Warming Potentials, Atmospheric Lifetimes and Abundances of GHGs	12
Table B – State and Federal Criteria Pollutant Standards.....	14
Table C – National Air Quality Standards Attainment Status – South Coast Air Basin	16
Table D – California Ambient Air Quality Standards Attainment Status – South Coast Air Basin	17
Table E – Monthly Climate Data	36
Table F – Local Area Air Quality Monitoring Summary.....	37
Table G – CalEEMod Land Use Parameters.....	40
Table H – Off-Road Equipment and Fuel Consumption from Construction of the Proposed Project	45
Table I – On-Road Vehicle Trips and Fuel Consumption from Construction of the Proposed Project	46
Table J – SCAQMD Regional Criteria Pollutant Emission Thresholds of Significance	48
Table K – Construction Equipment Modeled in CalEEMod and Acres Disturbed per Day.....	49
Table L – SCAQMD Local Air Quality Thresholds of Significance	50
Table M – Construction-Related Regional Criteria Pollutant Emissions.....	56
Table N – Construction-Related Local Criteria Pollutant Emissions	57
Table O – Operational Regional Criteria Pollutant Emissions.....	58
Table P – Operations-Related Local Criteria Pollutant Emissions.....	61
Table Q – Proposed Project Compliance with Applicable General Plan Energy Policies	69
Table R – Project Related Greenhouse Gas Annual Emissions	70
Table S – Proposed Project Compliance with Applicable General Plan GHG Policies	71

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

AB	Assembly Bill
AQMP	Air Quality Management Plan
BACT	Best Available Control Technology
BSFC	Brake Specific Fuel Consumption
CAAQS	California Ambient Air Quality Standards
CalEEMod	California Emissions Estimator Model
CalEPA	California Environmental Protection Agency
CAPCOA	California Air Pollution Control Officers Association
CARB	California Air Resources Board
CEC	California Energy Commission
CEQA	California Environmental Quality Act
CFCs	chlorofluorocarbons
Cf ₄	tetrafluoromethane
C ₂ F ₆	hexafluoroethane
CH ₄	Methane
City	City of Eastvale
CO	Carbon monoxide
CO ₂	Carbon dioxide
CO ₂ e	Carbon dioxide equivalent
DPM	Diesel particulate matter
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
°F	Fahrenheit
FTIP	Federal Transportation Improvement Program
GHG	Greenhouse gas
GWP	Global warming potential
HAP	Hazardous Air Pollutants
HFCs	Hydrofluorocarbons
IPCC	International Panel on Climate Change
kWhr	kilowatt-hour
LCFS	Low Carbon Fuel Standard
LST	Localized Significant Thresholds

MATES	Multiple Air Toxics Exposure Study
MMTCO _{2e}	Million metric tons of carbon dioxide equivalent
MPO	Metropolitan Planning Organization
MWh	Megawatt-hour
NAAQS	National Ambient Air Quality Standards
NO _x	Nitrogen oxides
NO ₂	Nitrogen dioxide
OPR	Office of Planning and Research
Pfc	Perfluorocarbons
PM	Particle matter
PM ₁₀	Particles that are less than 10 micrometers in diameter
PM _{2.5}	Particles that are less than 2.5 micrometers in diameter
PPM	Parts per million
PPB	Parts per billion
PPT	Parts per trillion
RSP	Renaissance Specific Plan
RTIP	Regional Transportation Improvement Plan
RTP/SCS	Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy
SB	Senate Bill
SCAQMD	South Coast Air Quality Management District
SCAG	Southern California Association of Governments
SF ₆	Sulfur Hexafluoride
SIP	State Implementation Plan
SO _x	Sulfur oxides
TAC	Toxic air contaminants
UNFCCC	United Nations' Framework Convention on Climate Change
VOC	Volatile organic compounds

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Purpose of Analysis and Study Objectives

This Air Quality, Energy, and Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Emissions Impact Analysis has been completed to determine the air quality, energy, and GHG emissions impacts associated with the proposed Magnolia Ranch Residential project (proposed project). The following is provided in this report:

- A description of the proposed project;
- A description of the atmospheric setting;
- A description of the criteria pollutants and GHGs;
- A description of the air quality regulatory framework;
- A description of the energy conservation regulatory framework;
- A description of the GHG emissions regulatory framework;
- A description of the air quality, energy, and GHG emissions thresholds including the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) significance thresholds;
- An analysis of the conformity of the proposed project with the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) Air Quality Management Plan (AQMP);
- An analysis of the short-term construction related and long-term operational air quality, energy, and GHG emissions impacts; and
- An analysis of the conformity of the proposed project with all applicable energy and GHG emissions reduction plans and policies.

1.2 Site Locations and Study Area

The project site is located in the City of Eastvale (City). The approximately 10.0 gross acre project site is relatively flat and currently includes a ranch house with barn and associated fencing and facilities for livestock/horses. The project site is bounded by Schleisman Road to the north, Eastvale Elementary School to the east, Orange Street and River Heights Intermediate School to the south and single-family homes to the west. The project local study area is shown in Figure 1.

Sensitive Receptors in Project Vicinity

The nearest sensitive receptors to the project site are the single-family homes that are located as near as 25 feet west of the project site. The nearest structure at Eastvale Elementary School is a covered lunch area that is as near as 25 feet east of the project site.

1.3 Proposed Project Description

The proposed project would consist of a residential development on 8.28 net acres that would include 41 detached single-family homes with approximately 22,000 square feet of common open space. New sidewalks will be provided along the north side of Orange Street, a walkway will run in a north-south direction adjacent to the east property line, and a walkway will run in an east-west direction through the common open space area. The proposed project would also include development of an internal road system and road improvements to the portion of Orange Street that is adjacent to the project site. It

should be noted that the project applicant is proposing to pay TUMF fees in lieu of road improvements to the portion of Schleisman Road that is adjacent to the project site, however in order to provide a conservative analysis, it has been assumed that this area will be graded as part of the proposed project. The proposed site plan is shown in Figure 2.

1.4 Executive Summary

Standard Air Quality, Energy, and GHG Regulatory Conditions

The proposed project will be required to comply with the following regulatory conditions from the SCAQMD and State of California (State).

South Coast Air Quality Management District Rules

The following lists the SCAQMD rules that are applicable, but not limited to the proposed project.

- Rule 402 Nuisance – Controls the emissions of odors and other air contaminants;
- Rule 403 Fugitive Dust – Controls the emissions of fugitive dust;
- Rule 445 Wood Burning Devices – Controls the emissions from fire places and fire pits;
- Rules 1108 and 1108.1 Cutback and Emulsified Asphalt – Controls the VOC content in asphalt;
- Rule 1113 Architectural Coatings – Controls the VOC content in paints and solvents;
- Rule 1143 Paint Thinners – Controls the VOC content in paint thinners; and
- Rule 1403 Asbestos Removal – Provides procedures for asbestos removal from buildings.

State of California Rules

The following lists the State of California Code of Regulations (CCR) air quality emission rules that are applicable, but not limited to the proposed project.

- CCR Title 13, Article 4.8, Chapter 9, Section 2449 – In use Off-Road Diesel Vehicles;
- CCR Title 13, Section 2025 – On-Road Diesel Truck Fleets;
- CCR Title 24 Part 6 – California Building Energy Standards; and
- CCR Title 24 Part 11 – California Green Building Standards.

Summary of Analysis Results

The following is a summary of the proposed project’s impacts with regard to the State CEQA Guidelines air quality, energy, and GHG emissions checklist questions.

Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?

Less than significant impact.

Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable Federal or State ambient air quality standard?

Less than significant impact.

Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?

Less than significant impact.

Result in other emissions (such as those leading to odors) adversely affecting a substantial number of people?

Less than significant impact.

Result in potentially significant environmental impact due to wasteful, inefficient, or unnecessary consumption of energy resources, during project construction or operation;

Less than significant impact.

Conflict with or obstruct a state or local plan for renewable energy;

Less than significant impact.

Generate GHG emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?


Less than significant impact.

Conflict with any applicable plan, policy or regulation of an agency adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of GHGs?

Less than significant impact.


1.5 Mitigation Measures for the Proposed Project

This analysis found that implementation of the State and SCAQMD air quality, energy, and GHG emissions reductions regulations were adequate to limit criteria pollutants, toxic air contaminants, odors, energy, and GHG emissions from the proposed project to less than significant levels. No mitigation measures are required for the proposed project with respect to air quality, energy, and GHG emissions.



SOURCE: Google Maps.


Figure 1
Project Local Study Area



VICINITY MAP
N.T.S.

SITE STATISTICS
 SCALE 50' PER 1" = 50'
 DELEGABLE AREA: 62.96 ACRES
 TOTAL LOTS: 41 HOMES

PLAN TYPE
 PLAN 4514 - 11 LOTS
 PLAN 4528 - 15 LOTS



LEGAL DESCRIPTION
 TRACT 107, PART OF THE COUNTY OF BERKELEY, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AS SHOWN BY MAP ON FILE IN BOOK 12, PAGE 6 OF MAPS, SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY RECORDS.

ASSESSOR'S PARCEL NO.
 152-400-003

SITE ADDRESS
 1548 ORANGE STREET
 COSTA MESA, CA 92626

DEVELOPER
 M.C. HOLDINGS, INC.
 1548 ORANGE STREET, SUITE 310
 COSTA MESA, CA 92626
 (949) 377-3310


OWNER
 KRANE A. KORSNER AS TRUSTEE
 KRANE A. KORSNER TRUST
 3101 BOULDER
 SOUTH EL MONTALE, CA 91733

ENGINEER
 ALLARD ENGINEERING
 1548 NORTH MAPLE STREET
 COSTA MESA, CALIFORNIA 92626
 PHONE (949) 358-1815
 FAX (949) 358-1795


BENCHMARK
 BERKELEY COUNTY BENCHMARK "M-34-1, RESET 2000"
 3" ALUMINUM DISK LOCATED IN THE TOP OF SOUPERY CURB, 10' FROM THE EOR AT THE INTERSECTION OF SUMNER AVENUE AND ORANGE STREET.

BASIS OF BEARINGS
 BASED ON THE CENTERLINE OF SCHLEISMAN ROAD PER TRACT NO. 32125, MB 412/72-15
 BEING N 89°31'33" E



SOILS ENGINEER
 GEO. TEK, INC.
 1548 NORTH MAPLE STREET
 COSTA MESA, CA 92626
 PHONE (949) 358-1815
 FAX (949) 358-1795



SCHLEISMAN ROAD - 152' R/W
N.T.S.



ORANGE STREET - 74' R/W
N.T.S.



ORANGE STREET

SOURCE: Allard Engineering.



Figure 2
Proposed Site Plan

2.0 AIR POLLUTANTS

Air pollutants are generally classified as either criteria pollutants or non-criteria pollutants. Federal ambient air quality standards have been established for criteria pollutants, whereas no ambient standards have been established for non-criteria pollutants. For some criteria pollutants, separate standards have been set for different periods. Most standards have been set to protect public health. For some pollutants, standards have been based on other values (such as protection of crops, protection of materials, or avoidance of nuisance conditions). A summary of federal and state ambient air quality standards is provided in the Regulatory Framework section.

2.1 Criteria Pollutants and Ozone Precursors

The criteria pollutants consist of: ozone, nitrogen oxides (NO_x), CO, sulfur oxides (SO_x), lead, and particulate matter (PM). The ozone precursors consist of NO_x and VOC. These pollutants can harm your health and the environment, and cause property damage. The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) calls these pollutants “criteria” air pollutants because it regulates them by developing human health-based and/or environmentally-based criteria for setting permissible levels. The following provides descriptions of each of the criteria pollutants and ozone precursors.

Nitrogen Oxides

NO_x is the generic term for a group of highly reactive gases which contain nitrogen and oxygen. While most NO_x are colorless and odorless, concentrations of nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) can often be seen as a reddish-brown layer over many urban areas. NO_x form when fuel is burned at high temperatures, as in a combustion process. The primary manmade sources of NO_x are motor vehicles, electric utilities, and other industrial, commercial, and residential sources that burn fuel. NO_x reacts with other pollutants to form, ground-level ozone, nitrate particles, acid aerosols, as well as NO₂, which cause respiratory problems. NO_x and the pollutants formed from NO_x can be transported over long distances, following the patterns of prevailing winds. Therefore, controlling NO_x is often most effective if done from a regional perspective, rather than focusing on the nearest sources.

Ozone

Ozone is not usually emitted directly into the air, instead it is created by a chemical reaction between NO_x and VOC in the presence of sunlight. Motor vehicle exhaust, industrial emissions, gasoline vapors, chemical solvents as well as natural sources emit NO_x and VOC that help form ozone. Ground-level ozone is the primary constituent of smog. Sunlight and hot weather cause ground-level ozone to form with the greatest concentrations usually occurring downwind from urban areas. Ozone is subsequently considered a regional pollutant. Ground-level ozone is a respiratory irritant and an oxidant that increases susceptibility to respiratory infections and can cause substantial damage to vegetation and other materials. Because NO_x and VOC are ozone precursors, the health effects associated with ozone are also indirect health effects associated with significant levels of NO_x and VOC emissions.

Carbon Monoxide

Carbon monoxide (CO) is a colorless, odorless gas that is formed when carbon in fuel is not burned completely. It is a component of motor vehicle exhaust, which contributes approximately 56 percent of all CO emissions nationwide. In cities, 85 to 95 percent of all CO emissions may come from motor vehicle exhaust. Other sources of CO emissions include industrial processes (such as metals processing and chemical manufacturing), residential wood burning, and natural sources such as forest fires. Woodstoves,

gas stoves, cigarette smoke, and unvented gas and kerosene space heaters are indoor sources of CO. The highest levels of CO in the outside air typically occur during the colder months of the year when inversion conditions are more frequent. The air pollution becomes trapped near the ground beneath a layer of warm air. CO is described as having only a local influence because it dissipates quickly. Since CO concentrations are strongly associated with motor vehicle emissions, high CO concentrations generally occur in the immediate vicinity of roadways with high traffic volumes and traffic congestion, active parking lots, and in automobile tunnels. Areas adjacent to heavily traveled and congested intersections are particularly susceptible to high CO concentrations.

CO is a public health concern because it combines readily with hemoglobin and thus reduces the amount of oxygen transported in the bloodstream. The health threat from lower levels of CO is most serious for those who suffer from heart disease such as angina, clogged arteries, or congestive heart failure. For a person with heart disease, a single exposure to CO at low levels may cause chest pain and reduce that person's ability to exercise; repeated exposures may contribute to other cardiovascular effects. High levels of CO can affect even healthy people. People who breathe high levels of CO can develop vision problems, reduced ability to work or learn, reduced manual dexterity, and difficulty performing complex tasks. At extremely high levels, CO is poisonous and can cause death.

Sulfur Oxides

SOx gases are formed when fuel containing sulfur, such as coal and oil is burned, as well as from the refining of gasoline. SOx dissolves easily in water vapor to form acid and interacts with other gases and particles in the air to form sulfates and other products that can be harmful to people and the environment.

Lead

Lead is a metal found naturally in the environment as well as manufactured products. The major sources of lead emissions have historically been motor vehicles and industrial sources. Due to the phase out of leaded gasoline, metal processing is now the primary source of lead emissions to the air. High levels of lead in the air are typically only found near lead smelters, waste incinerators, utilities, and lead-acid battery manufacturers. Exposure of fetuses, infants and children to low levels of lead can adversely affect the development and function of the central nervous system, leading to learning disorders, distractibility, inability to follow simple commands, and lower intelligence quotient. In adults, increased lead levels are associated with increased blood pressure.

Particulate Matter

PM is the term for a mixture of solid particles and liquid droplets found in the air. PM is made up of a number of components including acids (such as nitrates and sulfates), organic chemicals, metals, and soil or dust particles. The size of particles is directly linked to their potential for causing health problems. Particles that are less than 10 micrometers in diameter (PM10) that are also known as *Respirable Particulate Matter* are the particles that generally pass through the throat and nose and enter the lungs. Once inhaled, these particles can affect the heart and lungs and cause serious health effects. Particles that are less than 2.5 micrometers in diameter (PM2.5) that are also known as *Fine Particulate Matter* have been designated as a subset of PM10 due to their increased negative health impacts and its ability to remain suspended in the air longer and travel further.

Volatile Organic Compounds

Hydrocarbons are organic gases that are formed from hydrogen and carbon and sometimes other elements. Hydrocarbons that contribute to formation of ozone are referred to and regulated as VOCs (also

referred to as reactive organic gases). Combustion engine exhaust, oil refineries, and fossil-fueled power plants are the sources of hydrocarbons. Other sources of hydrocarbons include evaporation from petroleum fuels, solvents, dry cleaning solutions, and paint.

VOC is not classified as a criteria pollutant, since VOCs by themselves are not a known source of adverse health effects. The primary health effects of VOCs result from the formation of ozone and its related health effects. High levels of VOCs in the atmosphere can interfere with oxygen intake by reducing the amount of available oxygen through displacement. Carcinogenic forms of hydrocarbons, such as benzene, are considered TACs. There are no separate health standards for VOCs as a group.

2.2 Other Pollutants of Concern

Toxic Air Contaminants

In addition to the above-listed criteria pollutants, TACs are another group of pollutants of concern. TACs is a term that is defined under the California Clean Air Act and consists of the same substances that are defined as Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAPs) in the Federal Clean Air Act. There are over 700 hundred different types of TACs with varying degrees of toxicity. Sources of TACs include industrial processes such as petroleum refining and chrome plating operations, commercial operations such as gasoline stations and dry cleaners, and motor vehicle exhaust. Cars and trucks release at least 40 different toxic air contaminants. The most important of these TACs, in terms of health risk, are diesel particulates, benzene, formaldehyde, 1,3-butadiene, and acetaldehyde. Public exposure to TACs can result from emissions from normal operations as well as from accidental releases. Health effects of TACs include cancer, birth defects, neurological damage, and death.

TACs are less pervasive in the urban atmosphere than criteria air pollutants, however they are linked to short-term (acute) or long-term (chronic or carcinogenic) adverse human health effects. There are hundreds of different types of TACs with varying degrees of toxicity. Sources of TACs include industrial processes, commercial operations (e.g., gasoline stations and dry cleaners), and motor vehicle exhaust.

According to *The California Almanac of Emissions and Air Quality 2013 Edition*, the majority of the estimated health risk from TACs can be attributed to relatively few compounds, the most important of which is diesel particulate matter (DPM). DPM is a subset of PM_{2.5} because the size of diesel particles are typically 2.5 microns and smaller. The identification of DPM as a TAC in 1998 led the California Air Resources Board (CARB) to adopt the Risk Reduction Plan to Reduce Particulate Matter Emissions from Diesel-fueled Engines and Vehicles in September 2000. The plan's goals are a 75-percent reduction in DPM by 2010 and an 85-percent reduction by 2020 from the 2000 baseline. Diesel engines emit a complex mixture of air pollutants, composed of gaseous and solid material. The visible emissions in diesel exhaust are known as particulate matter or PM, which includes carbon particles or "soot." Diesel exhaust also contains a variety of harmful gases and over 40 other cancer-causing substances. California's identification of DPM as a toxic air contaminant was based on its potential to cause cancer, premature deaths, and other health problems. Exposure to DPM is a health hazard, particularly to children whose lungs are still developing and the elderly who may have other serious health problems. Overall, diesel engine emissions are responsible for the majority of California's potential airborne cancer risk from combustion sources.

Asbestos

Asbestos is listed as a TAC by CARB and as a HAP by the EPA. Asbestos occurs naturally in mineral formations and crushing or breaking these rocks, through construction or other means, can release asbestiform fibers into the air. Asbestos emissions can result from the sale or use of asbestos-containing materials, road surfacing with such materials, grading activities, and surface mining. The risk of disease is dependent upon the intensity and duration of exposure. When inhaled, asbestos fibers may remain in the lungs and with time may be linked to such diseases as asbestosis, lung cancer, and mesothelioma. The nearest likely locations of naturally occurring asbestos, as identified in the *General Location Guide for Ultramafic Rocks in California*, prepared by the California Division of Mines and Geology, is located in Santa Barbara County. The nearest historic asbestos mine to the project site, as identified in the *Reported Historic Asbestos Mines, Historic Asbestos Prospects, and Other Natural Occurrences of Asbestos in California*, prepared by U.S. Geological Survey, is located at Asbestos Mountain, which is approximately 40 miles southeast of the project site in the San Jacinto Mountains. Due to the distance to the nearest natural occurrences of asbestos, the project site is not likely to contain asbestos.

In addition to naturally occurring asbestos, asbestos was used extensively in building construction from the early 1940s through the 1970s as highly-effective and inexpensive fire-retardant material and thermal and acoustic insulator. Asbestos is most commonly found as thermal insulation on pipes, but also may be found in certain types of floor and ceiling tiles. There are two types of asbestos: "friable" and "non-friable." Friable asbestos generally contains more than 1 percent asbestos by weight or area, and can be crumbled, pulverized, or reduced to powder by the pressure of an ordinary human hand, which releases fibers. Non friable asbestos generally contains more than 1 percent asbestos but cannot be pulverized under hand pressure and generally does not release asbestos fibers. The analysis of asbestos from demolition of the existing structures is provided below in Section 10.4.

3.0 GREENHOUSE GASES

3.1 Greenhouse Gases

Constituent gases of the Earth's atmosphere, called atmospheric GHGs, play a critical role in the Earth's radiation amount by trapping infrared radiation from the Earth's surface, which otherwise would have escaped to space. Prominent greenhouse gases contributing to this process include carbon dioxide (CO₂), methane (CH₄), ozone, water vapor, nitrous oxide (N₂O), and chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs). This phenomenon, known as the Greenhouse Effect, is responsible for maintaining a habitable climate. Anthropogenic (caused or produced by humans) emissions of these greenhouse gases in excess of natural ambient concentrations are responsible for the enhancement of the Greenhouse Effect and have led to a trend of unnatural warming of the Earth's natural climate, known as global warming or climate change. Emissions of gases that induce global warming are attributable to human activities associated with industrial/manufacturing, agriculture, utilities, transportation, and residential land uses. Emissions of CO₂ and N₂O are byproducts of fossil fuel combustion. Methane, a potent greenhouse gas, results from off-gassing associated with agricultural practices and landfills. Sinks of CO₂, where CO₂ is stored outside of the atmosphere, include uptake by vegetation and dissolution into the ocean. The following provides a description of each of the greenhouse gases and their global warming potential.

Water Vapor

Water vapor is the most abundant, important, and variable GHG in the atmosphere. Water vapor is not considered a pollutant; in the atmosphere it maintains a climate necessary for life. Changes in its concentration are primarily considered a result of climate feedbacks related to the warming of the atmosphere rather than a direct result of industrialization. The feedback loop in which water is involved is critically important to projecting future climate change. As the temperature of the atmosphere rises, more water is evaporated from ground storage (rivers, oceans, reservoirs, soil). Because the air is warmer, the relative humidity can be higher (in essence, the air is able to "hold" more water when it is warmer), leading to more water vapor in the atmosphere. As a GHG, the higher concentration of water vapor is then able to absorb more thermal indirect energy radiated from the Earth, thus further warming the atmosphere. The warmer atmosphere can then hold more water vapor and so on and so on. This is referred to as a "positive feedback loop." The extent to which this positive feedback loop will continue is unknown as there is also dynamics that put the positive feedback loop in check. As an example, when water vapor increases in the atmosphere, more of it will eventually also condense into clouds, which are more able to reflect incoming solar radiation (thus allowing less energy to reach the Earth's surface and heat it up).

Carbon Dioxide

The natural production and absorption of CO₂ is achieved through the terrestrial biosphere and the ocean. However, humankind has altered the natural carbon cycle by burning coal, oil, natural gas, and wood. Since the industrial revolution began in the mid-1700s, each of these activities has increased in scale and distribution. CO₂ was the first GHG demonstrated to be increasing in atmospheric concentration with the first conclusive measurements being made in the last half of the 20th century. Prior to the industrial revolution, concentrations were fairly stable at 280 parts per million (ppm). The International Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) indicates that concentrations were 379 ppm in 2005, an increase of more than 30 percent. Left unchecked, the IPCC projects that concentration of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere is projected to increase to a minimum of 540 ppm by 2100 as a direct result of anthropogenic sources. This

could result in an average global temperature rise of at least two degrees Celsius or 3.6 degrees Fahrenheit.

Methane

CH₄ is an extremely effective absorber of radiation, although its atmospheric concentration is less than that of CO₂. Its lifetime in the atmosphere is brief (10 to 12 years), compared to some other GHGs (such as CO₂, N₂O, and CFCs). CH₄ has both natural and anthropogenic sources. It is released as part of the biological processes in low oxygen environments, such as in swamplands or in rice production (at the roots of the plants). Over the last 50 years, human activities such as growing rice, raising cattle, using natural gas, and mining coal have added to the atmospheric concentration of methane. Other anthropocentric sources include fossil-fuel combustion and biomass burning.

Nitrous Oxide

Concentrations of N₂O also began to rise at the beginning of the industrial revolution. In 1998, the global concentration of this GHG was documented at 314 parts per billion (ppb). N₂O is produced by microbial processes in soil and water, including those reactions which occur in fertilizer containing nitrogen. In addition to agricultural sources, some industrial processes (fossil fuel-fired power plants, nylon production, nitric acid production, and vehicle emissions) also contribute to its atmospheric load. N₂O is also commonly used as an aerosol spray propellant (i.e., in whipped cream bottles, in potato chip bags to keep chips fresh, and in rocket engines and race cars).

Chlorofluorocarbons

CFCs are gases formed synthetically by replacing all hydrogen atoms in methane or ethane with chlorine and/or fluorine atoms. CFCs are nontoxic, nonflammable, insoluble, and chemically unreactive in the troposphere (the level of air at the Earth's surface). CFCs have no natural source, but were first synthesized in 1928. They were used for refrigerants, aerosol propellants, and cleaning solvents. Due to the discovery that they are able to destroy stratospheric ozone, a global effort to halt their production was undertaken and in 1989 the European Community agreed to ban CFCs by 2000 and subsequent treaties banned CFCs worldwide by 2010. This effort was extremely successful, and the levels of the major CFCs are now remaining level or declining. However, their long atmospheric lifetimes mean that some of the CFCs will remain in the atmosphere for over 100 years.

Hydrofluorocarbons

Hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs) are synthetic man-made chemicals that are used as a substitute for CFCs. Out of all the GHGs, they are one of three groups with the highest global warming potential. The HFCs with the largest measured atmospheric abundances are (in order), HFC-23 (CHF₃), HFC-134a (CF₃CH₂F), and HFC-152a (CH₃CHF₂). Prior to 1990, the only significant emissions were HFC-23. HFC-134a use is increasing due to its use as a refrigerant. Concentrations of HFC-23 and HFC-134a in the atmosphere are now about 10 parts per trillion (ppt) each. Concentrations of HFC-152a are about 1 ppt. HFCs are manmade for applications such as automobile air conditioners and refrigerants.

Perfluorocarbons

Perfluorocarbons (PFCs) have stable molecular structures and do not break down through the chemical processes in the lower atmosphere. High-energy ultraviolet rays about 60 kilometers above Earth's surface are able to destroy the compounds. Because of this, PFCs have very long lifetimes, between 10,000 and 50,000 years. Two common PFCs are tetrafluoromethane (CF₄) and hexafluoroethane (C₂F₆).

Concentrations of CF₄ in the atmosphere are over 70 ppt. The two main sources of PFCs are primary aluminum production and semiconductor manufacturing.

Sulfur Hexafluoride

Sulfur Hexafluoride (SF₆) is an inorganic, odorless, colorless, nontoxic, nonflammable gas. SF₆ has the highest global warming potential of any gas evaluated; 23,900 times that of CO₂. Concentrations in the 1990s were about 4 ppt. Sulfur hexafluoride is used for insulation in electric power transmission and distribution equipment, in the magnesium industry, in semiconductor manufacturing, and as a tracer gas for leak detection.

Aerosols

Aerosols are particles emitted into the air through burning biomass (plant material) and fossil fuels. Aerosols can warm the atmosphere by absorbing and emitting heat and can cool the atmosphere by reflecting light. Cloud formation can also be affected by aerosols. Sulfate aerosols are emitted when fuel containing sulfur is burned. Black carbon (or soot) is emitted during biomass burning due to the incomplete combustion of fossil fuels. Particulate matter regulation has been lowering aerosol concentrations in the United States; however, global concentrations are likely increasing.

3.2 Global Warming Potential

GHGs have varying global warming potential (GWP). The GWP is the potential of a gas or aerosol to trap heat in the atmosphere; it is the cumulative radiative forcing effects of a gas over a specified time horizon resulting from the emission of a unit mass of gas relative to the reference gas, CO₂. The GHGs listed by the IPCC and the CEQA Guidelines are discussed in this section in order of abundance in the atmosphere. Water vapor, the most abundant GHG, is not included in this list because its natural concentrations and fluctuations far outweigh its anthropogenic (human-made) sources. To simplify reporting and analysis, GHGs are commonly defined in terms of their GWP. The IPCC defines the GWP of various GHG emissions on a normalized scale that recasts all GHG emissions in terms of CO₂ equivalent (CO₂e). As such, the GWP of CO₂ is equal to 1. The GWP values used in this analysis are based on the 2007 IPCC Fourth Assessment Report, which are used in CARB's 2014 Scoping Plan Update and the CalEEMod Model Version 2020.4.0 and are detailed in Table A. The IPCC has updated the Global Warming Potentials of some gases in their Fifth Assessment Report, however the new values have not yet been incorporated into the CalEEMod model that has been utilized in this analysis.

Table A – Global Warming Potentials, Atmospheric Lifetimes and Abundances of GHGs

Gas	Atmospheric Lifetime (years) ¹	Global Warming Potential (100 Year Horizon) ²	Atmospheric Abundance
Carbon Dioxide (CO ₂)	50-200	1	379 ppm
Methane (CH ₄)	9-15	25	1,774 ppb
Nitrous Oxide (N ₂ O)	114	298	319 ppb
HFC-23	270	14,800	18 ppt
HFC-134a	14	1,430	35 ppt
HFC-152a	1.4	124	3.9 ppt
PFC: Tetrafluoromethane (CF ₄)	50,000	7,390	74 ppt
PFC: Hexafluoroethane (C ₂ F ₆)	10,000	12,200	2.9 ppt
Sulfur Hexafluoride (SF ₆)	3,200	22,800	5.6 ppt

Notes:

¹ Defined as the half-life of the gas.

² Compared to the same quantity of CO₂ emissions and is based on the Intergovernmental Panel On Climate Change (IPCC) 2007 standard, which is utilized in CalEEMod (Version 2020.4.0), that is used in this report (CalEEMod User Guide, May 2021).

Definitions: ppm = parts per million; ppb = parts per billion; ppt = parts per trillion

Source: IPCC 2007, EPA 2015

3.3 Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventory

According to the Carbon Dioxide Information Analysis Center¹, 9,855 million metric tons (MMT) of CO₂e emissions were created globally in the year 2014. According to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), the breakdown of global GHG emissions by sector consists of: 25 percent from electricity and heat production; 21 percent from industry; 24 percent from agriculture, forestry and other land use activities; 14 percent from transportation; 6 percent from building energy use; and 10 percent from all other sources of energy use².

According to *Inventory of U.S. Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Sinks 1990-2019*, prepared by EPA, in 2019 total U.S. GHG emissions were 6,558 million metric tons (MMT) of CO₂e emissions. Total U.S. emissions have increased by 4 percent between 1990 and 2016 and GHG emissions decreased by 13 percent between 2005 and 2019. The recent decrease in GHG emissions was a result of multiple factors, including population, economic growth, energy markets, and technological changes that include energy efficiency and energy fuel choices. Between 2018 and 2019, GHG emissions decreased by almost 2 percent due to multiple factors, including a one percent decrease in total energy use.

According to *California Greenhouse Gas Emissions for 2000 to 2019 Trends of Emissions and Other Indicators*, prepared by CARB, July 28, 2021, the State of California created 418.2 million metric tons of carbon dioxide equivalent (MMTCO₂e) in 2019. The 2019 emissions were 7.2 MMTCO₂e lower than 2018 levels and almost 13 MMTCO₂e below the State adopted year 2020 GHG limit of 431 MMTCO₂e. The breakdown of California GHG emissions by sector consists of: 39.7 percent from transportation; 21.1 percent from industrial; 14.1 percent from electricity generation; 7.6 percent from agriculture; 10.5 percent from residential and commercial buildings; 4.9 percent from high global warming potential sources, and 2.1 percent from waste.

1 Obtained from: https://cdiac.ess-dive.lbl.gov/trends/emis/tre_glob_2014.html

2 Obtained from: <https://www.epa.gov/ghgemissions/global-greenhouse-gas-emissions-data>

4.0 AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT

The project site is located within the South Coast Air Basin (Air Basin). The air quality at the project site is addressed through the efforts of various federal, state, regional, and local government agencies. These agencies work jointly, as well as individually, to improve air quality through legislation, regulations, planning, policy-making, education, and a variety of programs. The agencies responsible for improving the air quality are discussed below.

4.1 Federal – United States Environmental Protection Agency

The Clean Air Act, first passed in 1963 with major amendments in 1970, 1977 and 1990, is the overarching legislation covering regulation of air pollution in the United States. The Clean Air Act has established the mandate for requiring regulation of both mobile and stationary sources of air pollution at the state and federal level. The EPA was created in 1970 in order to consolidate research, monitoring, standard-setting and enforcement authority into a single agency.

The EPA is responsible for setting and enforcing the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for atmospheric pollutants. It regulates emission sources that are under the exclusive authority of the federal government, such as aircraft, ships, and certain locomotives. NAAQS pollutants were identified using medical evidence and are shown below in Table B.

Table B – State and Federal Criteria Pollutant Standards

Air Pollutant	Concentration / Averaging Time		Most Relevant Effects
	California Standards	Federal Primary Standards	
Ozone (O ₃)	0.09 ppm / 1-hour 0.07 ppm / 8-hour	0.070 ppm, / 8-hour	a) Pulmonary function decrements and localized lung injury in humans and animals; (b) asthma exacerbation; (c) chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) exacerbation; (d) respiratory infection; (e) increased school absences, and hospital admissions and emergency department (ED) visits for combined respiratory diseases; (e) increased mortality; (f) possible metabolic effects. Vegetation damage; property damage
Carbon Monoxide (CO)	20.0 ppm / 1-hour 9.0 ppm / 8-hour	35.0 ppm / 1-hour 9.0 ppm / 8-hour	Visibility reduction (a) Aggravation of angina pectoris and other aspects of coronary heart disease; (b) decreased exercise tolerance in persons with peripheral vascular disease and lung disease; (c) possible impairment of central nervous system functions; (d) possible increased risk to fetuses; (f) possible increased risk of pulmonary disease; (g) possible emergency department visits for respiratory diseases overall and visits for asthma.
Nitrogen Dioxide (NO ₂)	0.18 ppm / 1-hour 0.030 ppm / annual	100 ppb / 1-hour 0.053 ppm / annual	Short-term (a) asthma exacerbations (“asthma attacks”) Long-term (a) asthma development; (b) higher risk of all-cause, cardiovascular, and respiratory mortality. Both short and long term NO ₂ exposure is also associated with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) risk. Potential impacts on cardiovascular health, mortality and cancer, aggravate chronic respiratory disease. Contribution to atmospheric discoloration

Air Pollutant	Concentration / Averaging Time		Most Relevant Effects
	California Standards	Federal Primary Standards	
Sulfur Dioxide (SO ₂)	0.25 ppm / 1-hour	75 ppb / 1-hour	Respiratory symptoms (bronchoconstriction, possible wheezing or shortness of breath) during exercise or physical activity in persons with asthma. Possible allergic sensitization, airway inflammation, asthma development.
	0.04 ppm / 24-hour		
Respirable Particulate Matter (PM ₁₀)	50 µg/m ³ / 24-hour	150 µg/m ³ / 24-hour	Short -term (a) increase in mortality rates; (b) increase in respiratory infections; (c) increase in number and severity of asthma attacks; (d) COPD exacerbation; (e) increase in combined respiratory-diseases and number of hospital admissions; (f) increased mortality due to cardiovascular or respiratory diseases; (g) increase in hospital admissions for acute respiratory conditions; (h) increase in school absences; (i) increase in lost work days; (j) decrease in respiratory function in children; (k) increase medication use in children and adults with asthma.
	20 µg/m ³ / annual		
Suspended Particulate Matter (PM _{2.5})	12 µg/m ³ / annual	35 µg/m ³ / 24-hour	Long-term (a) reduced lung function growth in children; (b) changes in lung development; (c) development of asthma in children; (d) increased risk of cardiovascular diseases; (e) increased total mortality from lung cancer; (f) increased risk of premature death. Possible link to metabolic, nervous system, and reproductive and developmental effects for short-term and long-term exposure to PM _{2.5} .
		12 µg/m ³ / annual	
Sulfates	25 µg/m ³ / 24-hour	No Federal Standards	(a) Decrease in lung function; (b) aggravation of asthmatic symptoms; (c) vegetation damage; (d) Degradation of visibility; (e) property damage
Lead	1.5 µg/m ³ / 30-day	0.15 µg/m ³ / 3-month rolling	(a) Learning disabilities; (b) impairment of blood formation and nerve function; (c) cardiovascular effects, including coronary heart disease and hypertension Possible male reproductive system effects
Hydrogen Sulfide	0.03 ppm / 1-hour	No Federal Standards	Exposure to lower ambient concentrations above the standard may result in objectionable odor and may be accompanied by symptoms such as headaches, nausea, dizziness, nasal irritation, cough, and shortness of breath

Source: Draft 2022 AQMP, SCAQMD, 2022.

As part of its enforcement responsibilities, the EPA requires each state with federal nonattainment areas to prepare and submit a State Implementation Plan (SIP) that demonstrates the means to attain the national standards. The SIP must integrate federal, state, and local components and regulations to identify specific measures to reduce pollution, using a combination of performance standards and market-based programs within the timeframe identified in the SIP. The CARB defines attainment as the category given to an area with no violations in the past three years. As indicated below in Table C, the Air Basin has been designated by EPA for the national standards as a non-attainment area for ozone and PM_{2.5} and partial non-attainment for lead. Currently, the Air Basin is in attainment with the national ambient air quality standards for CO, PM₁₀, SO₂, and NO₂.

Table C – National Air Quality Standards Attainment Status – South Coast Air Basin

Criteria Pollutant	Averaging Time	Designation ^a	Attainment Date ^b
Ozone	1979 1-Hour (0.12 ppm)	Nonattainment (Extreme)	2/6/2023 (revised deadline)
	2015 8-Hour (0.07 ppm) ^d	Nonattainment (Extreme)	8/3/2038
	2008 8-Hour (0.075 ppm) ^d	Nonattainment (Extreme)	7/20/2032
	1997 8-Hour (0.08 ppm) ^d	Nonattainment (Extreme)	6/15/2024
PM2.5 ^e	2006 24-Hour (35 µg/m ³)	Nonattainment (Serious)	12/31/2019
	2012 Annual (12 µg/m ³)	Nonattainment (Serious)	12/31/2021
	1997 Annual (15 µg/m ³)	Attainment (final determination pending)	4/5/2015 (attained 2013)
PM10 ^f	1987 24-Hour (150 µg/m ³)	Attainment (Maintenance)	7/26/2013 (attained)
Lead ^g	2008 3-Months Rolling (0.15 µg/m ³)	Nonattainment (Partial) (Attainment determination requested)	12/31/2015
CO	1971 1-Hour (35 ppm)	Attainment (Maintenance)	6/11/2007
	1971 8-Hour (9 ppm)	Attainment (Maintenance)	6/11/2007
NO ₂ ^h	2010 1-Hour (100 ppb)	Unclassifiable/Attainment	N/A (attained)
	1971 Annual (0.053 ppm)	Attainment (Maintenance)	9/22/1998 (attained)
SO ₂ ⁱ	2010 1-Hour (75 ppb)	Unclassifiable/Attainment	1/9/2018
	1971 24-Hour (0.14 ppm)	Unclassifiable/Attainment	3/19/1979

Source: SCAQMD, May 2022

Notes:

- a) U.S. EPA often only declares Nonattainment areas; everywhere else is listed as Unclassifiable/Attainment or Unclassifiable.
- b) A design value below the NAAQS for data through the full year or smog season prior to the attainment date is typically required for attainment demonstration.
- c) The 1979 1-hour ozone NAAQS (0.12 ppm) was revoked, effective June 15, 2005; however, the Basin has not attained this standard and therefore has some continuing obligations with respect to the revoked standard; original attainment date was 11/15/2010; the revised attainment date is 2/6/2023.
- d) The 2008 8-hour ozone NAAQS (0.075 ppm) was revised to 0.070 ppm, effective 12/28/2015 with classifications and implementation goals to be finalized by 10/1/2017; the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS (0.08 ppm) was revoked in the 2008 ozone implementation rule, effective 4/6/2015; there are continuing obligations under the revoked 1997 and revised 2008 ozone NAAQS until they are attained.
- e) The attainment deadline for the 2006 24-Hour PM2.5 NAAQS was 12/31/15 for the former “moderate” classification; the EPA approved reclassification to “serious”, effective 2/12/16 with an attainment deadline of 12/31/2019; the 2012 (proposal year) annual PM2.5 NAAQS was revised on 1/15/2013, effective 3/18/2013, from 15 to 12 µg/m³; new annual designations were final 1/15/2015, effective 4/15/2015; on 7/25/2016 the EPA finalized a determination that the Basin attained the 1997 annual (15.0 µg/m³) and 24-hour PM2.5 (65 µg/m³) NAAQS, effective 8/24/2016.
- f) The annual PM10 standard was revoked, effective 12/18/2006; the 24-hour PM10 NAAQS deadline was 12/31/2006; the Basin’s Attainment Re-designation Request and PM10 Maintenance Plan was approved by the EPA on 6/26/2103, effective 7/26/2013.
- g) Partial Nonattainment designation – Los Angeles County portion of the Basin only for near-source monitors; expect to remain in attainment based on current monitoring data; attainment re-designation request pending.
- h) New 1-hour NO₂ NAAQS became effective 8/2/2010, with attainment designations 1/20/2012; annual NO₂ NAAQS retained.
- i) The 1971 annual and 24-hour SO₂ NAAQS were revoked, effective 8/23/2010.

Despite substantial improvements in air quality over the past few decades, some air monitoring stations in the Air Basin still exceed the NAAQS and frequently record the highest ozone levels in the United States. In 2020, monitoring stations in the Air Basin exceeded the most current federal standards on a total of 181 days (49 percent of the year), including: 8-hour ozone (157 days over the 2015 ozone NAAQS), 24-hour PM2.5 (39 days), PM10 (3 days), and NO₂ (1 day). Nine of the top 10 stations in the nation most frequently exceeding the 2015 8-hour ozone NAAQS in 2020 were located within the Air Basin, including stations in San Bernardino, Riverside, and Los Angeles Counties (SCAQMD, 2022).

PM2.5 levels in the Air Basin have improved significantly in recent years. Since 2015, none of the monitoring stations in the Air Basin have recorded violations of the former 1997 annual PM2.5 NAAQS (15.0 µg/m³). On July 25, 2016 the U.S. EPA finalized a determination that the Air Basin attained the 1997 annual (15.0 µg/m³) and 24-hour PM2.5 (65 µg/m³) NAAQS, effective August 24, 2016. However, the Air Basin does not meet the 2012 annual PM2.5 NAAQS (12.0 µg/m³), with six monitoring stations having design values above the standard for the 2018-2020 period (SCAQMD, 2022).

4.2 State – California Air Resources Board

The CARB, which is a part of the California Environmental Protection Agency, is responsible for the coordination and administration of both federal and state air pollution control programs within California. In this capacity, the CARB conducts research, sets the California Ambient Air Quality Standards (CAAQS), compiles emission inventories, develops suggested control measures, provides oversight of local programs, and prepares the SIP. The CAAQS for criteria pollutants in the Air Basin are shown in Table D. In addition, the CARB establishes emission standards for motor vehicles sold in California, consumer products (e.g. hairspray, aerosol paints, and barbeque lighter fluid), and various types of commercial equipment. It also sets fuel specifications to further reduce vehicular emissions.

Table D – California Ambient Air Quality Standards Attainment Status – South Coast Air Basin

Criteria Pollutant	Averaging Time	Level ^a	Designation ^b
Ozone	1-Hour	0.09 ppm	Nonattainment
	8-Hour	0.070 ppm	Nonattainment
PM2.5	Annual	12 µg/m ³	Nonattainment
PM10	24-Hour	50 µg/m ³	Nonattainment
	Annual	20 µg/m ³	Nonattainment
Lead	30-Day Average	1.5 µg/m ³	Attainment
CO	1-Hour	20 ppm	Attainment
	8-Hour	9.0 ppm	Attainment
NO ₂	1-Hour	0.18 ppm	Attainment
	Annual	0.030	Nonattainment ^c (CA 60 Near-road portion of San Bernardino, Riverside and Los Angeles Counties) Attainment (remainder of Basin)
SO ₂	1-Hour	0.25 ppm	Attainment
	24-Hour	0.04 ppm	Attainment
Sulfates	24-Hour	25 µg/m ³	Attainment
Hydrogen Sulfide	1-Hour	0.03 ppm	Unclassified

Source: SCAQMD, May 2022

Notes:

a) CA State standards, or CAAQS, for ozone, SO₂, NO₂, PM10 and PM2.5 are values not to be exceeded; lead, sulfates and H₂S standards are values not to be equaled or exceeded; CAAQS are listed in the Table of Standards in Section 70200 of Title 17 of the California Code of Regulations.

b) CA State designations shown were updated by CARB in 2019, based on the 2016-2018 3-year period; stated designations are based on a 3-year data period after consideration of outliers and exceptional events.

c) While this region is currently in Nonattainment, the CARB approved a redesignation to attainment to attainment based on 2018-2020 data on February 24, 2022.

As shown in Table D, the Air Basin has been designated by the CARB as a non-attainment area for ozone, PM10 and PM2.5 and partial nonattainment for NO₂. Currently, the Air Basin is in attainment with the ambient air quality standards for lead, CO, SO₂ and sulfates, and is unclassified for Hydrogen Sulfide.

The following lists the State of California Code of Regulations (CCR) air quality emission rules that are applicable, but not limited to all warehouse projects in the State.

Assembly Bill 2588

The Air Toxics “Hot Spots” Information and Assessment Act (Assembly Bill [AB] 2588, 1987, Connelly) was enacted in 1987 as a means to establish a formal air toxics emission inventory risk quantification program. AB 2588, as amended, establishes a process that requires stationary sources to report the type and quantities of certain substances their facilities routinely release in California. The data is ranked by high, intermediate, and low categories, which are determined by: the potency, toxicity, quantity, volume, and proximity of the facility to nearby receptors.

CARB Regulation for In-Use Off-Road Diesel Vehicles

On July 26, 2007, the CARB adopted California Code of Regulations Title 13, Article 4.8, Chapter 9, Section 2449 to reduce DPM and NOx emissions from in-use off-road heavy-duty diesel vehicles in California. Such vehicles are used in construction, mining, and industrial operations. The regulation limits idling to no more than five consecutive minutes, requires reporting and labeling, and requires disclosure of the regulation upon vehicle sale. Performance requirements of the rule are based on a fleet’s average NOx emissions, which can be met by replacing older vehicles with newer, cleaner vehicles or by applying exhaust retrofits. The regulation was amended in 2010 to delay the original timeline of the performance requirement making the first compliance deadline January 1, 2014 for large fleets (over 5,000 horsepower), 2017 for medium fleets (2,501-5,000 horsepower), and 2019 for small fleets (2,500 horsepower or less). Currently, no commercial operation in California may add any equipment to their fleet that has a Tier 0 or Tier 1 engine. By January 1, 2018 medium and large fleets will be restricted from adding Tier 2 engines to their fleets and by January 2023, no commercial operation will be allowed to add Tier 2 engines to their fleets. It should be noted that commercial fleets may continue to use their existing Tier 0 and 1 equipment, if they can demonstrate that the average emissions from their entire fleet emissions meet the NOx emissions targets.

CARB Resolution 08-43 for On-Road Diesel Truck Fleets

On December 12, 2008 the CARB adopted Resolution 08-43, which limits NOx, PM10 and PM2.5 emissions from on-road diesel truck fleets that operate in California. On October 12, 2009 Executive Order R-09-010 was adopted that codified Resolution 08-43 into Section 2025, title 13 of the California Code of Regulations. This regulation requires that by the year 2023 all commercial diesel trucks that operate in California shall meet model year 2010 (Tier 4 Final) or latter emission standards. In the interim period, this regulation provides annual interim targets for fleet owners to meet. By January 1, 2014, 50 percent of a truck fleet is required to have installed Best Available Control Technology (BACT) for NOx emissions and 100 percent of a truck fleet installed BACT for PM10 emissions. This regulation also provides a few exemptions including a onetime per year 3-day pass for trucks registered outside of California. All on-road diesel trucks utilized during construction of the proposed project will be required to comply with Resolution 08-43.

4.3 Regional – Southern California

The SCAQMD is the agency principally responsible for comprehensive air pollution control in the South Coast Air Basin. To that end, as a regional agency, the SCAQMD works directly with the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG), county transportation commissions, and local governments and cooperates actively with all federal and state agencies.

South Coast Air Quality Management District

SCAQMD develops rules and regulations, establishes permitting requirements for stationary sources, inspects emission sources, and enforces such measures through educational programs or fines, when necessary. SCAQMD is directly responsible for reducing emissions from stationary, mobile, and indirect sources. It has responded to this requirement by preparing a sequence of AQMPs. The *Final 2016 Air Quality Management Plan* (2016 AQMP) was adopted by the SCAQMD Board on March 3, 2016 and was adopted by CARB on March 23, 2017 for inclusion into the SIP. The 2016 AQMP was prepared in order to meet the following standards:

- 8-hour Ozone (75 ppb) by 2032
- Annual PM_{2.5} (12 µg/m³) by 2021-2025
- 8-hour Ozone (80 ppb) by 2024 (updated from the 2007 and 2012 AQMPs)
- 1-hour Ozone (120 ppb) by 2023 (updated from the 2012 AQMP)
- 24-hour PM_{2.5} (35 µg/m³) by 2019 (updated from the 2012 AQMP)

In addition to meeting the above standards, the 2016 AQMP also includes revisions to the attainment demonstrations for the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS and the 1979 1-hour ozone NAAQS. The prior 2012 AQMP was prepared in order to demonstrate attainment with the 24-hour PM_{2.5} standard by 2014 through adoption of all feasible measures. The prior 2007 AQMP demonstrated attainment with the 1997 8-hour ozone (80 ppb) standard by 2023, through implementation of future improvements in control techniques and technologies. These “black box” emissions reductions represent 65 percent of the remaining NO_x emission reductions by 2023 in order to show attainment with the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS. Given the magnitude of these needed emissions reductions, additional NO_x control measures have been provided in the 2012 AQMP even though the primary purpose was to show compliance with 24-hour PM_{2.5} emissions standards.

The 2016 AQMP provides a new approach that focuses on available, proven and cost effective alternatives to traditional strategies, while seeking to achieve multiple goals in partnership with other entities to promote reductions in GHG emissions and TAC emissions as well as efficiencies in energy use, transportation, and goods movement. The 2016 AQMP recognizes the critical importance of working with other agencies to develop funding and other incentives that encourage the accelerated transition of vehicles, buildings and industrial facilities to cleaner technologies in a manner that benefits not only air quality, but also local businesses and the regional economy.

Although SCAQMD is responsible for regional air quality planning efforts, it does not have the authority to directly regulate air quality issues associated with plans and new development projects throughout the Air Basin. Instead, this is controlled through local jurisdictions in accordance to CEQA. In order to assist local jurisdictions with air quality compliance issues the *CEQA Air Quality Handbook* (SCAQMD CEQA Handbook), prepared by SCAQMD, 1993, with the most current updates found at <http://www.aqmd.gov/ceqa/hdbk.html>, was developed in accordance with the projections and programs detailed in the AQMPs. The purpose of the SCAQMD CEQA Handbook is to assist Lead Agencies, as well as consultants, project proponents, and other interested parties in evaluating a proposed project’s potential air quality impacts. Specifically, the SCAQMD CEQA Handbook explains the procedures that SCAQMD recommends be followed for the environmental review process required by CEQA. The SCAQMD CEQA Handbook provides direction on how to evaluate potential air quality impacts, how to determine whether these impacts are significant, and how to mitigate these impacts. The SCAQMD

intends that by providing this guidance, the air quality impacts of plans and development proposals will be analyzed accurately and consistently throughout the Air Basin, and adverse impacts will be minimized.

The following lists the SCAQMD rules that are applicable but not limited to residential development projects in the Air Basin.

Rule 402 - Nuisance

Rule 402 prohibits a person from discharging from any source whatsoever such quantities of air contaminants or other material which causes injury, detriment, nuisance, or annoyance to any considerable number of persons or to the public, or which endanger the comfort, repose, health or safety of any such persons or the public, or which cause, or have a natural tendency to cause, injury or damage to business or property. Compliance with Rule 402 will reduce local air quality and odor impacts to nearby sensitive receptors.

Rule 403- Fugitive Dust

Rule 403 governs emissions of fugitive dust during construction activities and requires that no person shall cause or allow the emissions of fugitive dust such that dust remains visible in the atmosphere beyond the property line or the dust emission exceeds 20 percent opacity, if the dust is from the operation of a motorized vehicle. Compliance with this rule is achieved through application of standard Best Available Control Measures, which include but are not limited to the measures below. Compliance with these rules would reduce local air quality impacts to nearby sensitive receptors.

- Utilize either a pad of washed gravel 50 feet long, 100 feet of paved surface, a wheel shaker, or a wheel washing device to remove material from vehicle tires and undercarriages before leaving project site.
- Do not allow any track out of material to extend more than 25 feet onto a public roadway and remove all track out at the end of each workday.
- Water all exposed areas on active sites at least three times per day and pre-water all areas prior to clearing and soil moving activities.
- Apply nontoxic chemical stabilizers according to manufacturer specifications to all construction areas that will remain inactive for 10 days or longer.
- Pre-water all material to be exported prior to loading, and either cover all loads or maintain at least 2 feet of freeboard in accordance with the requirements of California Vehicle Code Section 23114.
- Replant all disturbed area as soon as practical.
- Suspend all grading activities when wind speeds (including wind gusts) exceed 25 miles per hour.
- Restrict traffic speeds on all unpaved roads to 15 miles per hour or less.

Rule 445- Fireplaces

Rule 445 governs emissions from fireplaces. This rule restricts the installation of wood-burning fireplaces into any new development and only allows the installation of dedicated gaseous-fueled fireplaces.

Rules 1108 and 1108.1 – Cutback and Emulsified Asphalt

Rules 1108 and 1108.1 govern the sale, use, and manufacturing of asphalt and limits the VOC content in asphalt. This rule regulates the VOC contents of asphalt used during construction as well as any on-going maintenance during operations. Therefore, all asphalt used during construction and operation of the proposed project must comply with SCAQMD Rules 1108 and 1108.1.

Rule 1113 – Architectural Coatings

Rule 1113 governs the sale, use, and manufacturing of architectural coatings and limits the VOC content in sealers, coatings, paints and solvents. This rule regulates the VOC contents of paints available during construction. Therefore, all paints and solvents used during construction and operation of the proposed project must comply with SCAQMD Rule 1113.

Rule 1143 – Paint Thinners

Rule 1143 governs the sale, use, and manufacturing of paint thinners and multi-purpose solvents that are used in thinning of coating materials, cleaning of coating application equipment, and other solvent cleaning operations. This rule regulates the VOC content of solvents used during construction. Solvents used during construction and operation of the proposed project must comply with SCAQMD Rule 1143.

Rule 1403 – Asbestos Removal

Rule 1403 governs asbestos emissions from demolition and renovation activities. The existing structures on the project site shall be surveyed for asbestos prior to demolition activities. If asbestos is found within the existing structures, the asbestos shall be removed through utilization of the removal procedures detailed in Rule 1403.

Southern California Association of Governments

The SCAG is the regional planning agency for Los Angeles, Orange, Ventura, Riverside, San Bernardino, and Imperial Counties and addresses regional issues relating to transportation, the economy, community development and the environment. SCAG is the federally designated Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) for the majority of the southern California region and is the largest MPO in the nation. With respect to air quality planning, SCAG has prepared the *2020-2045 Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy* (Connect SoCal), adopted September 3, 2020 and the *2019 Federal Transportation Improvement Program* (2019 FTIP), adopted September 2018, which addresses regional development and growth forecasts. Although the Connect SoCal and 2019 FTIP are primarily planning documents for future transportation projects a key component of these plans are to integrate land use planning with transportation planning that promotes higher density infill development in close proximity to existing transit service. These plans form the basis for the land use and transportation components of the AQMP, which are utilized in the preparation of air quality forecasts and in the consistency analysis included in the AQMP. The Connect SoCal, 2019 FTIP, and AQMP are based on projections originating within the City and County General Plans.

4.4 Local – City of Eastvale

Local jurisdictions, such as the City of Eastvale, have the authority and responsibility to reduce air pollution through its police power and decision-making authority. Specifically, the City is responsible for the assessment and mitigation of air emissions resulting from its land use decisions. The City is also responsible for the implementation of transportation control measures as outlined in the AQMPs.

Examples of such measures include bus turnouts, energy-efficient streetlights, and synchronized traffic signals. In accordance with CEQA requirements and the CEQA review process, the City assesses the air quality impacts of new development projects, requires mitigation of potentially significant air quality impacts by conditioning discretionary permits, and monitors and enforces implementation of such mitigation.

In accordance with the CEQA requirements, the City does not, however, have the expertise to develop plans, programs, procedures, and methodologies to ensure that air quality within the County and region will meet federal and state standards. Instead, the City relies on the expertise of the SCAQMD and utilizes the SCAQMD CEQA Handbook as the guidance document for the environmental review of plans and development proposals within its jurisdiction.

The *City of Eastvale General Plan* (General Plan), adopted June 13, 2012, provides the following air quality-related goals and policies that are applicable to the proposed project.

Goal AQ-1: Air quality that meets or exceeds all state and federal standards.

Policies

- AQ-5: Sensitive receptors should be separated and protected from polluting point sources to the greatest extent possible.
- AQ-6: Require site plan designs to protect people and land uses sensitive to air pollution.
- AQ-7: The City encourages the use of pollution control measures such as landscaping, vegetation, and other materials, which trap particulate matter or control pollution.
- AQ-8: The City encourages the planting of urban trees to remove pollutants from the air, provide shade, and decrease the negative impacts of heat on the air.
- AQ-13: The City encourages the use of building materials and methods which reduce emissions and energy use.
- AQ-14: The City encourages the use of energy-efficient heating equipment and other appliances, such as water heaters, swimming pool heaters, cooking equipment, refrigerators, furnaces, and boiler units.
- AQ-17: To the greatest extent possible, require every project to mitigate any of its anticipated emissions which exceed allowable emissions as established by the SCAQMD, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the California Air Resources Board.

5.0 ENERGY CONSERVATION MANAGEMENT

The regulatory setting related to energy conservation is primarily addressed through State and City regulations, which are discussed below.

5.1 State

Energy conservation management in the State was initiated by the 1974 Warren-Alquist State Energy Resources Conservation and Development Act that created the California Energy Resource Conservation and Development Commission (currently named California Energy Commission [CEC]), which was originally tasked with certifying new electric generating plants based on the need for the plant and the suitability of the site of the plant. In 1976 the Warren-Alquist Act was expanded to include new restrictions on nuclear generating plants, that effectively resulted in a moratorium of any new nuclear generating plants in the State. The following details specific regulations adopted by the State in order to reduce the consumption of energy.

California Code of Regulations (CCR) Title 20

On November 3, 1976 the CEC adopted the *Regulations for Appliance Efficiency Standards Relating to Refrigerators, Refrigerator-Freezers and Freezers and Air Conditioners*, which were the first energy-efficiency standards for appliances. The appliance efficiency regulations have been updated several times by the Commission and the most current version is the *2016 Appliance Efficiency Regulations*, adopted January 2017 and now includes almost all types of appliances and lamps that use electricity, natural gas as well as plumbing fixtures. The authority for the CEC to control the energy-efficiency of appliances is detailed in California Code of Regulations (CCR), Title 20, Division 2, Chapter 4, Article 4, Sections 1601-1609.

California Code of Regulations (CCR) Title 24, Part 6

The CEC is also responsible for implementing the CCR Title 24, Part 6: *California's Energy Efficiency Standards for Residential and Nonresidential Buildings* (Title 24 Part 6) that were first established in 1978 in response to a legislative mandate to reduce California's energy consumption. In 2008 the State set an energy-use reduction goal of zero-net-energy use of all new homes by 2020 and the CEC was mandated to meet this goal through revisions to the Title 24, Part 6 regulations.

The Title 24 standards are updated on a three-year schedule and since 2008 the standards have been incrementally moving to the 2020 goal of the zero-net-energy use. Currently the 2019 Title 24 standards are in effect and have been designed so that the average new home built in California will now use zero-net-energy. The 2019 standards require that all single-family homes to have rooftop solar photovoltaic systems and when the solar systems are factored in, homes built under the 2019 standards will use about 53 percent less energy than homes built under the prior 2016 standards. In addition to requiring rooftop solar systems, the 2019 standards also encourage the use of battery storage and heat pump water heaters, require the more widespread use of LED lighting, as well as improve the building's thermal envelope through high performance attics, walls and windows. The 2019 standards also require improvements to ventilation systems by requiring highly efficient air filters to trap hazardous air particulates as well as improvements to kitchen ventilation systems.

California Code of Regulations (CCR) Title 24, Part 11

CCR Title 24, Part 11: *California Green Building Standards* (CalGreen) was developed in response to continued efforts to reduce GHG emissions associated with energy consumption. The CalGreen Building Standards are also updated every three years and the current version is the 2019 California Green Building Standard Code that become effective on January 1, 2020.

The CALGreen Code contains requirements for construction site selection; storm water control during construction; construction waste reduction; indoor water use reduction; material selection; natural resource conservation; site irrigation conservation; and more. The code provides for design options allowing the designer to determine how best to achieve compliance for a given site or building condition. The code also requires building commissioning, which is a process for verifying that all building systems (e.g., heating and cooling equipment and lighting systems) are functioning at their maximum efficiency.

The CALGreen Code provides standards for bicycle parking, carpool/vanpool/electric vehicle spaces, light and glare reduction, grading and paving, energy efficient appliances, renewable energy, graywater systems, water efficient plumbing fixtures, recycling and recycled materials, pollutant controls (including moisture control and indoor air quality), acoustical controls, storm water management, building design, insulation, flooring, and framing, among others. Implementation of the CALGreen Code measures reduces energy consumption and vehicle trips and encourages the use of alternative-fuel vehicles, which reduces pollutant emissions.

Some of the notable changes in the 2019 CALGreen Code over the prior 2016 CALGreen Code include: an alignment of building code engineering requirements with the national standards that include anchorage requirements for solar panels, provides design requirements for buildings in tsunami zones, increases Minimum Efficiency Reporting Value (MERV) for air filters from 8 to 13, increased electric vehicle charging requirements in parking areas, and sets minimum requirements for use of shade trees.

Executive Order N-79-20

The California Governor issued Executive Order N-79-20 on September 23, 2020 that requires all new passenger cars and trucks and commercial drayage trucks sold in California to be zero-emissions by the year 2035 and all medium- heavy-duty vehicles (commercial trucks) sold in the state to be zero-emission by 2045 for all operations where feasible. Executive Order N-79-20 also requires all off-road vehicles and equipment to transition to 100 percent zero-emission equipment, where feasible by 2035.

Senate Bill 100

Senate Bill 100 (SB 100) was adopted September 2018 and requires that by December 1, 2045 that 100 percent of retail sales of electricity to be generated from renewable or zero-carbon emission sources of electricity. SB 100 supersedes the renewable energy requirements set by SB 350, SB 1078, SB 107, and SB X1-2. SB 100 codified the interim renewable energy thresholds from the prior Bills of: 33 percent by 2020, 40 percent by December 31, 2024, 45 percent by December 31, 2027, and 50 percent by December 31, 2030.

Executive Order B-48-18 and Assembly Bill 2127

The California Governor issued Executive Order B-48-18 on January 26, 2018 that orders all state entities to work with the private sector to put at least five million zero-emission vehicles on California roads by 2030 and to install 200 hydrogen fueling stations and 250,000 electric vehicle chargers by 2025. Currently there are approximately 350,000 electric vehicles operating in California, which represents approximately

1.5 percent of the 24 million vehicles total currently operating in California. Implementation of Executive Order B-48-18 would result in approximately 20 percent of all vehicles in California to be zero emission electric vehicles. Assembly Bill 2127 (AB 2127) was codified into statute on September 13, 2018 and requires that the CEC working with CARB prepare biannual assessments of the statewide electric vehicle charging infrastructure needed to support the levels of zero emission vehicle adoption required for the State to meet its goals of putting at least 5 million zero-emission vehicles on California roads by 2030.

Assembly Bill 1109

California Assembly Bill 1109 (AB 1109) was adopted October 2007, also known as the Lighting Efficiency and Toxics Reduction Act, prohibits the manufacturing of lights after January 1, 2010 that contain levels of hazardous substances prohibited by the European Union pursuant to the RoHS Directive. AB 1109 also requires reductions in energy usage for lighting and is structured to reduce lighting electrical consumption by: (1) At least 50 percent reduction from 2007 levels for indoor residential lighting; and (2) At least 25 percent reduction from 2007 levels for indoor commercial and all outdoor lighting by 2018. AB 1109 would reduce GHG emissions through reducing the amount of electricity required to be generated by fossil fuels in California.

Assembly Bill 1493

California Assembly Bill 1493 (also known as the Pavley Bill, in reference to its author Fran Pavley) was enacted on July 22, 2002 and required CARB to develop and adopt regulations that reduce GHGs emitted by passenger vehicles and light duty trucks. In 2004, CARB approved the “Pavley I” regulations limiting the amount of GHGs that may be released from new passenger automobiles that are being phased in between model years 2009 through 2016. These regulations will reduce GHG emissions by 30 percent from 2002 levels by 2016. In June 2009, the EPA granted California the authority to implement GHG emission reduction standards for light duty vehicles, in September 2009, amendments to the Pavley I regulations were adopted by CARB and implementation of the “Pavley I” regulations started in 2009.

The second set of regulations “Pavley II” was developed in 2010, and is being phased in between model years 2017 through 2025 with the goal of reducing GHG emissions by 45 percent by the year 2020 as compared to the 2002 fleet. The Pavley II standards were developed by linking the GHG emissions and formerly separate toxic tailpipe emissions standards previously known as the “LEV III” (third stage of the Low Emission Vehicle standards) into a single regulatory framework. The new rules reduce emissions from gasoline-powered cars as well as promote zero-emissions auto technologies such as electricity and hydrogen, and through increasing the infrastructure for fueling hydrogen vehicles. In 2009, the U.S. EPA granted California the authority to implement the GHG standards for passenger cars, pickup trucks and sport utility vehicles and these GHG emissions standards are currently being implemented nationwide.

The EPA has performed a midterm evaluation of the longer-term standards for model years 2022-2025, and based on the findings of this midterm evaluation, the EPA proposed The Safer Affordable Fuel Efficient (SAFE) Vehicles Proposed Rule for Model Years 2021-2026 that amends the corporate average fuel economy (CAFE) and GHG emissions standards for light vehicles for model years 2021 through 2026. The SAFE Vehicles Rule was published on April 30, 2020 and made effective on June 29, 2020.

5.2 Local – City of Eastvale

The applicable energy plan for the proposed project is the General Plan. The energy-related goals and policies in the General Plan that are applicable for the proposed project are shown below.

Goal AQ-4: Safe and reliable energy including energy from renewable sources to meet Eastvale’s needs and enable continued economic growth.

Policies

- AQ-26: Permit and encourage the use of passive solar devices and other state-of-the-art energy conservation measures.
- AQ-27: Support and encourage voluntary efforts to provide active and passive solar access opportunities in new development.
- AQ-28: The City encourages energy-efficient materials and systems, including shade design technologies, for buildings.
- AQ-31: The City encourages urban design measures that support alternatives to private automobile use.
- AQ-32: Utilize source reduction, recycling, and other appropriate measures to reduce the amount of solid waste disposed of in landfills.
- AQ-33: The City encourages the incorporation of energy-efficient design elements beyond code requirements, including appropriate site orientation and the use of shade and windbreak trees to reduce fuel consumption for heating and cooling.
- AQ-34: The City shall review all development proposals to ensure that all services and utilities can be provided in an energy-efficient and effective manner.
- AQ-36: The City shall support the inclusion of energy-efficient design and renewable energy technologies in public and private projects.

6.0 GLOBAL CLIMATE CHANGE MANAGEMENT

The regulatory setting related to global climate change is addressed through the efforts of various international, federal, state, regional, and local government agencies. These agencies work jointly, as well as individually, to reduce GHG emissions through legislation, regulations, planning, policy-making, education, and a variety of programs. The agencies responsible for global climate change regulations are discussed below.

6.1 International

In 1988, the United Nations established the IPCC to evaluate the impacts of global climate change and to develop strategies that nations could implement to curtail global climate change. In 1992, the United States joined other countries around the world in signing the United Nations' Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) agreement with the goal of controlling GHG emissions. The parties of the UNFCCC adopted the Kyoto Protocol, which set binding GHG reduction targets for 37 industrialized countries, the objective of reducing their collective GHG emissions by five percent below 1990 levels by 2012. The Kyoto Protocol has been ratified by 182 countries, but has not been ratified by the United States. It should be noted that Japan and Canada opted out of the Kyoto Protocol and the remaining developed countries that ratified the Kyoto Protocol have not met their Kyoto targets. The Kyoto Protocol expired in 2012 and the amendment for the second commitment period from 2013 to 2020 has not yet entered into legal force. The Parties to the Kyoto Protocol negotiated the Paris Agreement in December 2015, agreeing to set a goal of limiting global warming to less than 2 degrees Celsius compared with pre-industrial levels. The Paris Agreement has been adopted by 195 nations with 147 ratifying it, including the United States by President Obama, who ratified it by Executive Order on September 3, 2016. On June 1, 2017, President Trump announced that the United States is withdrawing from the Paris Agreement and on January 21, 2021 President Biden signed an executive order rejoining the Paris Agreement.

Additionally, the Montreal Protocol was originally signed in 1987 and substantially amended in 1990 and 1992. The Montreal Protocol stipulates that the production and consumption of compounds that deplete ozone in the stratosphere—CFCs, halons, carbon tetrachloride, and methyl chloroform—were to be phased out, with the first three by the year 2000 and methyl chloroform by 2005.

6.2 Federal – United States Environmental Protection Agency

The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is responsible for implementing federal policy to address global climate change. The Federal government administers a wide array of public-private partnerships to reduce U.S. GHG intensity. These programs focus on energy efficiency, renewable energy, methane, and other non-CO₂ gases, agricultural practices and implementation of technologies to achieve GHG reductions. EPA implements several voluntary programs that substantially contribute to the reduction of GHG emissions.

In *Massachusetts v. Environmental Protection Agency* (Docket No. 05–1120), argued November 29, 2006 and decided April 2, 2007, the U.S. Supreme Court held that not only did the EPA have authority to regulate greenhouse gases, but the EPA's reasons for not regulating this area did not fit the statutory requirements. As such, the U.S. Supreme Court ruled that the EPA should be required to regulate CO₂ and other greenhouse gases as pollutants under the federal Clean Air Act (CAA).

In response to the FY2008 Consolidations Appropriations Act (H.R. 2764; Public Law 110-161), EPA proposed a rule on March 10, 2009 that requires mandatory reporting of GHG emissions from large sources in the United States. On September 22, 2009, the Final Mandatory Reporting of GHG Rule was signed and published in the Federal Register on October 30, 2009. The rule became effective on December 29, 2009. This rule requires suppliers of fossil fuels or industrial GHGs, manufacturers of vehicles and engines, and facilities that emit 25,000 metric tons or more per year of GHG emissions to submit annual reports to EPA.

On December 7, 2009, the EPA Administrator signed two distinct findings under section 202(a) of the Clean Air Act. One is an endangerment finding that finds concentrations of the six GHGs in the atmosphere threaten the public health and welfare of current and future generations. The other is a cause or contribute finding, that finds emissions from new motor vehicles and new motor vehicle engines contribute to the GHG pollution which threatens public health and welfare. These actions did not impose any requirements on industry or other entities, however, since 2009 the EPA has been providing GHG emission standards for vehicles and other stationary sources of GHG emissions that are regulated by the EPA. On September 13, 2013 the EPA Administrator signed 40 CFR Part 60, that limits emissions from new sources to 1,100 pounds of CO₂ per mega-watt hour (MWh) for fossil fuel-fired utility boilers and 1,000 pounds of CO₂ per MWh for large natural gas-fired combustion units.

On August 3, 2015, the EPA announced the Clean Power Plan, emissions guidelines for U.S. states to follow in developing plans to reduce GHG emissions from existing fossil fuel-fired power plants (Federal Register Vol. 80, No. 205, October 23 2015). On October 11, 2017, the EPA issued a formal proposal to repeal the Clean Power Plan and on June 19, 2019 the EPA replaced the Clean Power Plan with the Affordable Clean Energy rule that is anticipated to lower power sector GHG emissions by 11 million tons by the year 2030.

On April 30, 2020, the EPA and the National Highway Safety Administration published the Final Rule for the *Safer Affordable Fuel-Efficient (SAFE) Vehicles Rule for Model Years 2021-2026 Passenger Cars and Light Trucks* (SAFE Vehicles Rule). Part One of the Rule revokes California's authority to set its own GHG emissions standards and zero-emission vehicle mandates in California, which results in one emission standard to be used nationally for all passenger cars and light trucks that is set by the EPA.

6.3 State

The CARB has the primary responsible for implementing state policy to address global climate change, however there are State regulations related to global climate change that affect a variety of State agencies. CARB, which is a part of the California Environmental Protection Agency, is responsible for the coordination and administration of both the federal and state air pollution control programs within California. In this capacity, the CARB conducts research, sets California Ambient Air Quality Standards (CAAQS), compiles emission inventories, develops suggested control measures, provides oversight of local programs, and prepares the SIP. In addition, the CARB establishes emission standards for motor vehicles sold in California, consumer products (e.g. hairspray, aerosol paints, and barbeque lighter fluid), and various types of commercial equipment. It also sets fuel specifications to further reduce vehicular emissions.

In 2008, CARB approved a Climate Change Scoping Plan that proposes a “comprehensive set of actions designed to reduce overall carbon GHG emissions in California, improve our environment, reduce our dependence on oil, diversify our energy sources, save energy, create new jobs, and enhance public health” (CARB 2008). The Climate Change Scoping Plan has a range of GHG reduction actions which include direct

regulations; alternative compliance mechanisms; monetary and non-monetary incentives; voluntary actions; market-based mechanisms such as a cap-and-trade system. In 2014, CARB approved the First Update to the Climate Change Scoping Plan (CARB, 2014) that identifies additional strategies moving beyond the 2020 targets to the year 2050. On December 14, 2017 CARB adopted the California’s 2017 Climate Change Scoping Plan, November 2017 (CARB, 2017) that provides specific statewide policies and measures to achieve the 2030 GHG reduction target of 40 percent below 1990 levels by 2030 and the aspirational 2050 GHG reduction target of 80 percent below 1990 levels by 2050. In addition, the State has passed the following laws directing CARB to develop actions to reduce GHG emissions, which are listed below in chronological order, with the most current first.

Executive Order N-79-20

EO N-79-20 establish targets for when all new vehicles and equipment are zero-emission and is described in more detail above in Section 5.1 under Energy Conservation Management.

California Code of Regulations (CCR) Title 24, Part 6

The Title 24 Part 6 standards have been developed by the CEC primarily for energy conservation and is described in more detail above in Section 5.1 under Energy Conservation Management. It should be noted that implementation of the Title 24 Part 6 building standards would also reduce GHG emissions, since as detailed above in Section 3.3 Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventory, energy use for residential and commercial buildings creates 9.7 percent of the GHG emissions in the State.

California Code of Regulations (CCR) Title 24, Part 11

The CalGreen Building standards have been developed by the CEC primarily for energy conservation and is described in more detail above in Section 5.1 under Energy Conservation Management. It should be noted that implementation of the CalGreen Building standards would also reduce GHG emissions, since as detailed above under Title 24, Part 6, energy usage from buildings creates 9.7 percent of GHG emissions in the State.

Senate Bill 100

SB 100 requires that by December 1, 2045 that 100 percent of retail sales of electricity to be generated from renewable or zero-carbon emission sources of electricity and is described in more detail above in Section 5.1 under Energy Conservation Management.

Executive Order B-48-18 and Assembly Bill 2127

Executive Order B-48-18 and AB 2127 provides measures to put at least five million zero-emission vehicles on California roads by 2030 and to install 200 hydrogen fueling stations and 250,000 electric vehicle chargers by 2025 and is described in more detail above in Section 5.1 under Energy Conservation Management.

Executive Order B-30-15, Senate Bill 32 and Assembly Bill 197

The California Governor issued Executive Order B-30-15 on April 29, 2015 that aims to reduce California’s GHG emissions 40 percent below 1990 levels by 2030. This executive order aligns California’s GHG reduction targets with those of other international governments, such as the European Union that set the same target for 2030 in October, 2014. This target will make it possible to reach the ultimate goal of reducing GHG emissions 80 percent under 1990 levels by 2050 that is based on scientifically established levels needed in the U.S.A to limit global warming below 2 degrees Celsius – the warming threshold at

which scientists say there will likely be major climate disruptions such as super droughts and rising sea levels. Assembly Bill 197 (AB 197) (September 8, 2016) and Senate Bill 32 (SB 32) (September 8, 2016) codified into statute the GHG emissions reduction targets of at least 40 percent below 1990 levels by 2030 as detailed in Executive Order B-30-15. AB 197 also requires additional GHG emissions reporting that is broken down to sub-county levels and requires CARB to consider the social costs of emissions impacting disadvantaged communities.

Executive Order B-29-15

The California Governor issued Executive Order B-29-15 on April 1, 2015 and directed the State Water Resources Control Board to impose restrictions to achieve a statewide 25% reduction in urban water usage and directed the Department of Water Resources to replace 50 million square feet of lawn with drought tolerant landscaping through an update to the State's Model Water Efficient Landscape Ordinance. The Ordinance also requires installation of more efficient irrigation systems, promotion of greywater usage and onsite stormwater capture, and limits the turf planted in new residential landscapes to 25 percent of the total area and restricts turf from being planted in median strips or in parkways unless the parkway is next to a parking strip and a flat surface is required to enter and exit vehicles. Executive Order B-29-15 would reduce GHG emissions associated with the energy used to transport and filter water.

Assembly Bill 341 and Senate Bills 939 and 1374

Senate Bill 939 (SB 939) requires that each jurisdiction in California to divert at least 50 percent of its waste away from landfills, whether through waste reduction, recycling or other means. Senate Bill 1374 (SB 1374) requires the California Integrated Waste Management Board to adopt a model ordinance by March 1, 2004 suitable for adoption by any local agency to require 50 to 75 percent diversion of construction and demolition of waste materials from landfills. Assembly Bill 341 (AB 341) was adopted in 2011 and builds upon the waste reduction measures of SB 939 and 1374, and set a new target of a 75 percent reduction in solid waste generated by the year 2020.

Senate Bill 375

Senate Bill 375 (SB 375) was adopted September 2008 in order to support the State's climate action goals to reduce GHG emissions from transportation sources through coordinated regional transportation planning efforts, regional GHG emission reduction targets, and land use and housing allocation. SB 375 requires CARB to set regional targets for GHG emissions reductions from passenger vehicle use. In 2010, CARB established targets for 2020 and 2035 for each Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPO) within the State. It was up to each MPO to adopt a sustainable communities strategy (SCS) that will prescribe land use allocation in that MPOs Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) to meet CARB's 2020 and 2035 GHG emission reduction targets. These reduction targets are required to be updated every eight years and the most current targets are detailed at: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/our-work/programs/sustainable-communities-program/regional-plan-targets>, which provides GHG emissions reduction targets for SCAG of 8 percent by 2020 and 19 percent by 2035.

The Connect SoCal (SCAG, 2020) provides a 2035 GHG emission reduction target of 19 percent reduction over the 2005 per capita emissions levels. The Connect SoCal include new initiatives of land use, transportation and technology to meet the 19 percent GHG emission reduction target for 2035. CARB is also charged with reviewing SCAG's RTP/SCS for consistency with its assigned targets.

City and County land use policies, including General Plans, are not required to be consistent with the RTP and associated SCS. However, new provisions of CEQA incentivize, through streamlining and other

provisions, qualified projects that are consistent with an approved SCS and categorized as “transit priority projects.”

Assembly Bill 1109

AB 1109 requires reductions in energy usage for lighting and is described in more detail above in Section 5.1 under Energy Conservation Management.

Executive Order S-1-07

Executive Order S-1-07 was issued in 2007 and proclaims that the transportation sector is the main source of GHG emissions in the State, since it generates more than 40 percent of the State’s GHG emissions. It establishes a goal to reduce the carbon intensity of transportation fuels sold in the State by at least ten percent by 2020. This Executive Order also directs CARB to determine whether this Low Carbon Fuel Standard (LCFS) could be adopted as a discrete early-action measure as part of the effort to meet the mandates in AB 32.

In 2009 CARB approved the proposed regulation to implement the LCFS. The standard was challenged in the courts, but has been in effect since 2011 and was re-approved by the CARB in 2015. The LCFS is anticipated to reduce GHG emissions by about 16 MMT per year by 2020. The LCFS is designed to provide a framework that uses market mechanisms to spur the steady introduction of lower carbon fuels. The framework establishes performance standards that fuel producers and importers must meet annually. Reformulated gasoline mixed with corn-derived ethanol and low-sulfur diesel fuel represent the baseline fuels. Lower carbon fuels may be ethanol, biodiesel, renewable diesel, or blends of these fuels with gasoline or diesel. Compressed natural gas and liquefied natural gas also may be low-carbon fuels. Hydrogen and electricity, when used in fuel cells or electric vehicles, are also considered as low-carbon fuels.

Senate Bill 97

Senate Bill 97 (SB 97) was adopted August 2007 and acknowledges that climate change is a prominent environmental issue that requires analysis under CEQA. SB 97 directed the Governor’s Office of Planning and Research (OPR), which is part of the State Natural Resources Agency, to prepare, develop, and transmit to CARB guidelines for the feasible mitigation of GHG emissions or the effects of GHG emissions, as required by CEQA, by July 1, 2009. The Natural Resources Agency was required to certify and adopt those guidelines by January 1, 2010.

Pursuant to the requirements of SB 97 as stated above, on December 30, 2009 the Natural Resources Agency adopted amendments to the State CEQA guidelines that addresses GHG emissions. The CEQA Guidelines Amendments changed 14 sections of the CEQA Guidelines and incorporated GHG language throughout the Guidelines. However, no GHG emissions thresholds of significance were provided and no specific mitigation measures were identified. The GHG emission reduction amendments went into effect on March 18, 2010 and are summarized below:

- Climate Action Plans and other greenhouse gas reduction plans can be used to determine whether a project has significant impacts, based upon its compliance with the plan.
- Local governments are encouraged to quantify the GHG emissions of proposed projects, noting that they have the freedom to select the models and methodologies that best meet their needs and circumstances. The section also recommends consideration of several qualitative factors that may be used in the determination of significance, such as the extent to which the given project

complies with state, regional, or local GHG reduction plans and policies. OPR does not set or dictate specific thresholds of significance. Consistent with existing CEQA Guidelines, OPR encourages local governments to develop and publish their own thresholds of significance for GHG impacts assessment.

- When creating their own thresholds of significance, local governments may consider the thresholds of significance adopted or recommended by other public agencies, or recommended by experts.
- New amendments include guidelines for determining methods to mitigate the effects of GHG emissions in Appendix F of the CEQA Guidelines.
- OPR is clear to state that “to qualify as mitigation, specific measures from an existing plan must be identified and incorporated into the project; general compliance with a plan, by itself, is not mitigation.”
- OPR’s emphasizes the advantages of analyzing GHG impacts on an institutional, programmatic level. OPR therefore approves tiering of environmental analyses and highlights some benefits of such an approach.
- Environmental impact reports must specifically consider a project's energy use and energy efficiency potential.

Assembly Bill 32

In 2006, the California State Legislature adopted AB 32, the California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006. AB 32 requires CARB, to adopt rules and regulations that would achieve GHG emissions equivalent to statewide levels in 1990 by 2020 through an enforceable statewide emission cap which will be phased in starting in 2012. Emission reductions shall include carbon sequestration projects that would remove carbon from the atmosphere and utilize best management practices that are technologically feasible and cost effective.

In 2007 CARB released the calculated Year 1990 GHG emissions of 431 MMTCO₂e. The 2020 target of 431 MMTCO₂e requires the reduction of 78 MMTCO₂e, or approximately 16 percent from the State’s projected 2020 business as usual emissions of 509 MMTCO₂e (CARB, 2014). Under AB 32, CARB was required to adopt regulations by January 1, 2011 to achieve reductions in GHGs to meet the 1990 cap by 2020. Early measures CARB took to lower GHG emissions included requiring operators of the largest industrial facilities that emit 25,000 metric tons of CO₂ in a calendar year to submit verification of GHG emissions by December 1, 2010. The CARB Board also approved nine discrete early action measures that include regulations affecting landfills, motor vehicle fuels, refrigerants in cars, port operations and other sources, all of which became enforceable on or before January 1, 2010.

CARB’s Scoping Plan that was adopted in 2009, proposes a variety of measures including: strengthening energy efficiency and building standards; targeted fees on water and energy use; a market-based cap-and-trade system; achieving a 33 percent renewable energy mix; and a fee regulation to fund the program. The 2014 update to the Scoping Plan identifies strategies moving beyond the 2020 targets to the year 2050.

The Cap-and-Trade Program established under the Scoping Plan sets a statewide limit on sources responsible for 85 percent of California’s GHG emissions, and has established a market for long-term investment in energy efficiency and cleaner fuels since 2012.

Executive Order S-3-05

In 2005 the California Governor issued Executive Order S 3-05, GHG Emission, which established the following reduction targets:

- 2010: Reduce greenhouse gas emissions to 2000 levels;
- 2020: Reduce greenhouse gas emissions to 1990 levels;
- 2050: Reduce greenhouse gas emissions to 80 percent below 1990 levels.

The Executive Order directed the secretary of the California Environmental Protection Agency (CalEPA) to coordinate a multi-agency effort to reduce GHG emissions to the target levels. To comply with the Executive Order, the secretary of CalEPA created the California Climate Action Team (CAT), made up of members from various state agencies and commissions. The team released its first report in March 2006. The report proposed to achieve the targets by building on the voluntary actions of businesses, local governments, and communities and through State incentive and regulatory programs. The State achieved its first goal of reducing GHG emissions to 2000 levels by 2010.

Assembly Bill 1493

AB 1493 or the Pavley Bill sets tailpipe GHG emissions limits for passenger vehicles in California as well as fuel economy standards and is described in more detail above in Section 5.1 under Energy Conservation Management.

6.4 Regional – Southern California

The SCAQMD is the agency principally responsible for comprehensive air pollution control in the Air Basin. To that end, as a regional agency, the SCAQMD works directly with SCAG, county transportation commissions, and local governments and cooperates actively with all federal and state agencies.

South Coast Air Quality Management District

SCAQMD develops rules and regulations, establishes permitting requirements for stationary sources, inspects emission sources, and enforces such measures through educational programs or fines, when necessary. SCAQMD is directly responsible for reducing emissions from stationary, mobile, and indirect sources. The SCAQMD is also responsible for GHG emissions for projects where it is the lead agency. However, for other projects in the Air Basin where it is not the lead agency, it is limited to providing resources to other lead agencies in order to assist them in determining GHG emission thresholds and GHG reduction measures. In order to assist local agencies with direction on GHG emissions, the SCAQMD organized a Working Group, which is described below.

SCAQMD Working Group

Since neither CARB nor the OPR has developed GHG emissions threshold, the SCAQMD formed a Working Group to develop significance thresholds related to GHG emissions. At the September 28, 2010 Working Group meeting, the SCAQMD released its most current version of the draft GHG emissions thresholds, which recommends a tiered approach that either provides a quantitative annual thresholds of 3,500 MTCO_{2e} for residential uses, 1,400 MTCO_{2e} for commercial uses, 3,000 MTCO_{2e} for mixed uses, and 10,000 MTCO_{2e} for industrial uses.

Southern California Association of Governments

The SCAG is the regional planning agency for Los Angeles, Orange, Ventura, Riverside, San Bernardino, and Imperial Counties and addresses regional issues relating to transportation, the economy, community development and the environment. SCAG is the federally designated Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) for the majority of the southern California region and is the largest MPO in the nation. With respect to air quality planning, SCAG has prepared the Connect SoCal and 2019 FTIP addresses regional development and growth forecasts. Although the Connect SoCal and 2019 FTIP are primarily planning documents for future transportation projects a key component of these plans are to integrate land use planning with transportation planning that promotes higher density infill development in close proximity to existing transit service. These plans form the basis for the land use and transportation components of the AQMP, which are utilized in the preparation of air quality forecasts and in the consistency analysis included in the AQMP. The Connect SoCal, 2019 FTIP, and AQMP are based on projections originating within the City and County General Plans.

6.5 Local – City of Eastvale

Local jurisdictions, such as the City of Eastvale, have the authority and responsibility to reduce GHG emissions through their police power and decision-making authority. Specifically, the City is responsible for the assessment and mitigation of GHG emissions resulting from its land use decisions. In accordance with CEQA requirements and the CEQA review process, the City assesses the global climate change potential of new development projects, requires mitigation of potentially significant global climate change impacts by conditioning discretionary permits, and monitors and enforces implementation of such mitigation.

The General Plan provides the following GHG-related goals and policies that are applicable to the proposed project.

Goal AQ-2: Meet or exceed all current and future state-mandated targets for reducing emissions of greenhouse gases.

Policies

AQ-18: Support local, regional, and statewide efforts to reduce emissions of greenhouse gases linked to climate change.

AQ-19: Analyze and mitigate, to the extent feasible, potentially significant increases in greenhouse gas emissions during project review, pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act.

AQ-20: Continue to support the planting and maintenance of trees in the community to increase carbon sequestration.

7.0 ATMOSPHERIC SETTING

7.1 South Coast Air Basin

The project site is located in the northwestern corner of Riverside County, which is part of the South Coast Air Basin (Air Basin) that includes the non-desert portions of Riverside, San Bernardino, and Los Angeles Counties and all of Orange County. The Air Basin is located on a coastal plain with connecting broad valleys and low hills to the east. Regionally, the Air Basin is bounded by the Pacific Ocean to the southwest and high mountains to the east forming the inland perimeter.

7.2 Local Climate

The climate of western Riverside County, technically called an interior valley subclimate of the Southern California's Mediterranean-type climate, is characterized by hot dry summers, mild moist winters with infrequent rainfall, moderate afternoon breezes, and generally fair weather. Occasional periods of strong Santa Ana winds and winter storms interrupt the otherwise mild weather pattern. The clouds and fog that form along the area's coastline rarely extend as far inland as western Riverside County. When morning clouds and fog form, they typically burn off quickly after sunrise. The most important weather pattern from an air quality perspective is associated with the warm season airflow across the densely populated areas located west of the project site. This airflow brings polluted air into western Riverside County late in the afternoon. This transport pattern creates unhealthy air quality that may extend to the project site particularly during the summer months.

Winds are an important parameter in characterizing the air quality environment of a project site because they both determine the regional pattern of air pollution transport and control the rate of dispersion near a source. Daytime winds in western Riverside County are usually light breezes from off the coast as air moves regionally onshore from the cool Pacific Ocean to the warm Mojave Desert interior of Southern California. These winds allow for good local mixing, but as discussed above, these coastal winds carry significant amounts of industrial and automobile air pollutants from the densely urbanized western portion of the Air Basin into the interior valleys which become trapped by the mountains that border the eastern and northern edges of the Air Basin.

In the summer, strong temperature inversions may occur that limit the vertical depth through which air pollution can be dispersed. Air pollutants concentrate because they cannot rise through the inversion layer and disperse. These inversions are more common and persistent during the summer months. Over time, sunlight produces photochemical reactions within this inversion layer that creates ozone, a particularly harmful air pollutant. Occasionally, strong thermal convections occur which allows the air pollutants to rise high enough to pass over the mountains and ultimately dilute the smog cloud.

In the winter, light nocturnal winds result mainly from the drainage of cool air off of the mountains toward the valley floor while the air aloft over the valley remains warm. This forms a type of inversion known as a radiation inversion. Such winds are characterized by stagnation and poor local mixing and trap pollutants such as automobile exhaust near their source. While these inversions may lead to air pollution "hot spots" in heavily developed coastal areas of the Air Basin, there is not enough traffic in inland valleys to cause any winter air pollution problems. Despite light wind conditions, especially at night and in the early morning, winter is generally a period of good air quality in the project vicinity.

The temperature and precipitation levels for the Corona COOP Monitoring Station, which is the nearest weather station to the project site with historical data are shown below in Table E. Table E shows that July is typically the warmest month and January is typically the coolest month. Rainfall in the project area varies considerably in both time and space. Almost all the annual rainfall comes from the fringes of mid-latitude storms from late November to early April, with summers being almost completely dry.

Table E – Monthly Climate Data

Month	Average Maximum Temperature (°F)	Average Minimum Temperature (°F)	Average Total Precipitation (inches)
January	65.3	39.7	2.61
February	67.7	41.2	2.62
March	70.5	42.8	2.00
April	74.9	45.7	0.98
May	79.3	49.9	0.26
June	85.5	53.7	0.04
July	92.3	57.7	0.02
August	92.2	58.3	0.09
September	89.1	55.6	0.25
October	81.6	50.2	0.55
November	73.5	44.3	1.14
December	66.8	40.4	2.15
Annual	78.2	48.3	12.71

Source: <https://wrcc.dri.edu/cgi-bin/cliMAIN.pl?ca2031>

7.3 Monitored Local Air Quality

The air quality at any site is dependent on the regional air quality and local pollutant sources. Regional air quality is determined by the release of pollutants throughout the Air Basin. Estimates of the existing emissions in the Air Basin provided in the 2012 AQMP, indicate that collectively, mobile sources account for 59 percent of the VOC, 88 percent of the NOx emissions and 40 percent of directly emitted PM2.5, with another 10 percent of PM2.5 from road dust. The 2016 AQMP found that since 2012 AQMP projections were made stationary source VOC emissions have decreased by approximately 12 percent, but mobile VOC emissions have increased by 5 percent. The percentage of NOx emissions remain unchanged between the 2012 and 2016 projections.

SCAQMD has divided the Air Basin into 38 air-monitoring areas. The project site is located in Air Monitoring Area 22, which is located in the northwestern portion of Riverside County and covers the Norco and Corona areas to the San Bernardino County and Orange County Lines. The nearest air monitoring station to the project site is the Mira Loma Van Buren Monitoring Station (Mira Loma Station), which is located approximately five miles northeast of the project site at 5130 Poinsettia Place, Jurupa Valley. However, it should be noted that due to the air monitoring station's distance from the project site, recorded air pollution levels at the Rubidoux Station reflect with varying degrees of accuracy, local air quality conditions at the project site. Table F shows that ozone and particulate matter (PM10 and PM2.5) are the air pollutants of primary concern in the project area, which are detailed below:

Table F – Local Area Air Quality Monitoring Summary

Pollutant (Standard)	Year ¹		
	2018	2019	2020
Ozone¹:			
Maximum 1-Hour Concentration (ppm)	0.129	0.131	0.140
Days > CAAQS (0.09 ppm)	21	26	51
Maximum 8-Hour Concentration (ppm)	0.107	0.099	0.117
Days > NAAQS (0.070 ppm)	57	64	89
Days > CAAQs (0.070 ppm)	57	65	96
Nitrogen Dioxide²:			
Maximum 1-Hour Concentration (ppb)	54.5	56.0	58.1
Days > NAAQS (100 ppb)	0	0	0
Days > CAAQS (180 ppb)	0	0	0
Inhalable Particulates (PM10)¹:			
Maximum 24-Hour National Measurement (ug/m ³)	98.9	118.8	162.5
Days > NAAQS (150 ug/m ³)	0	0	1
Days > CAAQS (50 ug/m ³)	22	14	16
Annual Arithmetic Mean (AAM) (ug/m ³)	44.5	37.7	52.2
Annual > NAAQS (50 ug/m ³)	No	No	Yes
Annual > CAAQS (20 ug/m ³)	Yes	Yes	Yes
Ultra-Fine Particulates (PM2.5)²:			
Maximum 24-Hour National Measurement (ug/m ³)	86.0	54.7	60.9
Days > NAAQS (35 ug/m ³)	6	10	12
Annual Arithmetic Mean (AAM) (ug/m ³)	14.1	12.6	14.7
Annual > NAAQS and CAAQS (12 ug/m ³)	Yes	Yes	Yes

Notes: Exceedances are listed in **bold**. CAAQS = California Ambient Air Quality Standard; NAAQS = National Ambient Air Quality Standard; ppm = parts per million; ppb = parts per billion; ND = no data available.

¹ Data obtained from the Mira Loma Station.

Source: <http://www.arb.ca.gov/adam/>

Ozone

The State 1-hour concentration standard for ozone has been exceeded between 21 and 26 days each year over the past three years at the Mira Loma Station. The State 8-hour ozone standard has been exceeded between 57 and 96 days each year over the past three years at the Mira Loma Station. The Federal 8-hour ozone standard has been exceeded between 57 and 89 days each year over the past three years at the Mira Loma Station.

Ozone is a secondary pollutant as it is not directly emitted. Ozone is the result of chemical reactions between other pollutants, most importantly hydrocarbons and NO₂, which occur only in the presence of bright sunlight. Pollutants emitted from upwind cities react during transport downwind to produce the oxidant concentrations experienced in the area. Many areas of Southern California contribute to the

ozone levels experienced at this monitoring station, with the more significant areas being those directly upwind.

Nitrogen Dioxide

The Mira Loma Station did not record an exceedance of either the Federal or State 1-hour NO₂ standards for the last three years.

Particulate Matter

The State 24-hour concentration standard for PM₁₀ has been exceeded between 14 and 22 days each year over the past three years at the Mira Loma Station. Over the past three years the Federal 24-hour standard for PM₁₀ has only been exceeded for one day in 2020 at the Mira Loma Station. The annual PM₁₀ concentration at the Mira Loma Station has exceeded the State standard for the past three years and has exceeded the Federal standard for one of the past three years.

The Federal 24-hour concentration standard for PM_{2.5} has been exceeded between 6 and 12 days each year over the past three years at the Mira Loma Station. The annual PM_{2.5} concentration exceeded both the State and Federal standard for two of the past three years at the Loma Linda Station. Particulate levels in the area are due to natural sources, grading operations, and motor vehicles.

According to the EPA, some people are much more sensitive than others to breathing fine particles (PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5}). People with influenza, chronic respiratory and cardiovascular diseases, and the elderly may suffer worsening illness and premature death due to breathing these fine particles. People with bronchitis can expect aggravated symptoms from breathing in fine particles. Children may experience decline in lung function due to breathing in PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5}. Other groups considered sensitive are smokers and people who cannot breathe well through their noses. Exercising athletes are also considered sensitive, because many breathe through their mouths during exercise.

7.4 Toxic Air Contaminant Levels in the Air Basin

In order to determine the Air Basin-wide risks associated with major airborne carcinogens, the SCAQMD conducted the Multiple Air Toxics Exposure Study (MATES) studies. According to the MATES V study (SCAQMD, 2021), the project site has an estimated cancer risk of 406 per million persons chance of cancer in the vicinity of the project site. In comparison, the average cancer risk for the Air Basin is 455 per million persons. The MATES V study that monitored air toxins between May 1, 2018 to April 30, 2019 found that cancer risk from air toxics has declined significantly in the Air Basin with a 40 percent decrease in cancer risk since the monitoring for the MATES IV study that occurred between July 1, 2012 and June 30, 2013 and an 84 percent decrease in cancer risk since the monitoring for the MATES II study that occurred between April 1, 1998 and March 31, 1999.

The MATES V study also analyzed impacts specific to the communities experiencing environmental injustices (EJ communities) that were evaluated using the Senate Bill 535 definition of disadvantaged communities, which found that between MATES IV and MATES V, the cancer risk from air toxics decreased by 57 percent in EJ communities overall, compared to a 53 percent reduction in non-EJ communities.

In order to provide a perspective of risk, it is often estimated that the incidence in cancer over a lifetime for the U.S. population ranges between 1 in 3 to 4 and 1 in 3, or a risk of about 300,000 per million persons. The MATES-III study referenced a Harvard Report on Cancer Prevention, which estimated that of cancers associated with known risk factors, about 30 percent were related to tobacco, about 30 percent were

related to diet and obesity, and about 2 percent were associated with environmental pollution related exposures that includes hazardous air pollutants.

8.0 MODELING PARAMETERS AND ASSUMPTIONS

8.1 CalEEMod Model Input Parameters

The criteria air pollution and year 2030 GHG emissions impacts created by the proposed project have been analyzed through use of CalEEMod Version 2020.4.0. CalEEMod is a computer model published by the SCAQMD for estimating air pollutant emissions. The CalEEMod program uses the EMFAC2017 computer program to calculate the emission rates specific for the South Coast Air Basin portion of Riverside County for employee, vendor and haul truck vehicle trips and the OFFROAD2011 computer program to calculate emission rates for heavy equipment operations. EMFAC2017 and OFFROAD2011 are computer programs generated by CARB that calculates composite emission rates for vehicles. Emission rates are reported by the program in grams per trip and grams per mile or grams per running hour.

The project characteristics in the CalEEMod model were set to a project location of the South Coast Air Basin portion of Riverside County, a Climate Zone of 10, utility company of Southern California Edison and a project opening year of 2024. In addition, the EMFAC off-model adjustment factors for gasoline light duty vehicles was selected.

Land Use Parameters

The proposed project would consist of a residential development that would include 41 detached single-family homes (136,598 square feet of building space). The proposed project would include approximately 22,000 square feet of common open space that will include pathways throughout the development and a detention basin. Development of the proposed project will also include paving of the onsite roadway system, as well as road improvements to the portion of Orange Street that is adjacent to the project site that were also analyzed in the CalEEMod model. The proposed project's land use parameters that were entered into the CalEEMod model are shown in Table G.

Table G – CalEEMod Land Use Parameters

Proposed Land Use	Land Use Subtype in CalEEMod	Land Use Size ¹	Lot Acreage ²	Building/Paving ³ (square feet)
Single-Family Homes	Single-Family Housing	41 DU	6.27	136,598
Common Open Space	City Park	0.51 AC	0.51	22,216
Onsite Roads and Improvements to Orange Street Road	Other Asphalt Surfaces	2.11 AC	2.11	91,912
Schleisman Road Dedication Area	Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	1.11 AC	1.11	48,352

Notes:

¹ DU = Dwelling Unit; AC = Acres.

² Lot acreage calculated based on the total project site of 10 gross acres.

³ Building/Paving square feet represent area where architectural coatings will be applied. Paved area based on CalEEMod default values.

Construction Parameters

Construction of the proposed project is anticipated to start around December 2022 and would be completed in 18 months, which is based on the CalEEMod default timing for a project of this size. The construction-related GHG emissions were based on a 30-year amortization rate as recommended in the SCAQMD GHG Working Group meeting on November 19, 2009. The phases of construction activities that have been analyzed are detailed below and include: 1) Demolition, 2) Site Preparation; 3) Grading, 4) Building construction, 5) Paving; and 6) Application of architectural coatings.

The CalEEMod model provides the selection of “mitigation” to account for project conditions that would result in less emissions than a project without these conditions, however it should be noted that this “mitigation” may represent regulatory requirements. This includes the required to adherence to SCAQMD Rule 403, which requires that the Best Available Control Measures be utilized to reduce fugitive dust emissions.

Demolition

The demolition phase would consist of demolishing the existing ranch house with barn and associated fencing and facilities for livestock/horses that total approximately 6,700 square feet of building space. In addition, the existing driveways and walkways on the project site would also be demolished, which covers approximately 8,000 square feet of the project site. The pavement was assumed to be an average of 4-inches thick and weigh 145 pounds per square foot, which results in 193 tons of pavement that would be removed from the project site. For the existing structures, CalEEMod utilizes a factor of 0.046 tons of debris of building material per building square foot. This results in 308 tons of debris that would be generated from demolition of the 6,700 square feet of existing building space. Therefore, the combined demolition of the structures and pavement area would require the removal of 502 tons of debris that would be exported from the site and would require a total of 50 haul truck trips (average 2.5 haul truck trips per day over duration of demolition phase).

The demolition phase has been modeled as starting in December 2022 and occurring over four weeks, which is based on the CalEEMod default timing. The demolition activities would generate 15 worker trips per day. In order to account for water truck emissions, six vendor truck emissions were added to the demolition phase. The onsite equipment would consist of one concrete/industrial saw, three excavators, and two rubber-tired dozers, which is based on the CalEEMod default equipment mix. The mitigation of “water all exposed areas three times per day” and “replace ground cover of area disturbed” were chosen in order to account for the fugitive dust reduction that would occur through adhering to SCAQMD Rule 403, which requires that the Best Available Control Measures be utilized to reduce fugitive dust emissions.

Site Preparation

The site preparation phase would consist of removing any vegetation, tree stumps, and stones onsite prior to grading. The site preparation phase would occur after completion of the demolition phase and was modeled as occurring over two weeks, which is based on the CalEEMod default timing. The site preparation activities would require 18 worker trips per day. In order to account for water truck emissions, six vendor truck emissions were added to the site preparation phase. The onsite equipment would consist of three rubber-tired dozers, and four crawler tractors, which replaced the CalEEMod default value of four of either tractors, loaders, or backhoes, in order to provide a more conservative analysis. The mitigation of “water all exposed areas three times per day” and “replace ground cover of area disturbed” were chosen in order to account for the fugitive dust reduction that would occur through adhering to SCAQMD Rule 403, which requires that the Best Available Control Measures be utilized to reduce fugitive dust emissions.

Grading

The grading phase would occur after completion of the site preparation phase and was modeled as occurring over six weeks, which is based on the CalEEMod default timing. The preliminary earthwork quantities for the proposed project show that 4,200 cubic yards of dirt will need to be imported to the project site. It is likely that the final earthwork quantities, will result in a balanced site with no dirt being

imported to the project site, however the import of 5,000 cubic yards of dirt to the project site was analyzed in CalEEMod, in order to provide a worst-case analysis. The import of 5,000 cubic yards of dirt would require a total of 625 haul truck trips (average 20.8 haul truck trips per day over duration of grading phase).

The onsite equipment would consist of two excavators, one grader, one rubber-tired dozer, two scrapers, and two crawler tractors, which replaced the CalEEMod default value of two of either tractors, loaders, or backhoes, in order to provide a more conservative analysis. The grading phase would generate 20 worker trips per day. In order to account for water truck emissions, six vendor truck emissions were added to the grading phase. The mitigation of “water all exposed areas three times per day” and “replace ground cover of area disturbed” were chosen in order to account for the fugitive dust reduction that would occur through adhering to SCAQMD Rule 403, which requires that the Best Available Control Measures be utilized to reduce fugitive dust emissions.

Building Construction

The building construction would occur after the completion of the grading phase and was modeled as occurring over 14 months, which is based on the CalEEMod default timing. The building construction phase would generate 83 worker trips and 31 vendor trips per day. The onsite equipment would consist of the simultaneous operation of one crane, three forklifts, one generator, one welder, and three of either tractors, loaders, or backhoes, which is based on the CalEEMod default equipment mix.

Paving

The paving phase would consist of paving the onsite roads and road improvements to the portion of Orange Street that is adjacent to the project site. The paving phase would occur after the completion of the building construction phase and was modeled as occurring over four weeks, which is based on the CalEEMod default timing. The paving phase would generate 15 worker trips per day. The onsite equipment would consist of the simultaneous operation of two pavers, two paving equipment, and two rollers, which is based on the CalEEMod default equipment mix.

Architectural Coating

The application of architectural coatings would occur after the completion of the paving phase and was modeled as occurring over four weeks, which is based on the CalEEMod default timing. The architectural coating phase was modeled based on covering 276,611 square feet of residential interior area, 92,204 square feet of residential exterior area, and 8,416 square feet of roadway area. The architectural coating phase would generate 17 worker trips per day. The onsite equipment would consist of one air compressor, which is based on the CalEEMod default equipment mix.

Operational Emissions Modeling

The operations-related criteria air pollutant emissions and GHG emissions created by the proposed project have been analyzed through use of the CalEEMod model. The proposed project was analyzed in the CalEEMod model based on the land use parameters provided above and the parameters entered for each operational emission source is described below.

Mobile Sources

Mobile sources include emissions the additional vehicle miles generated from the proposed project. The weekday daily vehicle trip rates associated with the proposed project have been set to match the 388

project generated daily trips from the *Project Scoping Agreement for Land Use Project – PLN22-20024* (Traffic Scoping Agreement), prepared by Urban Crossroads, June 27, 2022. Since the Traffic Analysis did not provide Saturday or Sunday daily trip rates for the proposed project, the default CalEEMod daily trip generation rates of: 9.54 per single-family home on Saturdays; and 8.55 per single-family home on Sundays were utilized. Since the proposed open space area would be primarily utilized by the residents of the project and would not encourage people to drive to this open space area, the trip generation rate for the open space area was set to zero.

The CalEEMod model provides the selection of “mitigation” to account for project conditions that would result in less emissions than a project without these conditions, however it should be noted that this “mitigation” may represent current conditions, such as development that is in close proximity to existing sidewalks, where a project built at such location would create less vehicle trips and associated emissions than a project that was not built in close proximity to existing sidewalks. The mobile source emissions analysis for the project included the CalEEMod “mitigation” of “improved pedestrian network on project site and connecting offsite”.

Area Sources

Area sources include emissions from consumer products, landscape equipment, hearths and architectural coatings. The area source emissions were based on the on-going use of the proposed project in the CalEEMod model. According to the proposed project plans, no fireplaces or woodstoves would be installed into the proposed homes. As such, the number of woodstoves and fireplaces was set to zero in CalEEMod. No other changes were made to the default area source parameters in the CalEEMod model.

Energy Usage

Energy usage includes emissions from electricity and natural gas used onsite. The energy usage was based on the ongoing use of the proposed 41 single-family homes in the CalEEMod Model. No changes were made to the default energy usage parameters in the CalEEMod model.

The 2019 Title 24, Part 6 building energy efficiency standards went into effect January 1, 2020 and have been developed so that the average new home built in California will have zero-net-energy use. The 2019 Title 24 Part 6 standards also now require all new homes to install rooftop photovoltaic systems based on Section 150.1-C from: <https://www.energy.ca.gov/2018publications/CEC-400-2018-020/CEC-400-2018-020-CMF.pdf>

It should be noted that the Title 24 Report for the proposed project has not yet been prepared so the exact number of solar panels to be installed on the project site has not yet been calculated. However, Exception 3 to Section 150.1-c states that all two story homes shall provide a minimum of 1.0 Watt DC of solar panels per square foot of conditioned floor area. According to the Architectural Plans, the proposed project would have 112,384 square feet of conditioned floor area, which would result in the installation of 112.4 kilowatts of photovoltaic solar panels. Since the CalEEMod model requires that the total kilowatt-hours per year generated by the solar panels be entered into the model, the 112.4 kilowatts of solar panels was multiplied by 8 hours, to provide a conservative average hours per day of sunlight that the solar panels will generate electricity and then divided by 1.2 to account for the loss associated with converting the direct current (DC) power from the solar panels to the alternating current (AC) power on the electrical grid and then multiplying by 365 days, which resulted in the proposed solar panels generating 273,468 kilowatt-hours per year that was entered into the CalEEMod model

Solid Waste

Waste includes the GHG emissions associated with the processing of waste from the proposed project as well as the GHG emissions from the waste once it is interred into a landfill. The analysis was based on the default CalEEMod waste generation rate of 48 tons of solid waste per year from the proposed project. No changes were made to the default solid waste parameters or mitigation measures in the CalEEMod model.

The CalEEMod mitigation of a 50 percent reduction in landfill waste was selected to account for implementation of AB 341 that provides strategies to reduce, recycle or compost solid waste by 75 percent by 2020. Only 50 percent was selected, since AB 341 builds upon the waste reduction measures of SB 939 and 1374 and therefore, it was assumed approximately 25 percent of the waste reduction target has already been accounted for in the CalEEMod model.

Water and Wastewater

Water includes the water used for the interior of the buildings as well as for landscaping and is based on the GHG emissions associated with the energy used to transport and filter the water. The analysis was based on the default CalEEMod water usage rate of 2,671,320 gallons per year of indoor water use and 2,291,745 gallons per year of outdoor water use. No changes were made to the default water and wastewater parameters in the CalEEMod model.

The CalEEMod “mitigation” of the use of low flow faucets, showers and toilets and use of smart irrigation system controllers were selected to account for the implementation of the 2019 CCR Title 24 Part 11 (CalGreen) requirements, which lowered the calculated water use for the proposed project to 2,137,050 gallons per year of indoor water use and 2,151,949 gallons per year of outdoor water use.

8.2 Energy Use Calculations

The proposed project is anticipated to consume energy during both construction and operation of the proposed project and the parameters utilized to calculate energy use from construction and operation of the proposed project are detailed separately below.

Construction-Related Energy Use

Construction of the proposed project is anticipated to use energy in the forms of petroleum fuel for both off-road equipment as well as from the transport of workers and materials to and from the project site and the calculations for each source are described below.

Off-Road Construction Equipment

The off-road construction equipment fuel usage was calculated through use of the CalEEMod model’s default off-road equipment assumptions detailed above in Section 8.1. For each piece of off-road equipment, the fuel usage was calculated through use of the *2017 Off-road Diesel Emission Factors* spreadsheet, prepared by CARB (<https://ww3.arb.ca.gov/msei/ordiesel.htm>). The Spreadsheet provides the following formula to calculate fuel usage from off-road equipment:

$$\text{Fuel Used} = \text{Load Factor} \times \text{Horsepower} \times \text{Total Operational Hours} \times \text{BSFC} / \text{Unit Conversion}$$

Where:

Load Factor - Obtained from CalEEMod default values

Horsepower – Obtained from CalEEMod default values

Total Operational Hours – Calculated by multiplying CalEEMod default daily hours by CalEEMod default number of working days for each phase of construction

BSFC – Brake Specific Fuel Consumption (pounds per horsepower-hour) – If less than 100 Horsepower = 0.408, if greater than 100 Horsepower = 0.367

Unit Conversion – Converts pounds to gallons = 7.109

Table H shows the off-road construction equipment fuel calculations based on the above formula. Table H shows that the off-road equipment utilized during construction of the proposed project would consume 58,190 gallons of diesel fuel.

Table H – Off-Road Equipment and Fuel Consumption from Construction of the Proposed Project

Equipment Type	Equipment Quantity	Horse-power	Load Factor	Operating Hours per Day	Total Operational Hours ¹	Fuel Used (gallons)
Demolition						
Concrete/Industrial Saws	1	81	0.73	8	160	543
Excavators	3	158	0.38	8	480	1,488
Rubber Tired Dozers	2	247	0.40	8	320	1,632
Site Preparation						
Rubber Tired Dozers	3	247	0.40	8	240	1,224
Crawler Tractors	4	212	0.43	8	320	1,506
Grading						
Excavators	2	158	0.38	8	480	1,488
Grader	1	187	0.41	8	240	950
Rubber Tired Dozer	1	247	0.40	8	240	1,224
Scrapers	2	367	0.48	8	480	4,356
Crawler Tractors	2	212	0.43	8	480	2,259
Building Construction						
Crane	1	231	0.29	7	2,100	7,263
Forklifts	3	89	0.20	8	7,200	7,355
Generator Set	1	84	0.74	8	2,400	8,562
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	3	97	0.37	7	6,300	12,977
Welder	1	46	0.45	8	2,400	2,851
Paving						
Pavers	2	130	0.42	8	320	902
Paving Equipment	2	132	0.36	8	320	785
Rollers	2	80	0.38	8	320	558
Architectural Coating						
Air Compressor	1	78	0.48	6	120	258
Total Off-Road Equipment Diesel Fuel Used during Construction (gallons)						58,190

Notes:

¹ Based on: 20 days for demolition, 10 days for Site Preparation, 30 days for Grading; 300 days for Building Construction; 20 days for Paving; and 20 days for Architectural Coating.

Source: CalEEMod Version 2020.4.0 (see Appendix A); CARB, 2017.

On-Road Construction-Related Vehicle Trips

The on-road construction-related vehicle trips fuel usage was calculated through use of the construction vehicle trip assumptions from the CalEEMod model run as detailed above in Section 8.1. The calculated total construction miles were then divided by the fleet average for the South Coast Air Basin miles per gallon rates for the year 2022 calculated through use of the EMFAC2017 model (<https://www.arb.ca.gov/emfac/2017/>) and the EMFAC2017 model printouts are shown in Appendix B. The worker trips were based on the entire fleet average miles per gallon rate for gasoline powered vehicles and the vendor trips were based on the Heavy-Heavy Duty Truck (HHDT), Medium Duty Vehicle (MDV), and Medium Heavy-Duty Vehicle (MHDV) fleet average miles per gallon rate for diesel-powered vehicles. Table I shows the on-road construction vehicle trips modeled in CalEEMod and the fuel usage calculations.

Table I – On-Road Vehicle Trips and Fuel Consumption from Construction of the Proposed Project

Vehicle Trip Types / Fuel Type	Daily Trips	Trip Length (miles)	Total Miles per Day	Total Miles per Phase ¹	Fleet Average Miles per Gallon ²	Fuel Used (gallons)
Demolition						
Worker (Gasoline)	15	14.7	221	4,410	26.0	170
Haul Truck (Diesel)	2.5	20.0	50	1,000	8.2	122
Vendor Truck (Diesel)	6	6.9	41	828	8.2	101
Site Preparation						
Worker (Gasoline)	18	14.7	265	2,646	26.0	102
Vendor Truck (Diesel)	6	6.9	41	414	8.2	50
Grading						
Worker (Gasoline)	20	14.7	294	8,820	26.0	339
Haul Truck (Diesel)	20.8	20.0	417	12,500	8.2	1,520
Vendor Truck (Diesel)	6	6.9	41	1,242	8.2	151
Building Construction						
Worker (Gasoline)	83	14.7	1,220	366,030	26.0	14,084
Vendor Truck (Diesel)	31	6.9	214	64,170	8.2	7,802
Paving						
Worker (Gasoline)	15	14.7	221	4,410	26.0	170
Architectural Coating						
Worker (Gasoline)	17	14.7	250	4,998	26.0	192
Total Gasoline Fuel Used from On-Road Construction Vehicles (gallons)						15,057
Total Diesel Fuel Used from On-Road Construction Vehicles (gallons)						9,745

Notes:

¹ Based on: 20 days for demolition, 10 days for Site Preparation, 30 days for Grading; 300 days for Building Construction; 20 days for Paving; and 20 days for Architectural Coating.

² From EMFAC 2017 model (see Appendix B). Worker Trips based on entire fleet of gasoline vehicles and Vendor Trips based on only truck fleet of diesel vehicles.

Source: CalEEMod Version 2020.4.0; CARB, 2018.

Table I shows that the on-road construction-related vehicle trips would consume 15,057 gallons of gasoline and 9,745 gallons of diesel fuel. As detailed above in Table H shows that the off-road construction equipment would consume 58,190 gallons of diesel fuel. This would result in the total consumption of 15,057 gallons of gasoline and 67,935 gallons of diesel fuel from construction of the proposed project.

Operations-Related Energy Use

The operation of the proposed project is anticipated to use energy in the forms of petroleum fuel, electricity, and natural gas, and the calculations for each source are described below.

Operational Petroleum Fuel

The on-road operations-related vehicle trips fuel usage was calculated through use of the total annual vehicle miles traveled assumptions from the CalEEMod model run as detailed above in Section 8.1, which found that operation of the proposed project would generate 1,282,589 vehicle miles traveled per year. The calculated total operational miles were then divided by the South Coast Air Basin average rates of 27.5 miles per gallon, which was calculated through use of the EMFAC2017 model and based on year 2024. The EMFAC2017 model printouts are shown in Appendix B. Based on the above calculation methodology, the operation of the proposed project would consume 46,681 gallons of gasoline per year.

Operational Electricity Use

The operations-related electricity usage was calculated in the CalEEMod model run that is detailed above in Section 8.1 that found the proposed project will use 53,083 kilowatt hours (kWh) per year with implementation of Title 24 Part 6 requirements that require the implementation of building energy efficiency standards that include the installation of photovoltaic systems on the rooftops of the proposed homes.

Operational Natural Gas Use

The operations-related natural gas usage was calculated in the CalEEMod model run that is detailed above in Section 8.1 that found the proposed project will use 1,160,000 kilo British Thermal Units (kBtu) per year, which is equivalent to 1,160 mega-British Thermal units (MBtu) per year of natural gas.

9.0 THRESHOLDS OF SIGNIFICANCE

9.1 Regional Air Quality

Many air quality impacts that derive from dispersed mobile sources, which are the dominate pollution generators in the Air Basin, often occurs hours later and miles away after photochemical processes have converted primary exhaust pollutants into secondary contaminants such as ozone. The incremental regional air quality impact of an individual project is generally very small and difficult to measure. Therefore, SCAQMD has developed significance thresholds based on the volume of pollution emitted rather than on actual ambient air quality because the direct air quality impact of a project is not quantifiable on a regional scale. The SCAQMD CEQA Handbook states that any project in the Air Basin with daily emissions that exceed any of the identified significance thresholds should be considered as having an individually and cumulatively significant air quality impact. For the purposes to this air quality impact analysis, a regional air quality impact would be considered significant if emissions exceed the SCAQMD significance thresholds identified in Table J.

Table J – SCAQMD Regional Criteria Pollutant Emission Thresholds of Significance

	Pollutant Emissions (pounds/day)						
	VOC	NO _x	CO	SO _x	PM ₁₀	PM _{2.5}	Lead
Construction	75	100	550	150	150	55	3
Operation	55	55	550	150	150	55	3

Source: <http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/ceqa/handbook/scaqmd-air-quality-significance-thresholds.pdf?sfvrsn=2>

9.2 Local Air Quality

Project-related construction air emissions may have the potential to exceed the State and Federal air quality standards in the project vicinity, even though these pollutant emissions may not be significant enough to create a regional impact to the Air Basin. In order to assess local air quality impacts the SCAQMD has developed Localized Significant Thresholds (LSTs) to assess the project-related air emissions in the project vicinity. SCAQMD has also provided *Final Localized Significance Threshold Methodology* (LST Methodology), July 2008, which details the methodology to analyze local air emission impacts. The LST Methodology found that the primary emissions of concern are NO₂, CO, PM₁₀, and PM_{2.5}.

The LST Methodology provides Look-Up Tables with different thresholds based on the location and size of the project site and distance to the nearest sensitive receptors. As detailed above in Section 7.3, the project site is located in Monitoring Area 22, which covers the Norco and Corona areas to the San Bernardino County and Orange County Lines.

The Look-Up Tables include site acreage sizes of 1-acre, 2-acres and 5-acres. The *Fact Sheet for Applying CalEEMod to Localized Significance Thresholds*, prepared by SCAQMD, 2015, provides guidance on how to determine the appropriate site acreage size to utilize for a project. The Fact Sheet details the site acreage should be based on the maximum number of acres disturbed on the peak day of construction that is calculated on the construction equipment list utilized in the CalEEMod model, where crawler tractors, graders, and rubber-tired dozers are all assumed to disturb 0.5-acre in an 8-hour day and scrapers are assumed to disturb 1.0-acre in an 8-hour day. It should be noted that the methodology in the Fact Sheet was developed from the CalEEMod User Guide Appendix A, page 9, where the same acres disturbed per

equipment type is detailed and is utilized in the CalEEMod model in order to determine the acres per day disturbed during site preparation and grading phases.

Table K lists all of the construction equipment modeled in CalEEMod and utilizes the methodology in the Fact Sheet to calculate the acres disturbed per day. As shown in Table K, the maximum disturbed per day would occur during the grading phase when 4.0-acres would be disturbed. As such, the 2-acre and 5-acre project sites shown in the Look-Up Tables were interpolated in order to calculate the 4.0-acre threshold that has been utilized in this analysis.

Table K – Construction Equipment Modeled in CalEEMod and Acres Disturbed per Day

Construction Activity	Equipment Type	Equipment Quantity	Acres Disturbed per piece of Equipment per Day ¹	Operating Hours per Day	Acres Disturbed per Day
Demolition	Concrete/Industrial Saws	1	0	8	0
	Excavators	3	0	8	0
	Rubber Tired Dozers	2	0.5	8	1.0
Total Acres Disturbed per Day During Demolition					1.0
Site Preparation	Rubber Tired Dozers	3	0.5	8	1.5
	Crawler Tractors	4	0.5	8	2.0
Total Acres Disturbed per Day During Site Preparation					3.5
Grading	Graders	1	0.5	8	0.5
	Excavators	2	0	8	0
	Rubber Tired Dozers	1	0.5	8	0.5
	Scrapers	2	1.0	8	2.0
	Crawler Tractors	2	0.5	8	1.0
Total Acres Disturbed per Day During Grading					4.0
Building Construction	Cranes	1	0	7	0
	Forklifts	3	0	8	0
	Generator Sets	1	0	8	0
	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	3	0	7	0
	Welders	1	0	8	0
Total Acres Disturbed per Day During Building Construction					0
Paving	Pavers	2	0	8	0
	Paving Equipment	2	0	8	0
	Rollers	2	0	8	0
Total Acres Disturbed per Day During Paving					0
Architectural Coating	Air Compressor	1	0	6	0
Total Acres Disturbed per Day During Architectural Coating					0
Maximum Acres Disturbed during All Construction Activities					4.0

Notes:

¹ Based on the Fact Sheet for Applying CalEEMod to Localized Significance Thresholds where crawler tractors, graders, and rubber-tired dozers disturb 0.5-acre in an 8-hour day and scrapers disturb 1.0-acre in an 8-hour day. All other equipment disturbs 0 acres per 8-hour day.

Source: CalEEMod Version 2020.4.0; SCAQMD, 2015.

The nearest sensitive receptors to the project site are the single-family homes that are located as near as 25 feet (8 meters) west of the project site. According to LST Methodology, any receptor located closer

than 25 meters (82 feet) shall be based on the 25-meter thresholds. Table L below shows the LSTs for NO₂, PM10 and PM2.5 for both construction and operational activities.

Table L – SCAQMD Local Air Quality Thresholds of Significance

Activity	Allowable Emissions (pounds/day) ¹			
	NOx	CO	PM10	PM2.5
Construction	237	1,469	10	7
Operation	237	1,469	3	2

Notes:

¹ The nearest sensitive receptors to the project site are single-family homes located as near as 25 feet (8 meters) west of the project site. According to SCAQMD methodology, all receptors closer than 25 meters are based on the 25-meter threshold.

Source: Calculated from SCAQMD’s Mass Rate Look-up Tables for two and five acres in Air Monitoring Area 22, Norco/Corona.

9.3 Toxic Air Contaminants

According to the SCAQMD CEQA Handbook, any project that has the potential to expose the public to toxic air contaminants in excess of the following thresholds would be considered to have a significant air quality impact:

- If the Maximum Incremental Cancer Risk is 10 in one million or greater; or
- Toxic air contaminants from the proposed project would result in a Hazard Index increase of 1 or greater.

In order to determine if the proposed project may have a significant impact related to toxic air contaminants (TACs), the *Health Risk Assessment Guidance for analyzing Cancer Risks from Mobile Source Diesel Idling Emissions for CEQA Air Quality Analysis*, (Diesel Analysis) prepared by SCAQMD, August 2003, recommends that if the proposed project is anticipated to create TACs through stationary sources or regular operations of diesel trucks on the project site, then the proximity of the nearest receptors to the source of the TAC and the toxicity of the HAP should be analyzed through a comprehensive facility-wide health risk assessment (HRA).

9.4 Odor Impacts

The SCAQMD CEQA Handbook states that an odor impact would occur if the proposed project creates an odor nuisance pursuant to SCAQMD Rule 402, which states:

“A person shall not discharge from any source whatsoever such quantities of air contaminants or other material which cause injury, detriment, nuisance, or annoyance to any considerable number of persons to the public, or which endanger the comfort, repose, health or safety of any such persons or the public, or which cause, or have a natural tendency to cause, injury or damage to business or property.

The provisions of this rule shall not apply to odors emanating from agricultural operations necessary for the growing of crops or the raising of fowl or animals.”

If the proposed project results in a violation of Rule 402 with regards to odor impacts, then the proposed project would create a significant odor impact.

9.5 Energy Conservation

The 2020 CEQA California Environmental Quality Act Statutes & Guidelines (2020 CEQA Guideline) now include an Energy Section that analyzes the proposed project's energy consumption in order to avoid or reduce inefficient, wasteful or unnecessary consumption of energy. Appendix F of the 2020 CEQA Statute and Guidelines, states the following:

The goal of conserving energy implies the wise and efficient use of energy. The means of achieving this goal include:

- (1) Decreasing overall per capita energy consumption,
- (2) Decreasing reliance on fossil fuels such as coal, natural gas and oil, and
- (3) Increasing reliance on renewable energy sources.

Since the Energy Section was recently added, no state or local agencies have adopted specific criteria or thresholds to be utilized in an energy impact analysis. However, Appendix F, Subsection II.C of the 2018 CEQA Guidelines provides the following criteria for determining significance.

1. The project's energy requirements and its energy use efficiencies by amount and fuel type for each stage of the project life cycle including construction, operation, maintenance and/or removal. If appropriate, the energy intensiveness of materials may be discussed.
2. The effects of the project on local and regional energy supplies and on requirement for additional capacity.
3. The effects of the project on peak and base period demands for electricity and other forms of energy.
4. The degree to which the project complies with existing energy standards.
5. The effects of the project on energy resources.
6. The project's projected transportation energy use requirements and its overall use of efficient transportation alternatives.

If the proposed project creates inefficient, wasteful or unnecessary consumption of energy during construction or operation activities or conflicts with a state or local plan for renewable energy or energy efficiency, then the proposed project would create a significant energy impact.

9.6 Greenhouse Gas Emissions

The proposed project is located within the jurisdiction of the SCAQMD. In order to identify significance criteria under CEQA for development projects, SCAQMD initiated a Working Group, which provided detailed methodology for evaluating significance under CEQA. At the September 28, 2010 Working Group meeting, the SCAQMD released its most current version of the draft GHG emissions thresholds, which recommends a tiered approach that provides a quantitative annual threshold of 3,000 MTCO_{2e} for all land use projects. Although the SCAQMD provided substantial evidence supporting the use of the above threshold, as of November 2017, the SCAQMD Board has not yet considered or approved the Working Group's thresholds.

It should be noted that SCAQMD's Working Group's thresholds were prepared prior to the issuance of Executive Order B-30-15 on April 29, 2015 that provided a reduction goal of 40 percent below 1990 levels by 2030. This target was codified into statute through passage of AB 197 and SB 32 in September 2016. However, to date no air district or local agency within California has provided guidance on how to address

AB 197 and SB 32 with relation to land use projects. In addition, the California Supreme Court's ruling on *Cleveland National Forest Foundation v. San Diego Association of Governments* (Cleveland v. SANDAG), Filed July 13, 2017 stated:

SANDAG did not abuse its discretion in declining to adopt the 2050 goal as a measure of significance in light of the fact that the Executive Order does not specify any plan or implementation measures to achieve its goal. In its response to comments, the EIR said: "It is uncertain what role regional land use and transportation strategies can or should play in achieving the EO's 2050 emissions reduction target. A recent California Energy Commission report concludes, however, that the primary strategies to achieve this target should be major 'decarbonization' of electricity supplies and fuels, and major improvements in energy efficiency [citation]."

Although, the above court case was referencing California's GHG emission targets for the year 2050, at this time it is also unclear what role land use strategies can or should play in achieving the AB 197 and SB 32 reduction goal of 40 percent below 1990 levels by 2030. As such this analysis has relied on the SCAQMD Working Group's recommended thresholds. Therefore, the proposed project would be considered to create a significant cumulative GHG impact if the proposed project would exceed the annual threshold of 3,000 MTCO_{2e}.

The GHG emissions analysis for both construction and operation of the proposed project can be found below in Sections 10.8 and 10.9.

10.0 IMPACT ANALYSIS

10.1 CEQA Thresholds of Significance

Consistent with CEQA and the State CEQA Guidelines, a significant impact related to air quality, energy, and GHG emissions would occur if the proposed project is determined to:

- Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan;
- Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is in non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard;
- Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations;
- Result in other emissions (such as those leading to odors) adversely affecting a substantial number of people;
- Result in potentially significant environmental impact due to wasteful, inefficient, or unnecessary consumption of energy resources, during project construction or operation;
- Conflict with or obstruct a state or local plan for renewable energy;
- Generate GHG emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment; or
- Conflict with any applicable plan, policy or regulation of an agency adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of GHGs.

10.2 Air Quality Compliance

The proposed project would not conflict with or obstruct implementation of the SCAQMD Air Quality Management Plan (AQMP). The following section discusses the proposed project's consistency with the SCAQMD AQMP.

SCAQMD Air Quality Management Plan

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requires a discussion of any inconsistencies between a proposed project and applicable General Plans and regional plans (CEQA Guidelines Section 15125). The regional plan that applies to the proposed project includes the SCAQMD AQMP. Therefore, this section discusses any potential inconsistencies of the proposed project with the AQMP.

The purpose of this discussion is to set forth the issues regarding consistency with the assumptions and objectives of the AQMP and discuss whether the proposed project would interfere with the region's ability to comply with Federal and State air quality standards. If the decision-makers determine that the proposed project is inconsistent, the lead agency may consider project modifications or inclusion of mitigation to eliminate the inconsistency.

The SCAQMD CEQA Handbook states that "New or amended GP Elements (including land use zoning and density amendments), Specific Plans, and significant projects must be analyzed for consistency with the AQMP." Strict consistency with all aspects of the plan is usually not required. A proposed project should be considered to be consistent with the AQMP if it furthers one or more policies and does not obstruct other policies. The SCAQMD CEQA Handbook identifies two key indicators of consistency:

-
- (1) Whether the project will result in an increase in the frequency or severity of existing air quality violations or cause or contribute to new violations, or delay timely attainment of air quality standards or the interim emission reductions specified in the AQMP.
 - (2) Whether the project will exceed the assumptions in the AQMP or increments based on the year of project buildout and phase.

Both of these criteria are evaluated in the following sections.

Criterion 1 - Increase in the Frequency or Severity of Violations?

Based on the air quality modeling analysis contained in this report, short-term regional construction air emissions would not result in significant impacts based on SCAQMD regional thresholds of significance discussed above in Section 9.1 or local thresholds of significance discussed above in Section 9.2. The ongoing operation of the proposed project would generate air pollutant emissions that are inconsequential on a regional basis and would not result in significant impacts based on SCAQMD thresholds of significance discussed above in Section 9.1. The analysis for long-term local air quality impacts showed that local pollutant concentrations would not exceed the air quality standards. Therefore, a less than significant long-term impact would occur and no mitigation would be required.

Therefore, based on the information provided above, the proposed project would be consistent with the first criterion.

Criterion 2 - Exceed Assumptions in the AQMP?

Consistency with the AQMP assumptions is determined by performing an analysis of the proposed project with the assumptions in the AQMP. The emphasis of this criterion is to ensure that the analyses conducted for the proposed project are based on the same forecasts as the AQMP. The AQMP is developed through use of the planning forecasts provided in the Connect SoCal and 2019 FTIP. The Connect SoCal is a major planning document for the regional transportation and land use network within Southern California. The Connect SoCal is a long-range plan that is required by federal and state requirements placed on SCAG and is updated every four years. The 2019 FTIP provides long-range planning for future transportation improvement projects that are constructed with state and/or federal funds within Southern California. Local governments are required to use these plans as the basis of their plans for the purpose of consistency with applicable regional plans under CEQA. For this project, the City of Eastvale General Plan's Land Use Plan Land Use Plan defines the assumptions that are represented in AQMP.

The project site is currently designated as Medium Density Residential in the General Plan Land Use Plan. The proposed residential project is an allowed use under the Medium Density Residential designation. Therefore, the proposed project would not result in an inconsistency with the current land use designations with respect to the regional forecasts utilized by the AQMPs. As such, the proposed project is not anticipated to exceed the AQMP assumptions for the project site and is found to be consistent with the AQMP for the second criterion.

Based on the above, the proposed project will not result in an inconsistency with the SCAQMD AQMP. Therefore, a less than significant impact will occur in relation to implementation of the AQMP.

Level of Significance

Less than significant impact.

10.3 Cumulative Net Increase in Non-Attainment Pollution

The proposed project would not result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable Federal or State ambient air quality standard.

The SCAQMD has published a report on how to address cumulative impacts from air pollution: White Paper on Potential Control Strategies to Address Cumulative Impacts from Air Pollution (<http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/Agendas/Environmental-Justice/cumulative-impacts-working-group/cumulative-impacts-white-paper.pdf>). In this report the AQMD clearly states (Page D-3):

“...the AQMD uses the same significance thresholds for project specific and cumulative impacts for all environmental topics analyzed in an Environmental Assessment or Environmental Impact Report (EIR). The only case where the significance thresholds for project specific and cumulative impacts differ is the Hazard Index (HI) significance threshold for TAC emissions. The project specific (project increment) significance threshold is $HI > 1.0$ while the cumulative (facility- wide) is $HI > 3.0$. It should be noted that the HI is only one of three TAC emission significance thresholds considered (when applicable) in a CEQA analysis. The other two are the maximum individual cancer risk (MICR) and the cancer burden, both of which use the same significance thresholds (MICR of 10 in 1 million and cancer burden of 0.5) for project specific and cumulative impacts. Projects that exceed the project-specific significance thresholds are considered by the SCAQMD to be cumulatively considerable. This is the reason project-specific and cumulative significance thresholds are the same. Conversely, projects that do not exceed the project-specific thresholds are generally not considered to be cumulatively significant.”

Therefore, this analysis assumes that individual projects that do not generate operational or construction emissions that exceed the SCAQMD’s recommended daily thresholds for project- specific impacts would also not cause a cumulatively considerable increase in emissions for those pollutants for which the Basin is in nonattainment, and, therefore, would not be considered to have a significant, adverse air quality impact. Alternatively, individual project-related construction and operational emissions that exceed SCAQMD thresholds for project-specific impacts would be considered cumulatively considerable. The following section calculates the potential air emissions associated with the construction and operations of the proposed project and compares the emissions to the SCAQMD standards.

Construction Emissions

The construction activities for the proposed project are anticipated to include demolition of the existing ranch house with barn and associated fencing and facilities for livestock/horses, site preparation and grading of the 10.0 gross acre project site, building construction of the 41 single-family homes, paving of the onsite roads and road improvements to the portion of Orange Street that is adjacent to the project site, and application of architectural coatings. The construction emissions have been analyzed for both regional and local air quality impacts.

Construction-Related Regional Impacts

The CalEEMod model has been utilized to calculate the construction-related regional emissions from the proposed project and the input parameters utilized in this analysis have been detailed in Section 8.1. The worst-case summer or winter daily construction-related criteria pollutant emissions from the proposed project for each phase of construction activities are shown below in Table M and the CalEEMod daily

printouts are shown in Appendix A. Since it is possible that building construction, paving, and architectural coating activities may occur concurrently towards the end of the building construction phase, Table M also shows the combined regional criteria pollutant emissions from building construction (year 2023), paving and architectural coating phases of construction.

Table M – Construction-Related Regional Criteria Pollutant Emissions

Activity	Pollutant Emissions (pounds/day)					
	VOC	NOx	CO	SO ₂	PM10	PM2.5
Demolition (Year 2022)¹						
Onsite ²	2.64	25.72	20.59	0.04	1.43	1.18
Offsite ³	0.08	0.64	0.76	<0.01	0.26	0.08
Total	2.72	26.36	21.35	0.04	1.69	1.26
Site Preparation (Year 2023)¹						
Onsite ²	3.83	41.88	18.29	0.06	9.23	5.16
Offsite ³	0.07	0.25	0.74	<0.01	0.24	0.07
Total	3.90	42.13	19.03	0.06	9.47	5.23
Grading (Year 2023)¹						
Onsite ²	3.91	41.69	28.08	0.07	5.20	2.83
Offsite ³	0.13	2.46	1.39	0.01	0.65	0.20
Total	4.03	44.15	29.46	0.09	5.85	3.03
Building Construction (Year 2023)						
Onsite	1.57	14.38	16.24	0.03	0.70	0.66
Offsite	0.34	1.27	3.46	0.01	1.14	0.32
Total	1.91	15.65	19.71	0.04	1.84	0.97
Combined Year 2024 Building Construction, Paving, and Architectural Coatings						
Onsite	47.60	24.19	32.60	0.05	1.14	1.07
Offsite	0.43	1.31	4.36	0.02	1.50	0.41
Total	48.03	25.50	36.96	0.07	2.64	1.48
Maximum Daily Construction Emissions	48.03	44.15	36.96	0.09	9.47	5.23
SCQAMD Thresholds	75	100	550	150	150	55
Exceeds Threshold?	No	No	No	No	No	No

Notes:

¹ Demolition, Site Preparation and Grading based on adherence to fugitive dust suppression requirements from SCAQMD Rule 403.

² Onsite emissions from equipment not operated on public roads.

³ Offsite emissions from vehicles operating on public roads.

Source: CalEEMod Version 2020.4.0.

Table M shows that none of the analyzed criteria pollutants would exceed the regional emissions thresholds during either demolition, site preparation, grading, or the combined building construction, paving and architectural coatings phases. Therefore, a less than significant regional air quality impact would occur from construction of the proposed project.

Construction-Related Local Impacts

Construction-related air emissions may have the potential to exceed the State and Federal air quality standards in the project vicinity, even though these pollutant emissions may not be significant enough to create a regional impact to the Air Basin.

The local air quality emissions from construction were analyzed through utilizing the methodology described in *Localized Significance Threshold Methodology* (LST Methodology), prepared by SCAQMD, revised October 2009. The LST Methodology found the primary criteria pollutant emissions of concern are NOx, CO, PM10, and PM2.5. In order to determine if any of these pollutants require a detailed analysis of the local air quality impacts, each phase of construction was screened using the SCAQMD’s Mass Rate LST Look-up Tables. The Look-up Tables were developed by the SCAQMD in order to readily determine if the daily onsite emissions of CO, NOx, PM10, and PM2.5 from the proposed project could result in a significant impact to the local air quality.

Table N shows the onsite emissions from the CalEEMod model for the different construction phases and the calculated localized emissions thresholds that have been detailed above in Section 9.2. Since it is possible that building construction, paving, and architectural coating activities may occur concurrently towards the end of the building construction phase, Table N also shows the combined local criteria pollutant emissions from year 2024 building construction, paving and architectural coating phases of construction.

Table N – Construction-Related Local Criteria Pollutant Emissions

Construction Phase	Pollutant Emissions (pounds/day) ¹			
	NOx	CO	PM10	PM2.5
Demolition ²	25.80	20.69	1.46	1.19
Site Preparation ²	41.91	18.39	9.26	5.17
Grading ²	42.00	28.25	5.28	2.86
Building Construction (Year 2023)	14.54	16.68	0.84	0.70
Combined Building Construction (Year 2024), Paving and Architectural Coatings	24.35	33.15	1.33	1.12
Maximum Daily Construction Emissions	42.00	33.15	9.26	5.17
SCAQMD Local Construction Thresholds³	237	1,469	10	7
Exceeds Threshold?	No	No	No	No

Notes:

¹ The Pollutant Emissions include 100% of the On-Site emissions (off-road equipment and fugitive dust) and 1/8 of the Off-Site emissions (on road trucks and worker vehicles), in order to account for the on-road emissions that occur within a ¼ mile of the project site.

² Demolition, Site Preparation and Grading phases based on adherence to fugitive dust suppression requirements from SCAQMD Rule 403.

³ The nearest offsite sensitive receptors to the project site are single-family homes located as near as 25 feet (8 meters) west of the project site. According to SCAQMD methodology, all receptors closer than 25 meters are based on the 25-meter threshold.

Source: Calculated from SCAQMD’s Mass Rate Look-up Tables for two and five acres in Air Monitoring Area 22, Norco/Corona.

The data provided in Table N shows that none of the analyzed criteria pollutants would exceed the local emissions thresholds during either demolition, site preparation, grading, or the combined building construction, paving, and architectural coatings phases. Therefore, a less than significant local air quality impact would occur from construction of the proposed project.

Operational Emissions

The on-going operation of the proposed project would result in a long-term increase in air quality emissions. This increase would be due to emissions from the project-generated vehicle trips, emissions from energy usage, onsite area source emissions, and off-road equipment created from the on-going use of the proposed project. The following section provides an analysis of potential long-term air quality impacts due to regional air quality and local air quality impacts with the on-going operations of the proposed project.

Operations-Related Regional Criteria Pollutant Analysis

The operations-related regional criteria air quality impacts created by the proposed project have been analyzed through use of the CalEEMod model and the input parameters utilized in this analysis have been detailed in Section 8.1. The worst-case summer or winter VOC, NOx, CO, SO₂, PM10, and PM2.5 daily emissions created from the proposed project's long-term operations have been calculated and are summarized below in Table O and the CalEEMod daily emissions printouts are shown in Appendix A.

Table O – Operational Regional Criteria Pollutant Emissions

Activity	Pollutant Emissions (pounds/day)					
	VOC	NOx	CO	SO ₂	PM10	PM2.5
Area Sources ¹	3.10	0.04	3.38	<0.01	0.02	0.02
Energy Usage ²	0.03	0.29	0.12	<0.01	0.02	0.02
Mobile Sources ³	1.21	1.66	11.78	0.03	2.79	0.76
Total Emissions	4.35	1.99	15.28	0.03	2.83	0.80
SCQAMD Operational Thresholds	55	55	550	150	150	55
Exceeds Threshold?	No	No	No	No	No	No

Notes:

¹ Area sources consist of emissions from consumer products, architectural coatings, hearths (no hearths included in project) and landscaping equipment.

² Energy usage consist of emissions from natural gas usage.

³ Mobile sources consist of emissions from vehicles and road dust.

Source: Calculated from CalEEMod Version 2020.4.0.

The data provided in Table O shows that none of the analyzed criteria pollutants would exceed the regional emissions thresholds. Therefore, a less than significant regional air quality impact would occur from operation of the proposed project.

Friant Ranch Case

The operations-related regional criteria air quality impacts In *Sierra Club v. County of Fresno* (2018) 6 Cal.5th 502 (also referred to as "*Friant Ranch*"), the California Supreme Court held that when an EIR concluded that when a project would have significant impacts to air quality impacts, an EIR should "make a reasonable effort to substantively connect a project's air quality impacts to likely health consequences." In order to determine compliance with this Case, the Court developed a multi-part test that includes the following:

- 1) The air quality discussion shall describe the specific health risks created from each criteria pollutant, including diesel particulate matter.

This Analysis details the specific health risks created from each criteria pollutant above in Section 4.1 and specifically in Table B. In addition, the specific health risks created from diesel particulate matter is detailed above in Section 2.2 of this analysis. As such, this analysis meets the part 1 requirements of the Friant Ranch Case.

- 2) The analysis shall identify the magnitude of the health risks created from the Project. The Ruling details how to identify the magnitude of the health risks. Specifically, on page 24 of the ruling it states "The Court of Appeal identified several ways in which the EIR could have framed the analysis so as to adequately inform the public and decision makers of possible adverse health

effects. The County could have, for example, identified the Project's impact on the days of nonattainment per year."

The Friant Ranch Case found that an EIR's air quality analysis must meaningfully connect the identified air quality impacts to the human health consequences of those impacts, or meaningfully explain why that analysis cannot be provided. As noted in the Brief of Amicus Curiae by the SCAQMD in the Friant Ranch case (<https://www.courts.ca.gov/documents/9-s219783-ac-south-coast-air-quality-mgt-dist-041315.pdf>) (Brief), SCAQMD has among the most sophisticated air quality modeling and health impact evaluation capability of any of the air districts in the State, and thus it is uniquely situated to express an opinion on how lead agencies should correlate air quality impacts with specific health outcomes. The SCAQMD discusses that it may be infeasible to quantify health risks caused by projects similar to the proposed Project, due to many factors. It is necessary to have data regarding the sources and types of air toxic contaminants, location of emission points, velocity of emissions, the meteorology and topography of the area, and the location of receptors (worker and residence). The Brief states that it may not be feasible to perform a health risk assessment for airborne toxics that will be emitted by a generic industrial building that was built on "speculation" (i.e., without knowing the future tenant(s)). Even where a health risk assessment can be prepared, however, the resulting maximum health risk value is only a calculation of risk, it does not necessarily mean anyone will contract cancer as a result of the Project. The Brief also cites the author of the CARB methodology, which reported that a PM2.5 methodology is not suited for small projects and may yield unreliable results. Similarly, SCAQMD staff does not currently know of a way to accurately quantify ozone-related health impacts caused by NOX or VOC emissions from relatively small projects, due to photochemistry and regional model limitations. The Brief concludes, with respect to the Friant Ranch EIR, that although it may have been technically possible to plug the data into a methodology, the results would not have been reliable or meaningful.

On the other hand, for extremely large regional projects (unlike the proposed project), the SCAQMD states that it has been able to correlate potential health outcomes for very large emissions sources – as part of their rulemaking activity, specifically 6,620 pounds per day of NOx and 89,180 pounds per day of VOC were expected to result in approximately 20 premature deaths per year and 89,947 school absences due to ozone. As shown above in Table M, project-related construction activities would generate a maximum of 48.03 pounds per day of VOC and 44.15 pounds per day of NOx and as shown above in Table O, operation of the proposed project would generate 4.35 pounds per day of VOC and 1.99 pounds per day NOx. The proposed project would not generate anywhere near these levels of 6,620 pounds per day of NOx or 89,190 pounds per day of VOC emissions. Therefore, the proposed project's emissions are not sufficiently high enough to use a regional modeling program to correlate health effects on a basin-wide level.

Notwithstanding, this analysis does evaluate the proposed project's localized impact to air quality for emissions of CO, NOX, PM10, and PM2.5 by comparing the proposed project's onsite emissions to the SCAQMD's applicable LST thresholds. As evaluated in this analysis, the proposed project would not result in emissions that exceeded the SCAQMD's LSTs. Therefore, the proposed project would not be expected to exceed the most stringent applicable federal or state ambient air quality standards for emissions of CO, NOX, PM10, and PM2.5.

Operations-Related Local Air Quality Impacts

Project-related air emissions may have the potential to exceed the State and Federal air quality standards in the project vicinity, even though these pollutant emissions may not be significant enough to create a

regional impact to the Air Basin. The proposed project has been analyzed for the potential local CO emission impacts from the project-generated vehicular trips and from the potential local air quality impacts from on-site operations. The following analyzes the vehicular CO emissions and local impacts from on-site operations.

Local CO Hotspot Impacts from Project-Generated Vehicular Trips

CO is the pollutant of major concern along roadways because the most notable source of CO is motor vehicles. For this reason, CO concentrations are usually indicative of the local air quality generated by a roadway network and are used as an indicator of potential local air quality impacts. Local air quality impacts can be assessed by comparing future without and with project CO levels to the State and Federal CO standards of 20 ppm over one hour or 9 ppm over eight hours.

At the time of the 1993 Handbook, the Air Basin was designated nonattainment under the CAAQS and NAAQS for CO. With the turnover of older vehicles, introduction of cleaner fuels, and implementation of control technology on industrial facilities, CO concentrations in the Air Basin and in the state have steadily declined. In 2007, the Air Basin was designated in attainment for CO under both the CAAQS and NAAQS. SCAQMD conducted a CO hot spot analysis for attainment at the busiest intersections in Los Angeles during the peak morning and afternoon periods and did not predict a violation of CO standards³. Since the nearby intersections to the proposed project are much smaller with less traffic than what was analyzed by the SCAQMD, no local CO Hotspot are anticipated to be created from the proposed project and no CO Hotspot modeling was performed. Therefore, a less than significant long-term air quality impact is anticipated to local air quality with the on-going use of the proposed project.

Local Criteria Pollutant Impacts from Onsite Operations

Project-related air emissions from onsite sources such as architectural coatings, landscaping equipment, and onsite usage of natural gas appliances may have the potential to create emissions areas that exceed the State and Federal air quality standards in the project vicinity, even though these pollutant emissions may not be significant enough to create a regional impact to the Air Basin.

The local air quality emissions from onsite operations were analyzed using the SCAQMD's Mass Rate LST Look-up Tables and the methodology described in LST Methodology. The Look-up Tables were developed by the SCAQMD in order to readily determine if the daily emissions of CO, NO_x, PM₁₀, and PM_{2.5} from the proposed project could result in a significant impact to the local air quality. Table P shows the onsite emissions from the CalEEMod model that includes area sources, energy usage, onsite off-road equipment, and vehicles operating in the immediate vicinity of the project site and the calculated emissions thresholds.

³The four intersections analyzed by the SCAQMD were: Long Beach Boulevard and Imperial Highway; Wilshire Boulevard and Veteran Avenue; Sunset Boulevard and Highland Avenue; and La Cienega Boulevard and Century Boulevard. The busiest intersection evaluated (Wilshire and Veteran) had a daily traffic volume of approximately 100,000 vehicles per day with LOS E in the morning and LOS F in the evening peak hour.

Table P – Operations-Related Local Criteria Pollutant Emissions

Onsite Emission Source	Pollutant Emissions (pounds/day)			
	NOx	CO	PM10	PM2.5
Area Sources	0.04	3.38	0.02	0.02
Energy Usage	0.29	0.12	0.02	0.02
Mobile Sources ¹	0.21	1.47	0.35	0.09
Total Emissions	0.54	4.98	0.39	0.14
SCAQMD Local Operational Thresholds²	237	1,469	3	2
Exceeds Threshold?	No	No	No	No

Notes:

³ Mobile sources based on 1/8 of the gross vehicular emissions, which is the estimated portion of vehicle emissions occurring within a quarter mile of the project site.

² The nearest sensitive receptors to the project site are single-family homes located as near as 25 feet (8 meters) west of the project site. According to SCAQMD methodology, all receptors closer than 25 meters are based on the 25-meter threshold.

Source: Calculated from SCAQMD’s Mass Rate Look-up Tables for two and five acres in Air Monitoring Area 22, Norco/Corona.

The data provided in Table P shows that the on-going operations of the proposed project would not exceed the local NOx, CO, PM10 and PM2.5 thresholds of significance discussed above in Section 9.2. Therefore, the on-going operations of the proposed project would create a less than significant operations-related impact to local air quality due to onsite emissions and no mitigation would be required.

Therefore, the proposed project would not result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant.

Level of Significance

Less than significant impact.

10.4 Sensitive Receptors

The proposed project would not expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations. The local concentrations of criteria pollutant emissions produced in the nearby vicinity of the proposed project, which may expose sensitive receptors to substantial concentrations have been calculated above in Section 10.3 for both construction and operations, which are discussed separately below. The discussion below also includes an analysis of the potential impacts from local criteria pollutant and toxic air contaminant emissions. The nearest sensitive receptors to the project site are the single-family homes that are located as near as 25 feet west of the project site. The nearest structure at Eastvale Elementary School is a covered lunch area that is as near as 25 feet east of the project site.

Construction-Related Sensitive Receptor Impacts

Construction activities may expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations of localized criteria pollutant concentrations and from toxic air contaminant emissions created from onsite construction equipment, which are described below.

Local Criteria Pollutant Impacts from Construction

The local air quality impacts from construction of the proposed project have been analyzed above in Section 10.3 and found that the construction of the proposed project would not exceed the local NOx, CO, PM10 and PM2.5 thresholds of significance discussed above in Section 9.2. Therefore, construction of the

proposed project would create a less than significant construction-related impact to local air quality and no mitigation would be required.

Toxic Air Contaminants Impacts from Construction

Construction activities associated with the proposed project are anticipated to generate TAC emissions from DPM associated with the operation of trucks and off-road equipment and from possible asbestos in the structures to be demolished.

Diesel Particulate Matter Emissions

The greatest potential for toxic air contaminant emissions would be related to DPM emissions associated with heavy equipment operations during construction of the proposed project. According to SCAQMD methodology, health effects from carcinogenic air toxics are usually described in terms of “individual cancer risk”. “Individual Cancer Risk” is the likelihood that a person exposed to concentrations of toxic air contaminants over a 70-year lifetime will contract cancer, based on the use of standard risk-assessment methodology. It should be noted that the most current cancer risk assessment methodology recommends analyzing a 30 year exposure period for the nearby sensitive receptors (OEHHA, 2015).

Given the relatively limited number of heavy-duty construction equipment, the varying distances that construction equipment would operate to the nearby sensitive receptors, and the short-term construction schedule, the proposed project would not result in a long-term (i.e., 30 or 70 years) substantial source of toxic air contaminant emissions and corresponding individual cancer risk. In addition, California Code of Regulations Title 13, Article 4.8, Chapter 9, Section 2449 regulates emissions from off-road diesel equipment in California. This regulation limits idling of equipment to no more than five minutes, requires equipment operators to label each piece of equipment and provide annual reports to CARB of their fleet’s usage and emissions. This regulation also requires systematic upgrading of the emission Tier level of each fleet, and currently no commercial operator is allowed to purchase Tier 0 or Tier 1 equipment and by January 2023 no commercial operator is allowed to purchase Tier 2 equipment. In addition to the purchase restrictions, equipment operators need to meet fleet average emissions targets that become more stringent each year between years 2014 and 2023. As of January, 2019, 25 percent or more of all contractors’ equipment fleets must be Tier 2 or higher. Therefore, no significant short-term toxic air contaminant impacts from DPM emissions would occur during construction of the proposed project.

Asbestos Emissions

It is possible that the existing onsite structures to be demolished contains asbestos. According to SCAQMD Rule 1403 requirements, prior to the start of demolition activities, the existing structures located onsite shall be thoroughly surveyed for the presence of asbestos by a person that is certified by Cal/OSHA for asbestos surveys. Rule 1403 requires that the SCAQMD be notified a minimum of 10 days before any demolition activities begin with specific details of all asbestos to be removed, start and completion dates of demolition, work practices and engineering controls to be used to contain the asbestos emissions, estimates on the amount of asbestos to be removed, the name of the waste disposal site where the asbestos will be taken, and names and addresses of all contractors and transporters that will be involved in the asbestos removal process. Therefore, through adherence to the asbestos removal requirements, detailed in SCAQMD Rule 1403, a less than significant asbestos impact would occur during construction of the proposed project

As such, construction of the proposed project would result in a less than significant exposure of sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations.

Operations-Related Sensitive Receptor Impacts

The on-going operations of the proposed project may expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations of local CO emission impacts from the project-generated vehicular trips and from the potential local air quality impacts from onsite operations. The following analyzes the vehicular CO emissions. Local criteria pollutant impacts from onsite operations, and toxic air contaminant impacts.

Local CO Hotspot Impacts from Project-Generated Vehicle Trips

CO is the pollutant of major concern along roadways because the most notable source of CO is motor vehicles. For this reason, CO concentrations are usually indicative of the local air quality generated by a roadway network and are used as an indicator of potential impacts to sensitive receptors. The analysis provided above in Section 10.3 shows that no local CO Hotspots are anticipated to be created at any nearby intersections from the vehicle traffic generated by the proposed project. Therefore, operation of the proposed project would result in a less than significant exposure of offsite sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations.

Local Criteria Pollutant Impacts from Onsite Operations

The local air quality impacts from the operation of the proposed project would occur from onsite sources such as architectural coatings, landscaping equipment, and onsite usage of natural gas appliances. The analysis provided above in Section 9.3 found that the operation of the proposed project would not exceed the local NO_x, CO, PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} thresholds of significance discussed above in Section 9.2. Therefore, the on-going operations of the proposed project would create a less than significant operations-related impact to local air quality due to on-site emissions and no mitigation would be required.

Operations-Related Toxic Air Contaminant Impacts

Particulate matter (PM) from diesel exhaust is the predominant TAC in most areas and according to *The California Almanac of Emissions and Air Quality 2013 Edition*, prepared by CARB, about 80 percent of the outdoor TAC cancer risk is from diesel exhaust. Some chemicals in diesel exhaust, such as benzene and formaldehyde have been listed as carcinogens by State Proposition 65 and the Federal Hazardous Air Pollutants program. Due to the nominal number of diesel truck trips that are anticipated to be generated by the on-going operation of the proposed residential project, a less than significant TAC impact would occur during the on-going operations of the proposed project and no mitigation would be required.

Therefore, operation of the proposed project would result in a less than significant exposure of sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations.

Level of Significance

Less than significant impact.

10.5 Odor Emissions

The proposed project would not result in other emissions, such as those leading to odors that would adversely affect a substantial number of people. The local concentrations of criteria pollutant emissions, TAC emissions, and CO concentrations that may adversely impact a substantial number of people have been analyzed above in Section 10.4 for both construction and operations, which found that these types of emissions would create less than significant impacts. As such, the following analysis is limited to odors that would have the potential to adversely affect a substantial number of people.

Individual responses to odors are highly variable and can result in a variety of effects. Generally, the impact of an odor results from a variety of factors such as frequency, duration, offensiveness, location, and sensory perception. The frequency is a measure of how often an individual is exposed to an odor in the ambient environment. The intensity refers to an individual's or group's perception of the odor strength or concentration. The duration of an odor refers to the elapsed time over which an odor is experienced. The offensiveness of the odor is the subjective rating of the pleasantness or unpleasantness of an odor. The location accounts for the type of area in which a potentially affected person lives, works, or visits; the type of activity in which he or she is engaged; and the sensitivity of the impacted receptor.

Sensory perception has four major components: detectability, intensity, character, and hedonic tone. The detection (or threshold) of an odor is based on a panel of responses to the odor. There are two types of thresholds: the odor detection threshold and the recognition threshold. The detection threshold is the lowest concentration of an odor that will elicit a response in a percentage of the people that live and work in the immediate vicinity of the project site and is typically presented as the mean (or 50 percent of the population). The recognition threshold is the minimum concentration that is recognized as having a characteristic odor quality, this is typically represented by recognition by 50 percent of the population. The intensity refers to the perceived strength of the odor. The odor character is what the substance smells like. The hedonic tone is a judgment of the pleasantness or unpleasantness of the odor. The hedonic tone varies in subjective experience, frequency, odor character, odor intensity, and duration. Potential odor impacts have been analyzed separately for construction and operations below.

Construction-Related Odor Impacts

Potential sources that may emit odors during construction activities include the application of coatings such as asphalt pavement, paints and solvents and from emissions from diesel equipment. Standard construction requirements that limit the time of day when construction may occur as well as SCAQMD Rule 1108 that limits VOC content in asphalt and Rule 1113 that limits the VOC content in paints and solvents would minimize odor impacts from construction. As such, the objectionable odors that may be produced during the construction process would be temporary and would not likely be noticeable for extended periods of time beyond the project site's boundaries. Through compliance with the applicable regulations that reduce odors and due to the transitory nature of construction odors, a less than significant odor impact would occur and no mitigation would be required.

Operations-Related Odor Impacts

The proposed project would consist of a residential development. Potential sources that may emit odors during the on-going operations of the proposed project would primarily occur from the trash storage areas. Pursuant to City regulations, permanent trash enclosures that protect trash bins from rain as well as limit air circulation would be required for the trash storage areas. Due to the distance of the nearest receptors from the project site and through compliance with SCAQMD's Rule 402 and City trash storage regulations, no significant impact related to odors would occur during the on-going operations of the proposed project. Therefore, a less than significant odor impact would occur and no mitigation would be required.

Level of Significance

Less than significant impact

10.6 Energy Consumption

The proposed project would impact energy resources during construction and operation. Energy resources that would be potentially impacted include electricity, natural gas, and petroleum based fuel supplies and distribution systems. This analysis includes a discussion of the potential energy impacts of the proposed projects, with particular emphasis on avoiding or reducing inefficient, wasteful, and unnecessary consumption of energy. A general definition of each of these energy resources are provided below.

Electricity, a consumptive utility, is a man-made resource. The production of electricity requires the consumption or conversion of energy resources, including water, wind, oil, gas, coal, solar, geothermal, and nuclear resources, into energy. The delivery of electricity involves a number of system components, including substations and transformers that lower transmission line power (voltage) to a level appropriate for on-site distribution and use. The electricity generated is distributed through a network of transmission and distribution lines commonly called a power grid. Conveyance of electricity through transmission lines is typically responsive to market demands. In 2020, Riverside County consumed 16,858 Gigawatt-hours per year of electricity⁴.

Natural gas is a combustible mixture of simple hydrocarbon compounds (primarily methane) that is used as a fuel source. Natural gas consumed in California is obtained from naturally occurring reservoirs, mainly located outside the State, and delivered through high-pressure transmission pipelines. The natural gas transportation system is a nationwide network and, therefore, resource availability is typically not an issue. Natural gas satisfies almost one-third of the State's total energy requirements and is used in electricity generation, space heating, cooking, water heating, industrial processes, and as a transportation fuel. Natural gas is measured in terms of cubic feet. In 2020, Riverside County consumed 436.94 Million Therms of natural gas⁵.

Petroleum-based fuels currently account for a majority of the California's transportation energy sources and primarily consist of diesel and gasoline types of fuels. However, the state has been working on developing strategies to reduce petroleum use. Over the last decade California has implemented several policies, rules, and regulations to improve vehicle efficiency, increase the development and use of alternative fuels, reduce air pollutants and GHG emissions from the transportation sector, and reduce vehicle miles traveled (VMT). Accordingly, petroleum-based fuel consumption in California has declined. In 2017, 1,052 million gallons of gasoline and 148 million gallons of diesel was sold in Riverside County⁶.

The following section calculates the potential energy consumption associated with the construction and operations of the proposed project and provides a determination if any energy utilized by the proposed project is wasteful, inefficient, or unnecessary consumption of energy resources.

Construction Energy

The construction activities for the proposed project are anticipated to include demolition of the existing ranch house with barn and associated fencing and facilities for livestock/horses, site preparation and grading of the 10.0 gross acre project site, building construction of the 41 single-family homes, paving of

4 Obtained from: <http://www.ecdms.energy.ca.gov/elecbycounty.aspx>

5 Obtained from: <http://www.ecdms.energy.ca.gov/gasbycounty.aspx>

6 Obtained from: https://ww2.energy.ca.gov/almanac/transportation_data/gasoline/

the onsite roads and road improvements to the portion of Orange Street that is adjacent to the project site, and application of architectural coatings.

The proposed project would consume energy resources during construction in three (3) general forms:

1. Petroleum-based fuels used to power off-road construction vehicles and equipment on the project site, construction worker travel to and from the project site, as well as delivery and haul truck trips (e.g. hauling of material to disposal facilities);
2. Electricity associated with the conveyance of water that would be used during project construction for dust control (supply and conveyance) and electricity to power any necessary lighting during construction, electronic equipment, or other construction activities necessitating electrical power; and,
3. Energy used in the production of construction materials, such as asphalt, steel, concrete, pipes, and manufactured or processed materials such as lumber and glass.

Construction-Related Electricity

During construction the proposed project would consume electricity to construct the proposed warehouse and infrastructure. Electricity would be supplied to the project site by Southern California Edison and would be obtained from the existing electrical lines in the vicinity of the project site. The use of electricity from existing power lines rather than temporary diesel or gasoline powered generators would minimize impacts on fuel consumption. Electricity consumed during project construction would vary throughout the construction period based on the construction activities being performed. Various construction activities include electricity associated with the conveyance of water that would be used during project construction for dust control (supply and conveyance) and electricity to power any necessary lighting during construction, electronic equipment, or other construction activities necessitating electrical power. Such electricity demand would be temporary, nominal, and would cease upon the completion of construction. Overall, construction activities associated with the proposed project would require limited electricity consumption that would not be expected to have an adverse impact on available electricity supplies and infrastructure. Therefore, the use of electricity during project construction would not be wasteful, inefficient, or unnecessary.

Since there are currently power lines in the vicinity of the project site, it is anticipated that only nominal improvements would be required to Southern California Edison Utility distribution lines and equipment with development of the proposed project. Compliance with City's guidelines and requirements would ensure that the proposed project fulfills its responsibilities relative to infrastructure installation, coordinates any electrical infrastructure removals or relocations, and limits any impacts associated with construction of the project. Construction of the project's electrical infrastructure is not anticipated to adversely affect the electrical infrastructure serving the surrounding uses or utility system capacity.

Construction-Related Natural Gas

Construction of the proposed project typically would not involve the consumption of natural gas. Natural gas would not be supplied to support construction activities, thus there would be no demand generated by construction. Since there is currently natural gas service in the vicinity of the project site, construction of the proposed project would be limited to installation of new natural gas connections within the project site. Development of the proposed project would likely not require extensive infrastructure improvements to serve the project site. Construction-related energy usage impacts associated with the installation of natural gas connections are expected to be confined to trenching in order to place the lines

below surface. In addition, prior to ground disturbance, the proposed project would notify and coordinate with SoCalGas to identify the locations and depth of all existing gas lines and avoid disruption of gas service. Therefore, construction-related impacts to natural gas supply and infrastructure would be less than significant.

Construction-Related Petroleum Fuel Use

Petroleum-based fuel usage represents the highest amount of transportation energy potentially consumed during construction, which would be utilized by both off-road equipment operating on the project site and on-road automobiles transporting workers to and from the project site and on-road trucks transporting equipment and supplies to the project site.

The off-road construction equipment fuel usage was calculated through use of the off-road equipment assumptions and fuel use assumptions shown above in Section 8.2, which found that construction of the proposed project would consume 15,057 gallons of gasoline and 67,935 gallons of diesel fuel. This equates to 0.001 percent of the gasoline and 0.05 percent of the diesel used annually in Riverside County. As such, the construction-related petroleum use would be nominal, when compared to current county-wide petroleum usage rates.

Construction activities associated with the proposed project would be required to adhere to all State and SCAQMD regulations for off-road equipment and on-road trucks, which provide minimum fuel efficiency standards. As such, construction activities for the proposed project would not result in the wasteful, inefficient, and unnecessary consumption of energy resources. Impacts regarding transportation energy would be less than significant. Development of the project would not result in the need to manufacture construction materials or create new building material facilities specifically to supply the proposed project. It is difficult to measure the energy used in the production of construction materials such as asphalt, steel, and concrete, it is reasonable to assume that the production of building materials such as concrete, steel, etc., would employ all reasonable energy conservation practices in the interest of minimizing the cost of doing business.

Operational Energy

The on-going operation of the proposed project would require the use of energy resources for multiple purposes including, but not limited to, heating/ventilating/air conditioning (HVAC), refrigeration, lighting, appliances, and electronics. Energy would also be consumed during operations related to water usage, solid waste disposal, landscape equipment and vehicle trips.

Operations-Related Electricity

Operation of the proposed project would result in consumption of electricity at the project site. As detailed above in Section 8.2 the proposed project would consume 53,083 kilowatt-hours per year of electricity. This equates to 0.0003 percent of the electricity consumed annually in the County of Riverside. As such, the operations-related electricity use would be nominal, when compared to current electricity usage rates in the County.

It should be noted that the proposed project will be required to meet the 2019 Title 24, Part 6 building energy efficiency standards that have been developed to meet the State's goal of zero-net-energy use for new homes. The zero net energy use will be achieved through a variety of measures to make new homes more energy efficient and by also requiring installation of photovoltaic systems of adequate size to generate enough electricity to meet the zero-net energy use standard. The size of the PV system required

for the project pursuant to the 2019 Title 24 standards was calculated above in Section 8.1, which found that the proposed project would need to install at least 112.4 Kilowatts of photovoltaic panels within the proposed project. Although, the CalEEMod model found that with implementation of the 2019 Title 24 Part 6 standards, that the proposed project would continue to utilize a nominal amount of power, it should be noted that the electricity usage and emission rates utilized by the CalEEMod model are based on regional average usage rates for existing homes, which were not all built to the most current Title 24 Part 6, standards, so the CalEEMod model provides a conservative or worst-case analysis of electricity use from the proposed project. Therefore, it is anticipated the proposed project will be designed and built to minimize electricity use and that existing and planned electricity capacity and electricity supplies would be sufficient to support the proposed project's electricity demand. Thus, impacts with regard to electrical supply and infrastructure capacity would be less than significant and no mitigation measures would be required.

Operations-Related Natural Gas

Operation of the proposed project would result in increased consumption of natural gas at the project site. As detailed above in Section 8.2 the proposed project would consume 1,160 MBTU per year of natural gas. This equates to 0.003 percent of the natural gas consumed annually in Riverside County. As such, the operations-related natural gas use would be nominal, when compared to current natural gas usage rates in the County.

It should be noted that, the proposed project would comply with all Federal, State, and City requirements related to the consumption of natural gas, that includes CCR Title 24, Part 6 *Building Energy Efficiency Standards* and CCR Title 24, Part 11: *California Green Building Standards*. The CCR Title 24, Part 6 and Part 11 standards require numerous energy efficiency measures to be incorporated into the proposed warehouse, including enhanced insulation as well as use of efficient natural gas appliances and HVAC units. Therefore, it is anticipated the proposed project will be designed and built to minimize natural gas use and that existing and planned natural gas capacity and natural gas supplies would be sufficient to support the proposed project's natural gas demand. Thus, impacts with regard to natural gas supply and infrastructure capacity would be less than significant and no mitigation measures would be required.

Operations-Related Vehicular Petroleum Fuel Usage

Operation of the proposed project would result in increased consumption of petroleum-based fuels related to vehicular travel to and from the project site. As detailed above in Section 8.2 the proposed project would consume 46,681 gallons of gasoline per year from vehicle travel. This equates to 0.004 percent of the gasoline consumed annually in Riverside County. As such, the operations-related petroleum use would be nominal, when compared to current county-wide petroleum usage rates.

It should be noted that, the proposed project would comply with all Federal, State, and City requirements related to the consumption of transportation energy that includes California Code of Regulations Title 24, Part 10 California Green Building Standards that require that all new garages to install electrical panels of adequate size to support the installation of electric vehicle charging systems. Therefore, it is anticipated the proposed project will be designed and built to minimize transportation energy through the promotion of the use of electric-powered vehicles and it is anticipated that existing and planned capacity and supplies of transportation fuels would be sufficient to support the proposed project's demand. Thus, impacts with regard transportation energy supply and infrastructure capacity would be less than significant and no mitigation measures would be required.

In conclusion, the proposed project would comply with regulatory compliance measures outlined by the State and City related to Air Quality, GHG Emissions, Transportation/Circulation, and Water Supply. Additionally, the proposed project would be constructed in accordance with all applicable City Building and Fire Codes. Therefore, the proposed project would not result in the wasteful, inefficient, or unnecessary consumption of energy resources during project construction or operation. Impacts would be less than significant.

Level of Significance

Less than significant impact.

10.7 Energy Plan Consistency

The proposed project would not conflict with or obstruct a state or local plan for renewable energy or energy efficiency. The applicable energy plan for the proposed project is the General Plan (City of Eastvale, 2012). The proposed project’s consistency with the applicable energy-related policies in the General Plan are shown in Table Q.

Table Q – Proposed Project Compliance with Applicable General Plan Energy Policies

Policy No.	General Plan Policy	Proposed Project Implementation Actions
AQ-26	Permit and encourage the use of passive solar devices and other state-of-the-art energy conservation measures.	Consistent. The proposed homes are required to be designed to meet Title 24 Part 6 Energy Efficiency Standards that require all new homes to be designed to be net zero energy usage that is achieved through the installation of rooftop PV solar panels, which is a type of passive solar.
AQ-27	Support and encourage voluntary efforts to provide active and passive solar access opportunities in new development.	Consistent. As detailed above, the proposed homes will include rooftop PV solar panels.
AQ-28	The City encourages energy-efficient materials and systems, including shade design technologies, for buildings.	Consistent. The Landscape Plan for the proposed project has been designed to place trees to provide shade on the buildings and parking areas.
AQ-31	The City encourages urban design measures that support alternatives to private automobile use.	Consistent. The proposed project has been designed with a sidewalk system that connects to the existing sidewalks on Orange Street, in order to promote a walkable community.
AQ-32	Utilize source reduction, recycling, and other appropriate measures to reduce the amount of solid waste disposed of in landfills.	Consistent. Trash service provided for the proposed project will be required to meet the recycling requirements detailed in AB 341 that requires 75 percent of waste diverted from landfills. Specifically, each home will be provided separate bins for trash and recycling.
AQ-33	The City encourages the incorporation of energy-efficient design elements beyond code requirements, including appropriate site orientation and the use of shade and windbreak trees to reduce fuel consumption for heating and cooling.	Consistent. As detailed above, the proposed project will be designed to incorporate shade trees and rooftop PV solar panels. The proposed project will exceed the Title 24 Part 6 minimum requirements.
AQ-34	The City shall review all development proposals to ensure that all services and utilities can be	Consistent. The proposed project is required to obtain “will serve” letters from all utility providers in

Policy No.	General Plan Policy	Proposed Project Implementation Actions
	provided in an energy-efficient and effective manner.	order to ensure that the utilities can be provided in an effective manner.
AQ-36	The City shall support the inclusion of energy-efficient design and renewable energy technologies in public and private projects.	Consistent. As detailed above, the proposed homes will include rooftop PV solar systems and will be designed to meet the most current Title 24 Part 6 Energy Efficiency Standards.

Source: City of Eastvale, 2012.

As shown in Table Q, the proposed project would be consistent with all applicable energy-related policies from the General Plan. Therefore, the proposed project would not conflict with or obstruct a state or local plan for renewable energy or energy efficiency. Impacts would be less than significant.

Level of Significance

Less than significant impact.

10.8 Generation of Greenhouse Gas Emissions

The proposed project would not generate GHG emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment. The proposed project would consist of the development of a residential development with 41 single-family homes. The proposed project is anticipated to generate GHG emissions from area sources, energy usage, mobile sources, waste disposal, water usage, and construction equipment. The project's GHG emissions have been calculated with the CalEEMod model based on the construction and operational parameters detailed in Section 7.1 above. A summary of the results is shown below in Table R and the CalEEMod model run annual printouts are provided in Appendix F.

Table R – Project Related Greenhouse Gas Annual Emissions

Category	Greenhouse Gas Emissions (Metric Tons per Year)			
	CO ₂	CH ₄	N ₂ O	CO ₂ e
Area Sources ¹	0.69	<0.01	0.00	0.71
Energy Usage ²	71.30	<0.01	<0.01	71.72
Mobile Sources ³	429.05	0.02	0.02	435.88
Solid Waste ⁴	4.87	0.29	0.00	12.07
Water and Wastewater ⁵	9.85	0.07	<0.01	12.13
Construction ⁶	24.48	<0.01	<0.01	24.78
Total Emissions	540.25	0.39	0.02	557.29
SCAQMD Draft Threshold				3,000
Exceed Threshold?				No

Notes:

¹ Area sources consist of GHG emissions from consumer products, architectural coatings, and landscaping equipment.

² Energy usage consists of GHG emissions from electricity and natural gas usage.

³ Mobile sources consist of GHG emissions from vehicles.

⁴ Waste includes the CO₂ and CH₄ emissions created from the solid waste placed in landfills.

⁵ Water includes GHG emissions from electricity used for transport of water and processing of wastewater.

⁶ Construction emissions amortized over 30 years as recommended in the SCAQMD GHG Working Group on November 19, 2009.

Source: CalEEMod Version 2020.4.0.

The data provided in Table R shows that the proposed project would create 557.29 MTCO₂e per year. According to the SCAQMD draft threshold of significance detailed above in Section 9.5, a cumulative global climate change impact would occur if the GHG emissions created from the on-going operations would exceed 3,000 MTCO₂e per year. Therefore, a less than significant generation of greenhouse gas emissions would occur from development of the proposed project. Impacts would be less than significant.

Level of Significance

Less than significant impact.

10.9 Greenhouse Gas Plan Consistency

The proposed project would not conflict with any applicable plan, policy or regulation of an agency adopted for the purpose of reducing GHG emissions. The applicable plan for the proposed project is the General Plan (City of Eastvale, 2012). The proposed project’s consistency with the applicable GHG-related policies in the General Plan are shown in Table S.

Table S – Proposed Project Compliance with Applicable General Plan GHG Policies

Policy No.	General Plan Policy	Proposed Project Implementation Actions
AQ-18	Support local, regional, and statewide efforts to reduce emissions of greenhouse gases linked to climate change.	Consistent. The proposed homes are required to be designed to meet Title 24 Part 6 Energy Efficiency Standards that require all new homes to be designed to be net zero energy usage that is achieved through the installation of rooftop PV solar panels, which will minimize the usage of carbon energy sources.
AQ-19	Analyze and mitigate, to the extent feasible, potentially significant increases in greenhouse gas emissions during project review, pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act.	Consistent. As detailed above in Section 10.8, the proposed project was found to create less than significant levels of GHG emissions.
AQ-20	Continue to support the planting and maintenance of trees in the community to increase carbon sequestration.	Consistent. The Landscape Plan for the proposed project has been designed to incorporate as many trees as possible within the proposed project.

Source: City of Eastvale, 2012.

As shown in Table S, the proposed project would be consistent with all applicable GHG-related policies from the General Plan. In addition, as detailed above Section 10.8, the proposed project is anticipated to create 557.29 MTCO₂e per year, which is well below the SCAQMD draft threshold of significance of 3,000 MTCO₂e per year. The SCAQMD developed this threshold through a Working Group, which also developed detailed methodology for evaluating significance under CEQA. At the September 28, 2010 Working Group meeting, the SCAQMD released its most current version of the draft GHG emissions thresholds, which recommends a tiered approach that provides a quantitative annual threshold of 3,000 MTCO₂e for all land use type projects, which was based on substantial evidence supporting the use of the recommended thresholds. Therefore, the proposed project would not conflict with any applicable plan, policy or regulation of an agency adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases

Level of Significance

Less than significant impact.

11.0 REFERENCES

- Breeze Software, *California Emissions Estimator Model (CalEEMod)* version 2020.4.0.
- California Air Resources Board, *2017 Off-Road Diesel Emission Factor Update for NOx and PM*, 2017.
- California Air Resources Board, *Appendix VII Risk Characterization Scenarios*, October 2000.
- California Air Resources Board, *California's 2017 Climate Change Scoping Plan*, November 2017.
- California Air Resources Board, *California Greenhouse Gas Emissions for 2000 to 2019 Trends of Emissions and Other Indicators*, July 28, 2021.
- California Air Resources Board, *First Update to the Climate Change Scoping Plan*, May 2014.
- California Air Resources Board, *Resolution 08-43*, December 12, 2008.
- California Air Resources Board, *Recommended Approaches for Setting Interim Significance Thresholds for Greenhouse Gases under the California Environmental Quality Act*, on October 24, 2008.
- California Air Resources Board, *Final Staff Report Proposed Update to the SB 375 Greenhouse Gas Emission Reduction Targets*, October 2017.
- California Air Resources Board, *The California Almanac of Emissions and Air Quality 2013 Edition*.
- California Department of Conservation, *A General Guide for Ultramafic Rocks in California – Areas More Likely to Contain Naturally Occurring Asbestos*, August, 2000.
- California Energy Commission, *2019 Building Energy Efficiency Standards for Residential and Nonresidential Buildings*, December 2018.
- California Energy Commission, *2019 Building Energy Efficiency Standards Frequently Asked Questions*, 2018.
- City of Eastvale, *City of Eastvale General Plan*, adopted June 13, 2012.
- Environmental Protection Agency, *Nonattainment Major New Source Review Implementation Under 8-Hour Ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standard: Reconsideration*, June 30, 2005.
- Environmental Protection Agency, *Inventory of U.S. Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Sinks 1990-2018*, April 13, 2020.
- Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA), *Air Toxics Hot Spots Program Risk Assessment Guidelines Guidance Manual for Preparation of Health Risk Assessments*, February 2015
- South Coast Air Quality Management District, *CEQA Air Quality Handbook*, April 1993.
- South Coast Air Quality Management District, *Draft 2022 Air Quality Management Plan*, May 2022.
- South Coast Air Quality Management District, *Final 2012 Air Quality Management Plan*, December, 2012.

South Coast Air Quality Management District, *Final 2016 Air Quality Management Plan*, March, 2017.

South Coast Air Quality Management District, *Final Localized Significance Threshold Methodology*, Revised July 2008.

South Coast Air Quality Management District, *Revised Draft – 2012 Lead State Implementation Plan Los Angeles County*, May 4, 2012.

South Coast Air Quality Management District, *Rule 402 Nuisance*, Adopted May 7, 1976.

South Coast Air Quality Management District, *Rule 403 Fugitive Dust*, Amended June 3, 2005.

South Coast Air Quality Management District, *Rule 1108 Cutback Asphalt*, Amended February 1, 1985.

South Coast Air Quality Management District, *Rule 1108.1 Emulsified Asphalt*, Amended November 4, 1983.

South Coast Air Quality Management District, *Rule 1113 Architectural Coatings*, Amended September 6, 2013.

South Coast Air Quality Management District, *Rule 1143 Consumer Paint Thinners & Multi-Purpose Solvents*, Amended December 3, 2010.

South Coast Air Quality Management District, *SCAQMD Air Quality Significance Thresholds*, March 2015.

South Coast Air Quality Management District, *Draft Report Multiple Air Toxics Exposure Study in the South Coast Air Basin, MATES III*, January 2008.

South Coast Air Quality Management District, *Final Report Multiple Air Toxics Exposure Study in the South Coast Air Basin, MATES-IV*, May 2015.

South Coast Air Quality Management District, *MATES V Multiple Air Toxics Exposure Study in the South Coast AQMD Final Report*, August 2021.

Southern California Association of Governments, *2020-2045 Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (Connect SoCal)*, September 3, 2020.

Southern California Association of Governments, *2019 Federal Transportation Improvement Program (FTIP) Guidelines*, September 2018.

University of California, Davis, *Transportation Project-Level Carbon Monoxide Protocol*, December 1997.

Urban Crossroads, *Project Scoping Agreement for Land Use Project – PLN22-20024*, June 27, 2022.

U.S. Geological Survey, *Reported Historic Asbestos Mines, Historic Asbestos Prospects, and Other Natural Occurrences of Asbestos in California*, 2011.

APPENDIX A

CalEEMod Model Daily Printouts

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Summer

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

**Magnolia Ranch Residential
Riverside-South Coast County, Summer**

1.0 Project Characteristics

1.1 Land Usage

Land Uses	Size	Metric	Lot Acreage	Floor Surface Area	Population
Other Asphalt Surfaces	2.11	Acre	2.11	91,911.60	0
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	1.11	Acre	1.11	48,351.60	0
City Park	0.51	Acre	0.51	22,215.60	0
Single Family Housing	41.00	Dwelling Unit	6.27	136,598.00	117

1.2 Other Project Characteristics

Urbanization	Urban	Wind Speed (m/s)	2.4	Precipitation Freq (Days)	28
Climate Zone	10			Operational Year	2024

Utility Company Southern California Edison

CO2 Intensity (lb/MW/hr)	390.98	CH4 Intensity (lb/MW/hr)	0.033	N2O Intensity (lb/MW/hr)	0.004
--------------------------	--------	--------------------------	-------	--------------------------	-------

1.3 User Entered Comments & Non-Default Data

Project Characteristics -

Land Use - Project Site = 10 gross acres

Construction Phase -

Off-road Equipment -

Off-road Equipment -

Off-road Equipment - Grading: 2 Excavators, 1 Grader, 1 Rubber Tired Dozer, 2 Scrapers & 2 Crawler Tractors

Off-road Equipment -

Off-road Equipment - Site Preparation: 3 Rubber Tired Dozers & 4 Crawler Tractors

Trips and VMT - 6 vendor trips added to Demo, Site Prep and Grading phases to account for water truck emissions

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Summer

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

Demolition - Demo - 6,700 sq ft of Building = 308 tons + 8,000 sq ft of pavement = 193 tons. Total 502 tons of demo.

Grading - Grading - Import of 5,000 cu yds of dirt

Vehicle Trips - Weekday trip rate set to match TIA of 388 daily trips. City Park set to zero.

Woodstoves - No woodstoves or fireplaces

Construction Off-road Equipment Mitigation - Water Exposed Area 3x per day and Replace Ground Cover selected to account for SCAQMD Rule 403 Minimum requirements

Mobile Land Use Mitigation - Improve Pedestrian Network onsite and connecting offsite.

Energy Mitigation - 273,468 kWh generated from solar PV panels

Water Mitigation - Install low-flow fixtures and use water-efficient irrigation selected to account for Title 24 Part 11 min requirements

Waste Mitigation - 50% reduction in waste selected to account for AB 341

Table Name	Column Name	Default Value	New Value
tblFireplaces	NumberGas	34.85	0.00
tblFireplaces	NumberNoFireplace	4.10	41.00
tblFireplaces	NumberWood	2.05	0.00
tblGrading	MaterialImported	0.00	5,000.00
tblLandUse	LandUseSquareFeet	73,800.00	136,598.00
tblLandUse	LotAcreage	13.31	6.27
tblTripsAndVMT	VendorTripNumber	0.00	6.00
tblTripsAndVMT	VendorTripNumber	0.00	6.00
tblTripsAndVMT	VendorTripNumber	0.00	6.00
tblVehicleTrips	ST_TR	1.96	0.00
tblVehicleTrips	SU_TR	2.19	0.00
tblVehicleTrips	WD_TR	0.78	0.00
tblVehicleTrips	WD_TR	9.44	9.46
tblWoodstoves	NumberCatalytic	2.05	0.00
tblWoodstoves	NumberNoncatalytic	2.05	0.00

2.0 Emissions Summary

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Summer

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

2.1 Overall Construction (Maximum Daily Emission)

Unmitigated Construction

Year	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
lb/day																
2022	2.7160	26.3307	21.3513	0.0429	0.7903	1.2509	2.0411	0.1494	1.1631	1.3124	0.0000	4,170.917 2	4,170.917 2	1.0596	0.0451	4,210.857 0
2023	4.0328	44.0151	29.4624	0.0860	22.0176	1.7604	23.7780	10.3959	1.6196	12.0155	0.0000	8,459.762 4	8,459.762 4	2.2627	0.2138	8,580.028 1
2024	44.9255	14.6236	19.4302	0.0402	1.1263	0.6262	1.7525	0.3032	0.5891	0.8923	0.0000	3,936.185 3	3,936.185 3	0.7171	0.1015	3,982.132 4
Maximum	44.9255	44.0151	29.4624	0.0860	22.0176	1.7604	23.7780	10.3959	1.6196	12.0155	0.0000	8,459.762 4	8,459.762 4	2.2627	0.2138	8,580.028 1

Mitigated Construction

Year	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
lb/day																
2022	2.7160	26.3307	21.3513	0.0429	0.4353	1.2509	1.6862	0.0956	1.1631	1.2587	0.0000	4,170.917 2	4,170.917 2	1.0596	0.0451	4,210.857 0
2023	4.0328	44.0151	29.4624	0.0860	7.7138	1.7604	9.4742	3.6102	1.6196	5.2298	0.0000	8,459.762 4	8,459.762 4	2.2627	0.2138	8,580.028 1
2024	44.9255	14.6236	19.4302	0.0402	1.1263	0.6262	1.7525	0.3032	0.5891	0.8923	0.0000	3,936.185 3	3,936.185 3	0.7171	0.1015	3,982.132 4
Maximum	44.9255	44.0151	29.4624	0.0860	7.7138	1.7604	9.4742	3.6102	1.6196	5.2298	0.0000	8,459.762 4	8,459.762 4	2.2627	0.2138	8,580.028 1

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Summer

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

2.2 Overall Operational
Unmitigated Operational

Category	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
lb/day																
Area	3.1020	0.0390	3.3815	1.8000e-004	0.0187	0.0187	0.0187	0.0187	0.0187	0.0187	0.0000	6.0915	6.0915	5.8500e-003	0.0000	6.2376
Energy	0.0343	0.2928	0.1246	1.8700e-003	0.0237	0.0237	0.0237	0.0237	0.0237	0.0237	373.8161	373.8161	373.8161	7.1600e-003	6.8500e-003	376.0375
Mobile	1.2182	1.5919	11.9820	0.0277	2.8240	0.0212	2.8452	0.7534	0.0198	0.7732	2.8694552	2.8694552	2.8694552	0.1348	0.1291	2.9112971
Total	4.3545	1.9237	15.4881	0.0298	2.8240	0.0636	2.8876	0.7534	0.0623	0.8157	0.0000	3.2493628	3.2493628	0.1478	0.1360	3.293.5722

Mitigated Operational

Category	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
lb/day																
Area	3.1020	0.0390	3.3815	1.8000e-004	0.0187	0.0187	0.0187	0.0187	0.0187	0.0187	0.0000	6.0915	6.0915	5.8500e-003	0.0000	6.2376
Energy	0.0343	0.2928	0.1246	1.8700e-003	0.0237	0.0237	0.0237	0.0237	0.0237	0.0237	373.8161	373.8161	373.8161	7.1600e-003	6.8500e-003	376.0375
Mobile	1.2080	1.5667	11.7795	0.0272	2.7675	0.0208	2.7883	0.7383	0.0195	0.7578	2.8134725	2.8134725	2.8134725	0.1329	0.1270	2.854.6419
Total	4.3443	1.8985	15.2856	0.0292	2.7675	0.0632	2.8307	0.7383	0.0619	0.8002	0.0000	3.193.3801	3.193.3801	0.1459	0.1339	3.236.9170

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Summer

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio-CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Percent Reduction	0.23	1.31	1.31	1.81	2.00	0.63	1.97	2.00	0.59	1.89	0.00	1.72	1.72	1.28	1.54	1.72

3.0 Construction Detail

Construction Phase

Phase Number	Phase Name	Phase Type	Start Date	End Date	Num Days Week	Num Days	Phase Description
1	Demolition	Demolition	12/1/2022	12/28/2022	5	20	
2	Site Preparation	Site Preparation	1/2/2023	1/13/2023	5	10	
3	Grading	Grading	1/14/2023	2/24/2023	5	30	
4	Building Construction	Building Construction	2/25/2023	4/19/2024	5	300	
5	Paving	Paving	4/20/2024	5/17/2024	5	20	
6	Architectural Coating	Architectural Coating	5/18/2024	6/14/2024	5	20	

Acres of Grading (Site Preparation Phase): 35

Acres of Grading (Grading Phase): 120

Acres of Paving: 3.22

Residential Indoor: 276,611; Residential Outdoor: 92,204; Non-Residential Indoor: 0; Non-Residential Outdoor: 0; Striped Parking Area: 8,416 (Architectural Coating – sqft)

OffRoad Equipment

Phase Name	Offroad Equipment Type	Amount	Usage Hours	Horse Power	Load Factor
Demolition	Concrete/Industrial Saws	1	8.00	81	0.73
Demolition	Excavators	3	8.00	158	0.38
Demolition	Rubber Tired Dozers	2	8.00	247	0.40
Site Preparation	Crawler Tractors	4	8.00	212	0.43
Site Preparation	Rubber Tired Dozers	3	8.00	247	0.40

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Summer

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

Grading	Crawler Tractors	2	8.00	212	0.43
Grading	Excavators	2	8.00	158	0.38
Grading	Graders	1	8.00	187	0.41
Grading	Rubber Tired Dozers	1	8.00	247	0.40
Grading	Scrapers	2	8.00	367	0.48
Building Construction	Cranes	1	7.00	231	0.29
Building Construction	Forklifts	3	8.00	89	0.20
Building Construction	Generator Sets	1	8.00	84	0.74
Building Construction	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	3	7.00	97	0.37
Building Construction	Welders	1	8.00	46	0.45
Paving	Pavers	2	8.00	130	0.42
Paving	Paving Equipment	2	8.00	132	0.36
Paving	Rollers	2	8.00	80	0.38
Architectural Coating	Air Compressors	1	6.00	78	0.48

Trips and VMT

Phase Name	Offroad Equipment Count	Worker Trip Number	Vendor Trip Number	Hauling Trip Number	Worker Trip Length	Vendor Trip Length	Hauling Trip Length	Worker Vehicle Class	Vendor Vehicle Class	Hauling Vehicle Class
Demolition	6	15.00	6.00	50.00	14.70	6.90	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Site Preparation	7	18.00	6.00	0.00	14.70	6.90	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Grading	8	20.00	6.00	625.00	14.70	6.90	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Building Construction	9	83.00	31.00	0.00	14.70	6.90	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Paving	6	15.00	0.00	0.00	14.70	6.90	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Architectural Coating	1	17.00	0.00	0.00	14.70	6.90	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT

3.1 Mitigation Measures Construction

- Replace Ground Cover
- Water Exposed Area

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Summer

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

3.2 Demolition - 2022

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

Category	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
	lb/day															
Fugitive Dust					0.5404	0.0000	0.5404	0.0818	0.0000	0.0818			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	2.6392	25.7194	20.5941	0.0388	1.2427	1.2427	1.2427	1.1553	1.1553	1.1553		3,746.781 ₂	3,746.781 ₂	1.0524		3,773.092 ₀
Total	2.6392	25.7194	20.5941	0.0388	0.5404	1.2427	1.7831	0.0818	1.1553	1.2371		3,746.781₂	3,746.781₂	1.0524		3,773.092₀

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

Category	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
	lb/day															
Hauling	7.9100e-003	0.3193	0.0710	1.4400e-003	0.0438	3.7100e-003	0.0475	0.0120	3.5500e-003	0.0156		153.3380	153.3380	2.0700e-003	0.0242	160.5880
Vendor	9.7600e-003	0.2537	0.0882	1.0900e-003	0.0384	3.6600e-003	0.0421	0.0111	3.5000e-003	0.0146		115.7672	115.7672	1.2300e-003	0.0172	120.9138
Worker	0.0591	0.0383	0.5980	1.5200e-003	0.1677	8.4000e-004	0.1685	0.0445	7.7000e-004	0.0452		155.0309	155.0309	3.8400e-003	3.8100e-003	156.2632
Total	0.0768	0.6113	0.7572	4.0500e-003	0.2499	8.2100e-003	0.2581	0.0675	7.8200e-003	0.0754		424.1361	424.1361	7.1400e-003	0.0451	437.7650

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Summer

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

3.2 Demolition - 2022

Mitigated Construction On-Site

Category	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
	lb/day															
Fugitive Dust					0.1855	0.0000	0.1855	0.0281	0.0000	0.0281			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	2.6392	25.7194	20.5941	0.0388		1.2427	1.2427		1.1553	1.1553	0.0000	3,746.781 ₂	3,746.781 ₂	1.0524		3,773.092 ₀
Total	2.6392	25.7194	20.5941	0.0388	0.1855	1.2427	1.4281	0.0281	1.1553	1.1833	0.0000	3,746.781₂	3,746.781₂	1.0524		3,773.092₀

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

Category	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
	lb/day															
Hauling	7.9100e-003	0.3193	0.0710	1.4400e-003	0.0438	3.7100e-003	0.0475	0.0120	3.5500e-003	0.0156			153.3380	2.0700e-003	0.0242	160.5880
Vendor	9.7600e-003	0.2537	0.0882	1.0900e-003	0.0384	3.6600e-003	0.0421	0.0111	3.5000e-003	0.0146			115.7672	1.2300e-003	0.0172	120.9138
Worker	0.0591	0.0383	0.5980	1.5200e-003	0.1677	8.4000e-004	0.1685	0.0445	7.7000e-004	0.0452			155.0309	3.8400e-003	3.8100e-003	156.2632
Total	0.0768	0.6113	0.7572	4.0500e-003	0.2499	8.2100e-003	0.2581	0.0675	7.8200e-003	0.0754			424.1361	7.1400e-003	0.0451	437.7650

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Summer

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

3.3 Site Preparation - 2023

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

Category	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
	lb/day															
Fugitive Dust					21.7780	0.0000	21.7780	10.3315	0.0000	10.3315			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	3.8307	41.8798	18.2937	0.0569	1.7577	1.7577	1.7577	1.6171	1.6171	1.6171		5,514.089 1	5,514.089 1	1.7834		5,558.673 3
Total	3.8307	41.8798	18.2937	0.0569	21.7780	1.7577	23.5357	10.3315	1.6171	11.9486		5,514.089 1	5,514.089 1	1.7834		5,558.673 3

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

Category	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
	lb/day															
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000			0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	6.7600e-003	0.1960	0.0807	1.0500e-003	0.0384	1.7100e-003	0.0401	0.0111	1.6300e-003	0.0127		111.1626	111.1626	1.1300e-003	0.0164	1.16.0860
Worker	0.0658	0.0406	0.6603	1.7700e-003	0.2012	9.4000e-004	0.2021	0.0534	8.7000e-004	0.0542		181.1165	181.1165	4.1400e-003	4.2200e-003	182.4783
Total	0.0725	0.2367	0.7411	2.8200e-003	0.2396	2.6500e-003	0.2423	0.0644	2.5000e-003	0.0669		292.2791	292.2791	5.2700e-003	0.0207	298.5644

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Summer

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

3.3 Site Preparation - 2023

Mitigated Construction On-Site

Category	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
	lb/day															
Fugitive Dust					7.4742	0.0000	7.4742	3.5458	0.0000	3.5458			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	3.8307	41.8798	18.2937	0.0569	1.7577	1.7577	1.7577	1.6171	1.6171	1.6171	0.0000	5,514.089 1	5,514.089 1	1.7834		5,558.673 3
Total	3.8307	41.8798	18.2937	0.0569	7.4742	1.7577	9.2319	3.5458	1.6171	5.1629	0.0000	5,514.089 1	5,514.089 1	1.7834		5,558.673 3

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

Category	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
	lb/day															
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000			0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	6.7600e-003	0.1960	0.0807	1.0500e-003	0.0384	1.7100e-003	0.0401	0.0111	1.6300e-003	0.0127			111.1626	1.1300e-003	0.0164	116.0860
Worker	0.0658	0.0406	0.6603	1.7700e-003	0.2012	9.4000e-004	0.2021	0.0534	8.7000e-004	0.0542			181.1165	4.1400e-003	4.2200e-003	182.4783
Total	0.0725	0.2367	0.7411	2.8200e-003	0.2396	2.6500e-003	0.2423	0.0644	2.5000e-003	0.0669			292.2791	5.2700e-003	0.0207	298.5644

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Summer

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

3.4 Grading - 2023

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

Category	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
	lb/day															
Fugitive Dust					10.2852	0.0000	10.2852	3.7715	0.0000	3.7715			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	3.9073	41.6934	28.0758	0.0715	1.6703	1.6703	1.6703	1.5367	1.5367	1.5367		6.924.868 ₂	6.924.868 ₂	2.2396		6,980.859 ₃
Total	3.9073	41.6934	28.0758	0.0715	10.2852	1.6703	11.9555	3.7715	1.5367	5.3082		6,924.868₂	6,924.868₂	2.2396		6,980.859₃

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

Category	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
	lb/day															
Hauling	0.0456	2.0805	0.5721	0.0115	0.3646	0.0251	0.3897	0.1000	0.0240	0.1240		1,222.491 ₀	1,222.491 ₀	0.0173	0.1926	1,280.329 ₁
Vendor	6.7600e-003	0.1960	0.0807	1.0500e-003	0.0384	1.7100e-003	0.0401	0.0111	1.6300e-003	0.0127		111.1626	111.1626	1.1300e-003	0.0164	116.0860
Worker	0.0731	0.0452	0.7337	1.9700e-003	0.2236	1.0500e-003	0.2246	0.0593	9.7000e-004	0.0603		201.2406	201.2406	4.5900e-003	4.6900e-003	202.7537
Total	0.1254	2.3217	1.3865	0.0145	0.6266	0.0279	0.6545	0.1703	0.0266	0.1969		1,534.894₂	1,534.894₂	0.0231	0.2138	1,599.168₉

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Summer

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

3.4 Grading - 2023

Mitigated Construction On-Site

Category	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
	lb/day															
Fugitive Dust					3.5299	0.0000	3.5299	1.2944	0.0000	1.2944			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	3.9073	41.6934	28.0758	0.0715	1.6703	1.6703	1.6703	1.5367	1.5367	1.5367	0.0000	6,924.868 ₂	6,924.868 ₂	2.2396		6,980.859 ₃
Total	3.9073	41.6934	28.0758	0.0715	3.5299	1.6703	5.2002	1.2944	1.5367	2.8311	0.0000	6,924.868₂	6,924.868₂	2.2396		6,980.859₃

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

Category	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
	lb/day															
Hauling	0.0456	2.0805	0.5721	0.0115	0.3646	0.0251	0.3897	0.1000	0.0240	0.1240		1,222.491 ₀	1,222.491 ₀	0.0173	0.1926	1,280.329 ₁
Vendor	6.7600e-003	0.1960	0.0807	1.0500e-003	0.0384	1.7100e-003	0.0401	0.0111	1.6300e-003	0.0127		111.1626	111.1626	1.1300e-003	0.0164	116.0860
Worker	0.0731	0.0452	0.7337	1.9700e-003	0.2236	1.0500e-003	0.2246	0.0593	9.7000e-004	0.0603		201.2406	201.2406	4.5900e-003	4.6900e-003	202.7537
Total	0.1254	2.3217	1.3865	0.0145	0.6266	0.0279	0.6545	0.1703	0.0266	0.1969		1,534.894₂	1,534.894₂	0.0231	0.2138	1,599.168₉

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Summer

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

3.5 Building Construction - 2023

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

Category	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
	lb/day															
Off-Road	1.5728	14.3849	16.2440	0.0269		0.6997	0.6997		0.6584	0.6584		2,555.2099	2,555.2099	0.6079		2,570.4061
Total	1.5728	14.3849	16.2440	0.0269		0.6997	0.6997		0.6584	0.6584		2,555.2099	2,555.2099	0.6079		2,570.4061

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

Category	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
	lb/day															
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0349	1.0128	0.4170	5.4200e-003	0.1986	8.8200e-003	0.2074	0.0572	8.4400e-003	0.0656		574.3401	574.3401	5.8600e-003	0.0849	599.7779
Worker	0.3032	0.1874	3.0449	8.1600e-003	0.9277	4.3500e-003	0.9321	0.2460	4.0100e-003	0.2501		835.1485	835.1485	0.0191	0.0195	841.4278
Total	0.3382	1.2002	3.4619	0.0136	1.1263	0.0132	1.1395	0.3032	0.0125	0.3157		1,409.4886	1,409.4886	0.0249	0.1043	1,441.2056

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Summer

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

3.5 Building Construction - 2023

Mitigated Construction On-Site

Category	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
	lb/day															
Off-Road	1.5728	14.3849	16.2440	0.0269		0.6997	0.6997		0.6584	0.6584	0.0000	2,555.2099	2,555.2099	0.6079		2,570.4061
Total	1.5728	14.3849	16.2440	0.0269		0.6997	0.6997		0.6584	0.6584	0.0000	2,555.2099	2,555.2099	0.6079		2,570.4061

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

Category	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
	lb/day															
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0349	1.0128	0.4170	5.4200e-003	0.1986	8.8200e-003	0.2074	0.0572	8.4400e-003	0.0656		574.3401	574.3401	5.8600e-003	0.0849	599.7779
Worker	0.3032	0.1874	3.0449	8.1600e-003	0.9277	4.3500e-003	0.9321	0.2460	4.0100e-003	0.2501		835.1485	835.1485	0.0191	0.0195	841.4278
Total	0.3382	1.2002	3.4619	0.0136	1.1263	0.0132	1.1395	0.3032	0.0125	0.3157		1,409.4886	1,409.4886	0.0249	0.1043	1,441.2056

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Summer

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

3.5 Building Construction - 2024

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

Category	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
	lb/day															
Off-Road	1.4716	13.4438	16.1668	0.0270	0.6133	0.6133	0.6133	0.5769	0.5769	0.5769	2,555.6989	2,555.6989	2,555.6989	0.6044	0.6044	2,570.8077
Total	1.4716	13.4438	16.1668	0.0270	0.6133	0.6133	0.6133	0.5769	0.5769	0.5769		2,555.6989	2,555.6989	0.6044	0.6044	2,570.8077

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

Category	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
	lb/day															
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0344	1.0129	0.4122	5.3300e-003	0.1986	8.7600e-003	0.2073	0.0572	8.3800e-003	0.0656	565.4883	565.4883	565.4883	6.0600e-003	0.0834	590.5039
Worker	0.2827	0.1670	2.8511	7.9000e-003	0.9277	4.1600e-003	0.9319	0.2460	3.8300e-003	0.2499	814.9981	814.9981	814.9981	0.0173	0.0181	820.8208
Total	0.3171	1.1799	3.2634	0.0132	1.1263	0.0129	1.1392	0.3032	0.0122	0.3154		1,380.4864	1,380.4864	0.0233	0.1015	1,411.3247

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Summer

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

3.5 Building Construction - 2024

Mitigated Construction On-Site

Category	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
	lb/day															
Off-Road	1.4716	13.4438	16.1668	0.0270	0.6133	0.6133	0.6133	0.5769	0.5769	0.5769	0.0000	2,555.6989	2,555.6989	0.6044		2,570.8077
Total	1.4716	13.4438	16.1668	0.0270	0.6133	0.6133	0.6133	0.5769	0.5769	0.5769	0.0000	2,555.6989	2,555.6989	0.6044		2,570.8077

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

Category	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
	lb/day															
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0344	1.0129	0.4122	5.3300e-003	0.1986	8.7600e-003	0.2073	0.0572	8.3800e-003	0.0656		565.4883	565.4883	6.0600e-003	0.0834	590.5039
Worker	0.2827	0.1670	2.8511	7.9000e-003	0.9277	4.1600e-003	0.9319	0.2460	3.8300e-003	0.2499		814.9981	814.9981	0.0173	0.0181	820.8208
Total	0.3171	1.1799	3.2634	0.0132	1.1263	0.0129	1.1392	0.3032	0.0122	0.3154		1,380.4864	1,380.4864	0.0233	0.1015	1,411.3247

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Summer

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

3.6 Paving - 2024

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

Category	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
	lb/day															
Off-Road	0.9882	9.5246	14.6258	0.0228		0.4685	0.4685	0.4310	0.4310	0.4310		2,207.547 ₂	2,207.547 ₂	0.7140		2,225.396 ₃
Paving	0.2764					0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Total	1.2646	9.5246	14.6258	0.0228		0.4685	0.4685	0.4310	0.4310	0.4310		2,207.547₂	2,207.547₂	0.7140		2,225.396₃

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

Category	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
	lb/day															
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0511	0.0302	0.5153	1.4300e-003	0.1677	7.5000e-004	0.1684	0.0445	6.9000e-004	0.0452		147.2888	147.2888	3.1200e-003	3.2700e-003	148.3411
Total	0.0511	0.0302	0.5153	1.4300e-003	0.1677	7.5000e-004	0.1684	0.0445	6.9000e-004	0.0452		147.2888	147.2888	3.1200e-003	3.2700e-003	148.3411

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Summer

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

3.6 Paving - 2024

Mitigated Construction On-Site

Category	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
	lb/day															
Off-Road	0.9882	9.5246	14.6258	0.0228		0.4685	0.4685	0.4310	0.4310	0.4310	0.0000	2,207.547 ₂	2,207.547 ₂	0.7140		2,225.396 ₃
Paving	0.2764					0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Total	1.2646	9.5246	14.6258	0.0228		0.4685	0.4685	0.4310	0.4310	0.4310	0.0000	2,207.547₂	2,207.547₂	0.7140		2,225.396₃

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

Category	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
	lb/day															
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000			0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000			0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0511	0.0302	0.5153	1.4300e-003	0.1677	7.5000e-004	0.1684	0.0445	6.9000e-004	0.0452			147.2888	3.1200e-003	3.2700e-003	148.3411
Total	0.0511	0.0302	0.5153	1.4300e-003	0.1677	7.5000e-004	0.1684	0.0445	6.9000e-004	0.0452			147.2888	3.1200e-003	3.2700e-003	148.3411

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Summer

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

3.7 Architectural Coating - 2024

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

Category	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
	lb/day															
Archit. Coating	44.6869				0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	0.1808	1.2188	1.8101	2.9700e-003	0.0609	0.0609	0.0609	0.0609	0.0609	0.0609		281.4481	281.4481	0.0159		281.8443
Total	44.8676	1.2188	1.8101	2.9700e-003	0.0609	0.0609	0.0609	0.0609	0.0609	0.0609		281.4481	281.4481	0.0159		281.8443

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

Category	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
	lb/day															
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000			0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000			0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	0.0579	0.0342	0.5840	1.6200e-003	0.1900	8.5000e-004	0.1909	0.0504	7.8000e-004	0.0512		166.9273	166.9273	3.5400e-003	3.7100e-003	168.1199
Total	0.0579	0.0342	0.5840	1.6200e-003	0.1900	8.5000e-004	0.1909	0.0504	7.8000e-004	0.0512		166.9273	166.9273	3.5400e-003	3.7100e-003	168.1199

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Summer

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

3.7 Architectural Coating - 2024

Mitigated Construction On-Site

Category	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
	lb/day															
Archit. Coating	44.6869				0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	0.1808	1.2188	1.8101	2.9700e-003	0.0609	0.0609	0.0609	0.0609	0.0609	0.0609	0.0000	281.4481	281.4481	0.0159		281.8443
Total	44.8676	1.2188	1.8101	2.9700e-003	0.0609	0.0609	0.0609	0.0609	0.0609	0.0609	0.0000	281.4481	281.4481	0.0159		281.8443

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

Category	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
	lb/day															
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000			0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000			0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0579	0.0342	0.5840	1.6200e-003	0.1900	8.5000e-004	0.1909	0.0504	7.8000e-004	0.0512			166.9273	3.5400e-003	3.7100e-003	168.1199
Total	0.0579	0.0342	0.5840	1.6200e-003	0.1900	8.5000e-004	0.1909	0.0504	7.8000e-004	0.0512			166.9273	3.5400e-003	3.7100e-003	168.1199

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Summer

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

4.0 Operational Detail - Mobile

4.1 Mitigation Measures Mobile

Improve Pedestrian Network

Category	lb/day															
	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Mitigated	1.2080	1.5667	11.7795	0.0272	2.7675	0.0208	2.7883	0.7383	0.0195	0.7578			2,813,472.5	0.1329	0.1270	2,854,641.9
Unmitigated	1.2182	1.5919	11.9820	0.0277	2.8240	0.0212	2.8452	0.7534	0.0198	0.7732			2,869,455.2	0.1348	0.1291	2,911,297.1

4.2 Trip Summary Information

Land Use	Average Daily Trip Rate			Unmitigated Annual VMT	Mitigated Annual VMT
	Weekday	Saturday	Sunday		
City Park	0.00	0.00	0.00		
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00		
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00		
Single Family Housing	387.86	391.14	350.55	1,308,764	1,282,589
Total	387.86	391.14	350.55	1,308,764	1,282,589

4.3 Trip Type Information

Land Use	Miles			Trip %			Trip Purpose %		
	H-W or C-W	H-S or C-C	H-O or C-NW	H-S or C-C	H-W or C-W	H-O or C-NW	Primary	Diverted	Pass-by
City Park	16.60	8.40	6.90	48.00	33.00	19.00	66	28	6
Other Asphalt Surfaces	16.60	8.40	6.90	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0	0

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Summer

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

Land Use	Miles				Trip %				Trip Purpose %			
	H-W or C-W	H-S or C-C	H-O or C-NW	H-W or C-NW	H-W or C-W	H-S or C-C	H-O or C-NW	H-W or C-NW	Primary	Diverted	Pass-by	
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	16.60	8.40	6.90	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0	0	0	
Single Family Housing	14.70	5.90	8.70	40.20	19.20	40.60	86	11	3			

4.4 Fleet Mix

Land Use	LDA	LDT1	LDT2	MDV	LHD1	LHD2	MHD	HHD	OBUS	UBUS	MCY	SBUS	MH
City Park	0.537845	0.056225	0.173186	0.138405	0.025906	0.007191	0.011447	0.018769	0.000611	0.000309	0.023821	0.001097	0.005189
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.537845	0.056225	0.173186	0.138405	0.025906	0.007191	0.011447	0.018769	0.000611	0.000309	0.023821	0.001097	0.005189
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	0.537845	0.056225	0.173186	0.138405	0.025906	0.007191	0.011447	0.018769	0.000611	0.000309	0.023821	0.001097	0.005189
Single Family Housing	0.537845	0.056225	0.173186	0.138405	0.025906	0.007191	0.011447	0.018769	0.000611	0.000309	0.023821	0.001097	0.005189

5.0 Energy Detail

Historical Energy Use: N

5.1 Mitigation Measures Energy

Kilowatt Hours of Renewable Electricity Generated

Category	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Natural Gas Mitigated	0.0343	0.2928	0.1246	1.8700e-003	0.0237	0.0237	0.0237	0.0237	0.0237	0.0237	373.8161	373.8161	373.8161	7.1600e-003	6.8500e-003	376.0375
Natural Gas Unmitigated	0.0343	0.2928	0.1246	1.8700e-003	0.0237	0.0237	0.0237	0.0237	0.0237	0.0237	373.8161	373.8161	373.8161	7.1600e-003	6.8500e-003	376.0375

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Summer

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

Unmitigated

Land Use	NaturalGas Use kBTU/yr	lb/day										lb/day						
		ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e	
City Park	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Single Family Housing	3177.44	0.0343	0.2928	0.1246	1.8700e-003	0.0237	0.0237	0.0237	0.0237	0.0237	0.0237	0.0237	0.0237	0.0237	7.1600e-003	6.8500e-003	373.8161	376.0375
Total		0.0343	0.2928	0.1246	1.8700e-003	0.0237	0.0237	0.0237	0.0237	0.0237	0.0237	0.0237	0.0237	0.0237	7.1600e-003	6.8500e-003	373.8161	376.0375

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Summer

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

Mitigated

Land Use	NaturalGas Use kBTU/yr	lb/day																
		ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e	
City Park	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Single Family Housing	3.17744	0.0343	0.2928	0.1246	1.8700e-003	0.0237	0.0237	0.0237	0.0237	0.0237	0.0237	373.8161	373.8161	7.1600e-003	6.8500e-003	376.0375	376.0375	
Total		0.0343	0.2928	0.1246	1.8700e-003	0.0237	0.0237	0.0237	0.0237	0.0237	0.0237	373.8161	373.8161	7.1600e-003	6.8500e-003	376.0375	376.0375	

6.0 Area Detail

6.1 Mitigation Measures Area

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Summer

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

Category	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
	lb/day															
Mitigated	3.1020	0.0390	3.3815	1.8000e-004		0.0187	0.0187		0.0187	0.0187	0.0000	6.0915	6.0915	5.8500e-003	0.0000	6.2376
Unmitigated	3.1020	0.0390	3.3815	1.8000e-004		0.0187	0.0187		0.0187	0.0187	0.0000	6.0915	6.0915	5.8500e-003	0.0000	6.2376

6.2 Area by SubCategory
Unmitigated

SubCategory	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
	lb/day															
Architectural Coating	0.2449					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Consumer Products	2.7555					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Hearth	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Landscaping	0.1017	0.0390	3.3815	1.8000e-004		0.0187	0.0187		0.0187	0.0187	6.0915	6.0915	6.0915	5.8500e-003		6.2376
Total	3.1020	0.0390	3.3815	1.8000e-004		0.0187	0.0187		0.0187	0.0187	0.0000	6.0915	6.0915	5.8500e-003	0.0000	6.2376

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Summer

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

6.2 Area by SubCategory

Mitigated

SubCategory	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
lb/day																
Architectural Coating	0.2449					0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Consumer Products	2.7555					0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Hearth	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Landscaping	0.1017	0.0390	3.3815	1.8000e-004		0.0187	0.0187	0.0187	0.0187	0.0187			6.0915	5.8500e-003		6.2376
Total	3.1020	0.0390	3.3815	1.8000e-004		0.0187	0.0187	0.0187	0.0187	0.0187	0.0000	6.0915	6.0915	5.8500e-003	0.0000	6.2376

7.0 Water Detail

7.1 Mitigation Measures Water

- Install Low Flow Bathroom Faucet
- Install Low Flow Kitchen Faucet
- Install Low Flow Toilet
- Install Low Flow Shower
- Use Water Efficient Irrigation System

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Summer

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

8.0 Waste Detail

8.1 Mitigation Measures Waste

Institute Recycling and Composting Services

9.0 Operational Offroad

Equipment Type	Number	Hours/Day	Days/Year	Horse Power	Load Factor	Fuel Type
----------------	--------	-----------	-----------	-------------	-------------	-----------

10.0 Stationary Equipment

Fire Pumps and Emergency Generators

Equipment Type	Number	Hours/Day	Hours/Year	Horse Power	Load Factor	Fuel Type
----------------	--------	-----------	------------	-------------	-------------	-----------

Boilers

Equipment Type	Number	Heat Input/Day	Heat Input/Year	Boiler Rating	Fuel Type
----------------	--------	----------------	-----------------	---------------	-----------

User Defined Equipment

Equipment Type	Number
----------------	--------

11.0 Vegetation

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Winter

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

Magnolia Ranch Residential

Riverside-South Coast County, Winter

1.0 Project Characteristics

1.1 Land Usage

Land Uses	Size	Metric	Lot Acreage	Floor Surface Area	Population
Other Asphalt Surfaces	2.11	Acre	2.11	91,911.60	0
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	1.11	Acre	1.11	48,351.60	0
City Park	0.51	Acre	0.51	22,215.60	0
Single Family Housing	41.00	Dwelling Unit	6.27	136,598.00	117

1.2 Other Project Characteristics

Urbanization	Urban	Wind Speed (m/s)	2.4	Precipitation Freq (Days)	28
Climate Zone	10			Operational Year	2024

Utility Company Southern California Edison

CO2 Intensity (lb/MW/hr)	390.98	CH4 Intensity (lb/MW/hr)	0.033	N2O Intensity (lb/MW/hr)	0.004
--------------------------	--------	--------------------------	-------	--------------------------	-------

1.3 User Entered Comments & Non-Default Data

Project Characteristics -

Land Use - Project Site = 10 gross acres

Construction Phase -

Off-road Equipment -

Off-road Equipment -

Off-road Equipment - Grading: 2 Excavators, 1 Grader, 1 Rubber Tired Dozer, 2 Scrapers & 2 Crawler Tractors

Off-road Equipment -

Off-road Equipment - Site Preparation: 3 Rubber Tired Dozers & 4 Crawler Tractors

Trips and VMT - 6 vendor trips added to Demo, Site Prep and Grading phases to account for water truck emissions

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Winter

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

Demolition - Demo - 6,700 sq ft of Building = 308 tons + 8,000 sq ft of pavement = 193 tons. Total 502 tons of demo.

Grading - Grading - Import of 5,000 cu yds of dirt

Vehicle Trips - Weekday trip rate set to match TIA of 388 daily trips. City Park set to zero.

Woodstoves - No woodstoves or fireplaces

Construction Off-road Equipment Mitigation - Water Exposed Area 3x per day and Replace Ground Cover selected to account for SCAQMD Rule 403 Minimum requirements

Mobile Land Use Mitigation - Improve Pedestrian Network onsite and connecting offsite.

Energy Mitigation - 273,468 kWh generated from solar PV panels

Water Mitigation - Install low-flow fixtures and use water-efficient irrigation selected to account for Title 24 Part 11 min requirements

Waste Mitigation - 50% reduction in waste selected to account for AB 341

Table Name	Column Name	Default Value	New Value
tblFireplaces	NumberGas	34.85	0.00
tblFireplaces	NumberNoFireplace	4.10	41.00
tblFireplaces	NumberWood	2.05	0.00
tblGrading	MaterialImported	0.00	5,000.00
tblLandUse	LandUseSquareFeet	73,800.00	136,598.00
tblLandUse	LotAcreage	13.31	6.27
tblTripsAndVMT	VendorTripNumber	0.00	6.00
tblTripsAndVMT	VendorTripNumber	0.00	6.00
tblTripsAndVMT	VendorTripNumber	0.00	6.00
tblVehicleTrips	ST_TR	1.96	0.00
tblVehicleTrips	SU_TR	2.19	0.00
tblVehicleTrips	WD_TR	0.78	0.00
tblVehicleTrips	WD_TR	9.44	9.46
tblWoodstoves	NumberCatalytic	2.05	0.00
tblWoodstoves	NumberNoncatalytic	2.05	0.00

2.0 Emissions Summary

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Winter

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

2.1 Overall Construction (Maximum Daily Emission)

Unmitigated Construction

Year	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
lb/day																
2022	2.7113	26.3632	21.2433	0.0427	0.7903	1.2509	2.0412	0.1494	1.1631	1.3124	0.0000	4,156.5558	4,156.5558	1.0595	0.0453	4,196.5361
2023	4.0240	44.1526	29.3392	0.0858	22.0176	1.7604	23.7780	10.3959	1.6196	12.0155	0.0000	8,443.0516	8,443.0516	2.2625	0.2142	8,563.4511
2024	44.9221	14.6912	18.9093	0.0395	1.1263	0.6263	1.7526	0.3032	0.5891	0.8924	0.0000	3,861.1996	3,861.1996	0.7171	0.1022	3,907.3504
Maximum	44.9221	44.1526	29.3392	0.0858	22.0176	1.7604	23.7780	10.3959	1.6196	12.0155	0.0000	8,443.0516	8,443.0516	2.2625	0.2142	8,563.4511

Mitigated Construction

Year	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
lb/day																
2022	2.7113	26.3632	21.2433	0.0427	0.4353	1.2509	1.6862	0.0956	1.1631	1.2587	0.0000	4,156.5558	4,156.5558	1.0595	0.0453	4,196.5361
2023	4.0240	44.1526	29.3392	0.0858	7.7138	1.7604	9.4742	3.6102	1.6196	5.2298	0.0000	8,443.0516	8,443.0516	2.2625	0.2142	8,563.4511
2024	44.9221	14.6912	18.9093	0.0395	1.1263	0.6263	1.7526	0.3032	0.5891	0.8924	0.0000	3,861.1996	3,861.1996	0.7171	0.1022	3,907.3504
Maximum	44.9221	44.1526	29.3392	0.0858	7.7138	1.7604	9.4742	3.6102	1.6196	5.2298	0.0000	8,443.0516	8,443.0516	2.2625	0.2142	8,563.4511

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Winter

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio-CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Percent Reduction	0.24	1.32	1.20	1.80	2.00	0.61	1.97	2.00	0.59	1.89	0.00	1.70	1.70	1.21	1.54	1.70

3.0 Construction Detail

Construction Phase

Phase Number	Phase Name	Phase Type	Start Date	End Date	Num Days Week	Num Days	Phase Description
1	Demolition	Demolition	12/1/2022	12/28/2022	5	20	
2	Site Preparation	Site Preparation	1/2/2023	1/13/2023	5	10	
3	Grading	Grading	1/14/2023	2/24/2023	5	30	
4	Building Construction	Building Construction	2/25/2023	4/19/2024	5	300	
5	Paving	Paving	4/20/2024	5/17/2024	5	20	
6	Architectural Coating	Architectural Coating	5/18/2024	6/14/2024	5	20	

Acres of Grading (Site Preparation Phase): 35

Acres of Grading (Grading Phase): 120

Acres of Paving: 3.22

Residential Indoor: 276,611; Residential Outdoor: 92,204; Non-Residential Indoor: 0; Non-Residential Outdoor: 0; Striped Parking Area: 8,416 (Architectural Coating – sqft)

OffRoad Equipment

Phase Name	Offroad Equipment Type	Amount	Usage Hours	Horse Power	Load Factor
Demolition	Concrete/Industrial Saws	1	8.00	81	0.73
Demolition	Excavators	3	8.00	158	0.38
Demolition	Rubber Tired Dozers	2	8.00	247	0.40
Site Preparation	Crawler Tractors	4	8.00	212	0.43
Site Preparation	Rubber Tired Dozers	3	8.00	247	0.40

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Winter

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

Grading	Crawler Tractors	2	8.00	212	0.43
Grading	Excavators	2	8.00	158	0.38
Grading	Graders	1	8.00	187	0.41
Grading	Rubber Tired Dozers	1	8.00	247	0.40
Grading	Scrapers	2	8.00	367	0.48
Building Construction	Cranes	1	7.00	231	0.29
Building Construction	Forklifts	3	8.00	89	0.20
Building Construction	Generator Sets	1	8.00	84	0.74
Building Construction	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	3	7.00	97	0.37
Building Construction	Welders	1	8.00	46	0.45
Paving	Pavers	2	8.00	130	0.42
Paving	Paving Equipment	2	8.00	132	0.36
Paving	Rollers	2	8.00	80	0.38
Architectural Coating	Air Compressors	1	6.00	78	0.48

Trips and VMT

Phase Name	Offroad Equipment Count	Worker Trip Number	Vendor Trip Number	Hauling Trip Number	Worker Trip Length	Vendor Trip Length	Hauling Trip Length	Worker Vehicle Class	Vendor Vehicle Class	Hauling Vehicle Class
Demolition	6	15.00	6.00	50.00	14.70	6.90	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Site Preparation	7	18.00	6.00	0.00	14.70	6.90	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Grading	8	20.00	6.00	625.00	14.70	6.90	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Building Construction	9	83.00	31.00	0.00	14.70	6.90	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Paving	6	15.00	0.00	0.00	14.70	6.90	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Architectural Coating	1	17.00	0.00	0.00	14.70	6.90	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT

3.1 Mitigation Measures Construction

- Replace Ground Cover
- Water Exposed Area

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Winter

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

3.2 Demolition - 2022

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

Category	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
	lb/day															
Fugitive Dust					0.5404	0.0000	0.5404	0.0818	0.0000	0.0818			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	2.6392	25.7194	20.5941	0.0388	1.2427	1.2427	1.2427	1.1553	1.1553	1.1553			3,746.781 ₂	1.0524		3,773.092 ₀
Total	2.6392	25.7194	20.5941	0.0388	0.5404	1.2427	1.7831	0.0818	1.1553	1.2371			3,746.781₂	1.0524		3,773.092₀

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

Category	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
	lb/day															
Hauling	7.5300e-003	0.3368	0.0730	1.4400e-003	0.0438	3.7200e-003	0.0475	0.0120	3.5600e-003	0.0156			153.4551	2.0600e-003	0.0242	160.7102
Vendor	9.3500e-003	0.2673	0.0916	1.0900e-003	0.0384	3.6700e-003	0.0421	0.0111	3.5100e-003	0.0146			115.8935	1.2100e-003	0.0172	121.0492
Worker	0.0552	0.0398	0.4846	1.3800e-003	0.1677	8.4000e-004	0.1685	0.0445	7.7000e-004	0.0452			140.4261	3.8100e-003	3.9000e-003	141.6847
Total	0.0721	0.6438	0.6492	3.9100e-003	0.2499	8.2300e-003	0.2581	0.0675	7.8400e-003	0.0754			409.7746	7.0800e-003	0.0453	423.4440

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Winter

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

3.2 Demolition - 2022

Mitigated Construction On-Site

Category	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
	lb/day															
Fugitive Dust					0.1855	0.0000	0.1855	0.0281	0.0000	0.0281			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	2.6392	25.7194	20.5941	0.0388	1.2427	1.2427	1.2427	1.1553	1.1553	1.1553	0.0000	3,746.781 ₂	3,746.781 ₂	1.0524		3,773.092 ₀
Total	2.6392	25.7194	20.5941	0.0388	0.1855	1.2427	1.4281	0.0281	1.1553	1.1833	0.0000	3,746.781₂	3,746.781₂	1.0524		3,773.092₀

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

Category	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
	lb/day															
Hauling	7.5300e-003	0.3368	0.0730	1.4400e-003	0.0438	3.7200e-003	0.0475	0.0120	3.5600e-003	0.0156			153.4551	2.0600e-003	0.0242	160.7102
Vendor	9.3500e-003	0.2673	0.0916	1.0900e-003	0.0384	3.6700e-003	0.0421	0.0111	3.5100e-003	0.0146			115.8935	1.2100e-003	0.0172	121.0492
Worker	0.0552	0.0398	0.4846	1.3800e-003	0.1677	8.4000e-004	0.1685	0.0445	7.7000e-004	0.0452			140.4261	3.8100e-003	3.9000e-003	141.6847
Total	0.0721	0.6438	0.6492	3.9100e-003	0.2499	8.2300e-003	0.2581	0.0675	7.8400e-003	0.0754			409.7746	7.0800e-003	0.0453	423.4440

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Winter

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

3.3 Site Preparation - 2023

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

Category	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
lb/day																
Fugitive Dust					21.7780	0.0000	21.7780	10.3315	0.0000	10.3315			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	3.8307	41.8798	18.2937	0.0569	1.7577	1.7577	1.7577	1.6171	1.6171	1.6171		5,514.0891	5,514.0891	1.7834		5,558.6733
Total	3.8307	41.8798	18.2937	0.0569	21.7780	1.7577	23.5357	10.3315	1.6171	11.9486		5,514.0891	5,514.0891	1.7834		5,558.6733

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

Category	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
lb/day																
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000			0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	6.2600e-003	0.2079	0.0834	1.0500e-003	0.0384	1.7100e-003	0.0401	0.0111	1.6400e-003	0.0127		111.4385	111.4385	1.1100e-003	0.0165	116.3777
Worker	0.0616	0.0422	0.5362	1.6000e-003	0.2012	9.4000e-004	0.2021	0.0534	8.7000e-004	0.0542		164.1079	164.1079	4.1200e-003	4.3200e-003	165.4988
Total	0.0679	0.2501	0.6196	2.6500e-003	0.2396	2.6500e-003	0.2423	0.0644	2.5100e-003	0.0669		275.5463	275.5463	5.2300e-003	0.0208	281.8765

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Winter

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

3.3 Site Preparation - 2023

Mitigated Construction On-Site

Category	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
lb/day																
Fugitive Dust					7.4742	0.0000	7.4742	3.5458	0.0000	3.5458			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	3.8307	41.8798	18.2937	0.0569	1.7577	1.7577	1.7577	1.6171	1.6171	1.6171	0.0000	5,514.089	5,514.089	1.7834		5,558.673
Total	3.8307	41.8798	18.2937	0.0569	7.4742	1.7577	9.2319	3.5458	1.6171	5.1629	0.0000	5,514.089	5,514.089	1.7834		5,558.673

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

Category	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
lb/day																
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000			0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	6.2600e-003	0.2079	0.0834	1.0500e-003	0.0384	1.7100e-003	0.0401	0.0111	1.6400e-003	0.0127			111.4385	1.1100e-003	0.0165	116.3777
Worker	0.0616	0.0422	0.5362	1.6000e-003	0.2012	9.4000e-004	0.2021	0.0534	8.7000e-004	0.0542			164.1079	4.1200e-003	4.3200e-003	165.4988
Total	0.0679	0.2501	0.6196	2.6500e-003	0.2396	2.6500e-003	0.2423	0.0644	2.5100e-003	0.0669			275.5463	5.2300e-003	0.0208	281.8765

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Winter

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

3.4 Grading - 2023

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

Category	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
lb/day																
Fugitive Dust					10.2852	0.0000	10.2852	3.7715	0.0000	3.7715			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	3.9073	41.6934	28.0758	0.0715	1.6703	1.6703	1.6703	1.5367	1.5367	1.5367		6.924.868 ₂	6.924.868 ₂	2.2396		6,980.859 ₃
Total	3.9073	41.6934	28.0758	0.0715	10.2852	1.6703	11.9555	3.7715	1.5367	5.3082		6,924.868₂	6,924.868₂	2.2396		6,980.859₃

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

Category	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
lb/day																
Hauling	0.0419	2.2045	0.5842	0.0115	0.3646	0.0252	0.3898	0.1000	0.0241	0.1240		1,224.402 ₉	1,224.402 ₉	0.0172	0.1929	1,282.326 ₇
Vendor	6.2600e-003	0.2079	0.0834	1.0500e-003	0.0384	1.7100e-003	0.0401	0.0111	1.6400e-003	0.0127		111.4385	111.4385	1.1100e-003	0.0165	116.3777
Worker	0.0685	0.0469	0.5958	1.7800e-003	0.2236	1.0500e-003	0.2246	0.0593	9.7000e-004	0.0603		182.3421	182.3421	4.5800e-003	4.8000e-003	183.8876
Total	0.1167	2.4592	1.2634	0.0143	0.6266	0.0279	0.6545	0.1703	0.0267	0.1970		1,518.183₄	1,518.183₄	0.0229	0.2142	1,582.591₉

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Winter

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

3.4 Grading - 2023

Mitigated Construction On-Site

Category	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
	lb/day															
Fugitive Dust					3.5299	0.0000	3.5299	1.2944	0.0000	1.2944			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	3.9073	41.6934	28.0758	0.0715	1.6703	1.6703	1.6703	1.5367	1.5367	1.5367	0.0000	6,924.868 ₂	6,924.868 ₂	2.2396		6,980.859 ₃
Total	3.9073	41.6934	28.0758	0.0715	3.5299	1.6703	5.2002	1.2944	1.5367	2.8311	0.0000	6,924.868₂	6,924.868₂	2.2396		6,980.859₃

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

Category	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
	lb/day															
Hauling	0.0419	2.2045	0.5842	0.0115	0.3646	0.0252	0.3898	0.1000	0.0241	0.1240			1,224.402 ₉	0.0172	0.1929	1,282.326 ₇
Vendor	6.2600e-003	0.2079	0.0834	1.0500e-003	0.0384	1.7100e-003	0.0401	0.0111	1.6400e-003	0.0127			111.4385	1.1100e-003	0.0165	116.3777
Worker	0.0685	0.0469	0.5958	1.7800e-003	0.2236	1.0500e-003	0.2246	0.0593	9.7000e-004	0.0603			182.3421	4.5800e-003	4.8000e-003	183.8876
Total	0.1167	2.4592	1.2634	0.0143	0.6266	0.0279	0.6545	0.1703	0.0267	0.1970			1,518.183₄	0.0229	0.2142	1,582.591₉

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Winter

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

3.5 Building Construction - 2023

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

Category	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
	lb/day															
Off-Road	1.5728	14.3849	16.2440	0.0269		0.6997	0.6997		0.6584	0.6584		2,555.2099	2,555.2099	0.6079		2,570.4061
Total	1.5728	14.3849	16.2440	0.0269		0.6997	0.6997		0.6584	0.6584		2,555.2099	2,555.2099	0.6079		2,570.4061

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

Category	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
	lb/day															
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0324	1.0741	0.4311	5.4300e-003	0.1986	8.8500e-003	0.2074	0.0572	8.4700e-003	0.0656		575.7655	575.7655	5.7400e-003	0.0852	601.2846
Worker	0.2842	0.1944	2.4725	7.3900e-003	0.9277	4.3500e-003	0.9321	0.2460	4.0100e-003	0.2501		756.7196	756.7196	0.0190	0.0199	763.1333
Total	0.3166	1.2685	2.9036	0.0128	1.1263	0.0132	1.1395	0.3032	0.0125	0.3157		1,332.4851	1,332.4851	0.0248	0.1051	1,364.4179

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Winter

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

3.5 Building Construction - 2023

Mitigated Construction On-Site

Category	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
	lb/day															
Off-Road	1.5728	14.3849	16.2440	0.0269		0.6997	0.6997		0.6584	0.6584	0.0000	2,555.2099	2,555.2099	0.6079		2,570.4061
Total	1.5728	14.3849	16.2440	0.0269		0.6997	0.6997		0.6584	0.6584	0.0000	2,555.2099	2,555.2099	0.6079		2,570.4061

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

Category	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
	lb/day															
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0324	1.0741	0.4311	5.4300e-003	0.1986	8.8500e-003	0.2074	0.0572	8.4700e-003	0.0656		575.7655	575.7655	5.7400e-003	0.0852	601.2846
Worker	0.2842	0.1944	2.4725	7.3900e-003	0.9277	4.3500e-003	0.9321	0.2460	4.0100e-003	0.2501		756.7196	756.7196	0.0190	0.0199	763.1333
Total	0.3166	1.2685	2.9036	0.0128	1.1263	0.0132	1.1395	0.3032	0.0125	0.3157		1,332.4851	1,332.4851	0.0248	0.1051	1,364.4179

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Winter

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

3.5 Building Construction - 2024

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

Category	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
	lb/day															
Off-Road	1.4716	13.4438	16.1668	0.0270		0.6133	0.6133		0.5769	0.5769		2,555.6989	2,555.6989	0.6044		2,570.8077
Total	1.4716	13.4438	16.1668	0.0270		0.6133	0.6133		0.5769	0.5769		2,555.6989	2,555.6989	0.6044		2,570.8077

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

Category	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
	lb/day															
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0318	1.0742	0.4262	5.3400e-003	0.1986	8.7900e-003	0.2073	0.0572	8.4100e-003	0.0656		566.9015	566.9015	5.9500e-003	0.0837	591.9965
Worker	0.2658	0.1732	2.3163	7.1600e-003	0.9277	4.1600e-003	0.9319	0.2460	3.8300e-003	0.2499		738.5992	738.5992	0.0172	0.0185	744.5462
Total	0.2976	1.2474	2.7425	0.0125	1.1263	0.0130	1.1392	0.3032	0.0122	0.3155		1,305.5007	1,305.5007	0.0232	0.1022	1,336.5427

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Winter

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

3.5 Building Construction - 2024

Mitigated Construction On-Site

Category	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
	lb/day															
Off-Road	1.4716	13.4438	16.1668	0.0270		0.6133	0.6133		0.5769	0.5769	0.0000	2,555.6989	2,555.6989	0.6044		2,570.8077
Total	1.4716	13.4438	16.1668	0.0270		0.6133	0.6133		0.5769	0.5769	0.0000	2,555.6989	2,555.6989	0.6044		2,570.8077

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

Category	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
	lb/day															
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0318	1.0742	0.4262	5.3400e-003	0.1986	8.7900e-003	0.2073	0.0572	8.4100e-003	0.0656		566.9015	566.9015	5.9500e-003	0.0837	591.9965
Worker	0.2658	0.1732	2.3163	7.1600e-003	0.9277	4.1600e-003	0.9319	0.2460	3.8300e-003	0.2499		738.5992	738.5992	0.0172	0.0185	744.5462
Total	0.2976	1.2474	2.7425	0.0125	1.1263	0.0130	1.1392	0.3032	0.0122	0.3155		1,305.5007	1,305.5007	0.0232	0.1022	1,336.5427

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Winter

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

3.6 Paving - 2024

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

Category	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
	lb/day															
Off-Road	0.9882	9.5246	14.6258	0.0228		0.4685	0.4685	0.4310	0.4310	0.4310		2,207.547 ₂	2,207.547 ₂	0.7140		2,225.396 ₃
Paving	0.2764					0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Total	1.2646	9.5246	14.6258	0.0228		0.4685	0.4685	0.4310	0.4310	0.4310		2,207.547₂	2,207.547₂	0.7140		2,225.396₃

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

Category	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
	lb/day															
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	0.0480	0.0313	0.4186	1.2900e-003	0.1677	7.5000e-004	0.1684	0.0445	6.9000e-004	0.0452		133.4818	133.4818	3.1200e-003	3.3500e-003	134.5565
Total	0.0480	0.0313	0.4186	1.2900e-003	0.1677	7.5000e-004	0.1684	0.0445	6.9000e-004	0.0452		133.4818	133.4818	3.1200e-003	3.3500e-003	134.5565

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Winter

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

3.6 Paving - 2024

Mitigated Construction On-Site

Category	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
	lb/day															
Off-Road	0.9882	9.5246	14.6258	0.0228		0.4685	0.4685	0.4310	0.4310	0.4310	0.0000	2,207.547 ₂	2,207.547 ₂	0.7140		2,225.396 ₃
Paving	0.2764					0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Total	1.2646	9.5246	14.6258	0.0228		0.4685	0.4685	0.4310	0.4310	0.4310	0.0000	2,207.547₂	2,207.547₂	0.7140		2,225.396₃

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

Category	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
	lb/day															
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000			0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000			0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0480	0.0313	0.4186	1.2900e-003	0.1677	7.5000e-004	0.1684	0.0445	6.9000e-004	0.0452			133.4818	3.1200e-003	3.3500e-003	134.5565
Total	0.0480	0.0313	0.4186	1.2900e-003	0.1677	7.5000e-004	0.1684	0.0445	6.9000e-004	0.0452			133.4818	3.1200e-003	3.3500e-003	134.5565

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Winter

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

3.7 Architectural Coating - 2024

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

Category	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
lb/day																
Archit. Coating	44.6869					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	0.1808	1.2188	1.8101	2.9700e-003	0.0609	0.0609	0.0609	0.0609	0.0609	0.0609	281.4481	281.4481	281.4481	0.0159		281.8443
Total	44.8676	1.2188	1.8101	2.9700e-003		0.0609	0.0609		0.0609	0.0609		281.4481	281.4481	0.0159		281.8443

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

Category	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
lb/day																
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000			0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000			0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0544	0.0355	0.4744	1.4700e-003	0.1900	8.5000e-004	0.1909	0.0504	7.8000e-004	0.0512	151.2794	151.2794	151.2794	3.5300e-003	3.7900e-003	152.4974
Total	0.0544	0.0355	0.4744	1.4700e-003	0.1900	8.5000e-004	0.1909	0.0504	7.8000e-004	0.0512		151.2794	151.2794	3.5300e-003	3.7900e-003	152.4974

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Winter

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

3.7 Architectural Coating - 2024

Mitigated Construction On-Site

Category	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
lb/day																
Archit. Coating	44.6869				0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	0.1808	1.2188	1.8101	2.9700e-003	0.0609	0.0609	0.0609	0.0609	0.0609	0.0609	0.0000	281.4481	281.4481	0.0159		281.8443
Total	44.8676	1.2188	1.8101	2.9700e-003	0.0609	0.0609	0.0609	0.0609	0.0609	0.0609	0.0000	281.4481	281.4481	0.0159		281.8443

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

Category	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
lb/day																
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000			0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000			0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Worker	0.0544	0.0355	0.4744	1.4700e-003	0.1900	8.5000e-004	0.1909	0.0504	7.8000e-004	0.0512		151.2794	151.2794	3.5300e-003	3.7900e-003	152.4974
Total	0.0544	0.0355	0.4744	1.4700e-003	0.1900	8.5000e-004	0.1909	0.0504	7.8000e-004	0.0512		151.2794	151.2794	3.5300e-003	3.7900e-003	152.4974

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Winter

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

4.0 Operational Detail - Mobile

4.1 Mitigation Measures Mobile

Improve Pedestrian Network

Category	lb/day															
	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Mitigated	1.0287	1.6616	10.4138	0.0252	2.7675	0.0208	2.7883	0.7383	0.0195	0.7578	2,612.381	0	2,612.381	0.1359	0.1297	2,654.418
Unmitigated	1.0386	1.6884	10.5832	0.0257	2.8240	0.0212	2.8452	0.7534	0.0199	0.7733	2,664.236	3	2,664.236	0.1377	0.1318	2,706.956

4.2 Trip Summary Information

Land Use	Average Daily Trip Rate			Unmitigated		Mitigated	
	Weekday	Saturday	Sunday	Annual VMT	Annual VMT	Annual VMT	Annual VMT
City Park	0.00	0.00	0.00				
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00				
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00				
Single Family Housing	387.86	391.14	350.55	1,308,764	1,308,764	1,282,589	1,282,589
Total	387.86	391.14	350.55	1,308,764	1,308,764	1,282,589	1,282,589

4.3 Trip Type Information

Land Use	Miles			Trip %			Trip Purpose %		
	H-W or C-W	H-S or C-C	H-O or C-NW	H-S or C-C	H-S or C-W	H-O or C-NW	Primary	Diverted	Pass-by
City Park	16.60	8.40	6.90	48.00	33.00	19.00	66	28	6
Other Asphalt Surfaces	16.60	8.40	6.90	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0	0

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Winter

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

Land Use	Miles				Trip %				Trip Purpose %			
	H-W or C-W	H-S or C-C	H-O or C-NW	H-W or C-NW	H-S or C-C	H-O or C-C	H-W or C-W	H-O or C-NW	Primary	Diverted	Pass-by	
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	16.60	8.40	6.90	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0	0	
Single Family Housing	14.70	5.90	8.70	40.20	19.20	40.60	86	11	3			

4.4 Fleet Mix

Land Use	LDA	LDT1	LDT2	MDV	LHD1	LHD2	MHD	HHD	OBUS	UBUS	MCY	SBUS	MH
City Park	0.537845	0.056225	0.173186	0.138405	0.025906	0.007191	0.011447	0.018769	0.000611	0.000309	0.023821	0.001097	0.005189
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.537845	0.056225	0.173186	0.138405	0.025906	0.007191	0.011447	0.018769	0.000611	0.000309	0.023821	0.001097	0.005189
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	0.537845	0.056225	0.173186	0.138405	0.025906	0.007191	0.011447	0.018769	0.000611	0.000309	0.023821	0.001097	0.005189
Single Family Housing	0.537845	0.056225	0.173186	0.138405	0.025906	0.007191	0.011447	0.018769	0.000611	0.000309	0.023821	0.001097	0.005189

5.0 Energy Detail

Historical Energy Use: N

5.1 Mitigation Measures Energy

Kilowatt Hours of Renewable Electricity Generated

Category	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Natural Gas Mitigated	0.0343	0.2928	0.1246	1.8700e-003	0.0237	0.0237	0.0237	0.0237	0.0237	0.0237	373.8161	373.8161	373.8161	7.1600e-003	6.8500e-003	376.0375
Natural Gas Unmitigated	0.0343	0.2928	0.1246	1.8700e-003	0.0237	0.0237	0.0237	0.0237	0.0237	0.0237	373.8161	373.8161	373.8161	7.1600e-003	6.8500e-003	376.0375

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Winter

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

Mitigated

Land Use	NaturalGas Use kBTU/yr	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e	
lb/day																		
City Park	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Single Family Housing	3.17744	0.0343	0.2928	0.1246	1.8700e-003	0.0237	0.0237	0.0237	0.0237	0.0237	0.0237	373.8161	373.8161	373.8161	7.1600e-003	6.8500e-003	376.0375	
Total		0.0343	0.2928	0.1246	1.8700e-003	0.0237	0.0237	0.0237	0.0237	0.0237	0.0237	373.8161	373.8161	373.8161	7.1600e-003	6.8500e-003	376.0375	

6.0 Area Detail

6.1 Mitigation Measures Area

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Winter

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

Category	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
	lb/day															
Mitigated	3.1020	0.0390	3.3815	1.8000e-004		0.0187	0.0187		0.0187	0.0187	0.0000	6.0915	6.0915	5.8500e-003	0.0000	6.2376
Unmitigated	3.1020	0.0390	3.3815	1.8000e-004		0.0187	0.0187		0.0187	0.0187	0.0000	6.0915	6.0915	5.8500e-003	0.0000	6.2376

6.2 Area by SubCategory

Unmitigated

SubCategory	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
	lb/day															
Architectural Coating	0.2449					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Consumer Products	2.7555					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Hearth	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Landscaping	0.1017	0.0390	3.3815	1.8000e-004		0.0187	0.0187		0.0187	0.0187	6.0915	6.0915	6.0915	5.8500e-003		6.2376
Total	3.1020	0.0390	3.3815	1.8000e-004		0.0187	0.0187		0.0187	0.0187	0.0000	6.0915	6.0915	5.8500e-003	0.0000	6.2376

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Winter

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

6.2 Area by SubCategory

Mitigated

SubCategory	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
lb/day																
Architectural Coating	0.2449					0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Consumer Products	2.7555					0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Hearth	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Landscaping	0.1017	0.0390	3.3815	1.8000e-004		0.0187	0.0187	0.0187	0.0187	0.0187			6.0915	5.8500e-003		6.2376
Total	3.1020	0.0390	3.3815	1.8000e-004		0.0187	0.0187		0.0187	0.0187	0.0000	6.0915	6.0915	5.8500e-003	0.0000	6.2376

7.0 Water Detail

7.1 Mitigation Measures Water

- Install Low Flow Bathroom Faucet
- Install Low Flow Kitchen Faucet
- Install Low Flow Toilet
- Install Low Flow Shower
- Use Water Efficient Irrigation System

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Winter

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

8.0 Waste Detail

8.1 Mitigation Measures Waste

Institute Recycling and Composting Services

9.0 Operational Offroad

Equipment Type	Number	Hours/Day	Days/Year	Horse Power	Load Factor	Fuel Type
----------------	--------	-----------	-----------	-------------	-------------	-----------

10.0 Stationary Equipment

Fire Pumps and Emergency Generators

Equipment Type	Number	Hours/Day	Hours/Year	Horse Power	Load Factor	Fuel Type
----------------	--------	-----------	------------	-------------	-------------	-----------

Boilers

Equipment Type	Number	Heat Input/Day	Heat Input/Year	Boiler Rating	Fuel Type
----------------	--------	----------------	-----------------	---------------	-----------

User Defined Equipment

Equipment Type	Number
----------------	--------

11.0 Vegetation

APPENDIX B

EMFAC2017 Model Printouts

EMFAC2017 (v1.0.2) Emissions Inventory

Region Type: Air Basin

Region: SOUTH COAST

Calendar Year: 2022

Season: Annual

Vehicle Classification: EMFAC2007 Categories

Units: miles/day for VMT, trips/day for Trips, tons/day for Emissions, 1000 gallons/day for Fuel Consumption. Note 'day' in the unit is operation day.

Region	Calendar Y	Vehicle Cat	Model Yea	Speed	Fuel	Population	VMT	Trips	Fuel Consumption
SOUTH CO.	2022	HHDT	Aggregator	Aggregator	GAS	77.19581	7790.40352	1544.534	1.875688287
SOUTH CO.	2022	LDA	Aggregator	Aggregator	GAS	6370883	246404319.3	30101253	7989.700531
SOUTH CO.	2022	LDT1	Aggregator	Aggregator	GAS	716397.4	26563674.69	3305301	1003.18171
SOUTH CO.	2022	LDT2	Aggregator	Aggregator	GAS	2182002	82381240.23	10234301	3339.886942
SOUTH CO.	2022	LHDT1	Aggregator	Aggregator	GAS	171358.6	6138928.512	2552988	583.2281345
SOUTH CO.	2022	LHDT2	Aggregator	Aggregator	GAS	29049.29	1009215.767	432791.1	110.1260053
SOUTH CO.	2022	MCY	Aggregator	Aggregator	GAS	288756.3	1994249.265	577512.7	54.922216124
SOUTH CO.	2022	MDV	Aggregator	Aggregator	GAS	1530646	54105469.86	7077024	2704.447563
SOUTH CO.	2022	MH	Aggregator	Aggregator	GAS	34090.76	324253.0827	3410.439	62.96118679
SOUTH CO.	2022	MHDT	Aggregator	Aggregator	GAS	24783.34	1316472.619	495865	259.391887
SOUTH CO.	2022	OBUS	Aggregator	Aggregator	GAS	5832.051	240794.901	116687.7	47.77312679
SOUTH CO.	2022	SBUS	Aggregator	Aggregator	GAS	2563.073	102707.6059	10252.29	11.26572543
SOUTH CO.	2022	UBUS	Aggregator	Aggregator	GAS	952.146	89255.99818	3808.584	18.40085629

vehicle miles per day (All Categories) 420678372 16,187 1,000 gall per day
 16,187,162 gallons per day

Fleet Avg Miles per gallon 26.0

EMFAC2017 (v1.0.2) Emissions Inventory

Region Type: Air Basin

Region: SOUTH COAST

Calendar Year: 2022

Season: Annual

Vehicle Classification: EMFAC2007 Categories

Units: miles/day for VMT, trips/day for Trips, tons/day for Emissions, 1000 gallons/day for Fuel Consumption. Note 'day' in the unit is operation day.

Region	Calendar Y	Vehicle Cat	Model Yea	Speed	Fuel	Population VMT	Trips	Fuel Consumption	
SOUTH CO.	2022	HHDT	Aggregate	Aggregate	DSL	98507.93	11795119.18	994224.5278	1762.986535
SOUTH CO.	2022	LDA	Aggregate	Aggregate	DSL	57443	2304136.238	272823.0302	47.39159146
SOUTH CO.	2022	LDT1	Aggregate	Aggregate	DSL	378.1209	8809.098622	1319.110799	0.391172549
SOUTH CO.	2022	LDT2	Aggregate	Aggregate	DSL	13854.2	592642.9638	68308.95137	16.65070839
SOUTH CO.	2022	LHDT1	Aggregate	Aggregate	DSL	115788.9	4681447.455	1456478.318	217.1134019
SOUTH CO.	2022	LHDT2	Aggregate	Aggregate	DSL	45909.32	1809192.293	577481.5034	92.8866097
SOUTH CO.	2022	MDV	Aggregate	Aggregate	DSL	32417.61	1305872.927	158948.6889	47.80332863
SOUTH CO.	2022	MH	Aggregate	Aggregate	DSL	12198.84	117488.268	1219.883938	11.12023591
SOUTH CO.	2022	MHDT	Aggregate	Aggregate	DSL	119796	7716034.126	1201941.571	720.1602731
SOUTH CO.	2022	OBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	DSL	4149.674	316404.315	40441.57981	37.45917989
SOUTH CO.	2022	SBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	DSL	6354.465	200786.3158	73329.64442	26.4174734
SOUTH CO.	2022	UBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	DSL	14.14142	1478.085683	56.56567323	0.246796198
Diesel Truck (HHDT, MDV, MHDT) vehicle miles per day						20,817,026	2,531	1,000	gall per day
Diesel Truck Fleet Avg Miles per gallon								2,530,950	gallons per day
Diesel Truck Fleet Avg Miles per gallon								8.2	

EMFAC2017 (v1.0.2) Emissions Inventory

Region Type: Air Basin

Region: SOUTH COAST

Calendar Year: 2024

Season: Annual

Vehicle Classification: EMFAC2007 Categories

Units: miles/day for VMT, trips/day for Trips, tons/day for Emissions, 1000 gallons/day for Fuel Consumption. Note 'day' in the unit is operation day.

Region	Calendar Y	Vehicle Cat	Model Year	Speed	Fuel	Population	VMT	Trips	Fuel Consumption
SOUTH CO,	2024	HHDT	Aggregatec	Aggregatec	GAS	73.4	8361	1468	1.9
SOUTH CO,	2024	LDA	Aggregatec	Aggregatec	GAS	6543321.5	247047080	30912773	7604.7
SOUTH CO,	2024	LDT1	Aggregatec	Aggregatec	GAS	758038.3	27517267	3506784	990.1
SOUTH CO,	2024	LDT2	Aggregatec	Aggregatec	GAS	2256847.0	83361536	10593017	3162.7
SOUTH CO,	2024	LHDT1	Aggregatec	Aggregatec	GAS	169468.4	5984463	2524826	556.7
SOUTH CO,	2024	LHDT2	Aggregatec	Aggregatec	GAS	29259.5	998729	435923	106.8
SOUTH CO,	2024	MCY	Aggregatec	Aggregatec	GAS	306168.3	2050950	612337	56.8
SOUTH CO,	2024	MIDV	Aggregatec	Aggregatec	GAS	1550012.1	53715244	7176828	2521.8
SOUTH CO,	2024	MH	Aggregatec	Aggregatec	GAS	33327.2	318279	3334	60.1
SOUTH CO,	2024	MHDT	Aggregatec	Aggregatec	GAS	25072.2	1303434	501644	250.5
SOUTH CO,	2024	OBUS	Aggregatec	Aggregatec	GAS	5824.2	231713	116530	44.8
SOUTH CO,	2024	SBUS	Aggregatec	Aggregatec	GAS	2862.3	111917	11449	12.1
SOUTH CO,	2024	UBUS	Aggregatec	Aggregatec	GAS	963.4	90309	3854	17.1

vehicle miles per day (All Categories) 422739281 15,386 1,000 gall per day
15,386,053 gallons per day

Fleet Avg Miles per gallon 27.5

APPENDIX C

CalEEMod Model Annual Printouts

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Annual

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

Magnolia Ranch Residential
Riverside-South Coast County, Annual

1.0 Project Characteristics

1.1 Land Usage

Land Uses	Size	Metric	Lot Acreage	Floor Surface Area	Population
Other Asphalt Surfaces	2.11	Acre	2.11	91,911.60	0
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	1.11	Acre	1.11	48,351.60	0
City Park	0.51	Acre	0.51	22,215.60	0
Single Family Housing	41.00	Dwelling Unit	6.27	136,598.00	117

1.2 Other Project Characteristics

Urbanization	Urban	Wind Speed (m/s)	2.4	Precipitation Freq (Days)	28
Climate Zone	10			Operational Year	2024

Utility Company Southern California Edison

CO2 Intensity (lb/MW/hr)	390.98	CH4 Intensity (lb/MW/hr)	0.033	N2O Intensity (lb/MW/hr)	0.004
--------------------------	--------	--------------------------	-------	--------------------------	-------

1.3 User Entered Comments & Non-Default Data

Project Characteristics -

Land Use - Project Site = 10 gross acres

Construction Phase -

Off-road Equipment -

Off-road Equipment -

Off-road Equipment - Grading: 2 Excavators, 1 Grader, 1 Rubber Tired Dozer, 2 Scrapers & 2 Crawler Tractors

Off-road Equipment -

Off-road Equipment - Site Preparation: 3 Rubber Tired Dozers & 4 Crawler Tractors

Trips and VMT - 6 vendor trips added to Demo, Site Prep and Grading phases to account for water truck emissions

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Annual

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

Demolition - Demo - 6,700 sq ft of Building = 308 tons + 8,000 sq ft of pavement = 193 tons. Total 502 tons of demo.

Grading - Grading - Import of 5,000 cu yds of dirt

Vehicle Trips - Weekday trip rate set to match TIA of 388 daily trips. City Park set to zero.

Woodstoves - No woodstoves or fireplaces

Construction Off-road Equipment Mitigation - Water Exposed Area 3x per day and Replace Ground Cover selected to account for SCAQMD Rule 403 Minimum requirements

Mobile Land Use Mitigation - Improve Pedestrian Network onsite and connecting offsite.

Energy Mitigation - 273,468 kWh generated from solar PV panels

Water Mitigation - Install low-flow fixtures and use water-efficient irrigation selected to account for Title 24 Part 11 min requirements

Waste Mitigation - 50% reduction in waste selected to account for AB 341

Table Name	Column Name	Default Value	New Value
tblFireplaces	NumberGas	34.85	0.00
tblFireplaces	NumberNoFireplace	4.10	41.00
tblFireplaces	NumberWood	2.05	0.00
tblGrading	MaterialImported	0.00	5,000.00
tblLandUse	LandUseSquareFeet	73,800.00	136,598.00
tblLandUse	LotAcreage	13.31	6.27
tblTripsAndVMT	VendorTripNumber	0.00	6.00
tblTripsAndVMT	VendorTripNumber	0.00	6.00
tblTripsAndVMT	VendorTripNumber	0.00	6.00
tblVehicleTrips	ST_TR	1.96	0.00
tblVehicleTrips	SU_TR	2.19	0.00
tblVehicleTrips	WD_TR	0.78	0.00
tblVehicleTrips	WD_TR	9.44	9.46
tblWoodstoves	NumberCatalytic	2.05	0.00
tblWoodstoves	NumberNoncatalytic	2.05	0.00

2.0 Emissions Summary

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Annual

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

2.1 Overall Construction

Unmitigated Construction

Year	tons/yr										MT/yr					
	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
2022	0.0271	0.2636	0.2127	4.3000e-004	7.8600e-003	0.0125	0.0204	1.4800e-003	0.0116	0.0131	0.0000	37.7361	37.7361	9.6100e-003	4.1000e-004	38.0990
2023	0.2862	2.5940	2.6552	5.9800e-003	0.3955	0.1127	0.5082	0.1439	0.1054	0.2493	0.0000	530.8382	530.8382	0.1020	0.0135	537.4177
2024	0.5325	0.6955	0.9348	1.8700e-003	0.0478	0.0304	0.0782	0.0129	0.0285	0.0414	0.0000	165.9295	165.9295	0.0295	3.7800e-003	167.7932
Maximum	0.5325	2.5940	2.6552	5.9800e-003	0.3955	0.1127	0.5082	0.1439	0.1054	0.2493	0.0000	530.8382	530.8382	0.1020	0.0135	537.4177

Mitigated Construction

Year	tons/yr										MT/yr					
	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
2022	0.0271	0.2636	0.2127	4.3000e-004	4.3100e-003	0.0125	0.0168	9.5000e-004	0.0116	0.0126	0.0000	37.7361	37.7361	9.6100e-003	4.1000e-004	38.0989
2023	0.2862	2.5940	2.6552	5.9800e-003	0.2227	0.1127	0.3353	0.0728	0.1054	0.1782	0.0000	530.8378	530.8378	0.1020	0.0135	537.4173
2024	0.5325	0.6955	0.9348	1.8700e-003	0.0478	0.0304	0.0782	0.0129	0.0285	0.0414	0.0000	165.9293	165.9293	0.0295	3.7800e-003	167.7931
Maximum	0.5325	2.5940	2.6552	5.9800e-003	0.2227	0.1127	0.3353	0.0728	0.1054	0.1782	0.0000	530.8378	530.8378	0.1020	0.0135	537.4173

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Annual

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

	ROG	NOX	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio-CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Percent Reduction	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	39.10	0.00	29.07	45.25	0.00	23.57	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Quarter	Start Date	End Date	Maximum Unmitigated ROG + NOX (tons/quarter)	Maximum Mitigated ROG + NOX (tons/quarter)
1	12-1-2022	2-28-2023	1.2357	1.2357
2	3-1-2023	5-31-2023	0.5754	0.5754
3	6-1-2023	8-31-2023	0.5749	0.5749
4	9-1-2023	11-30-2023	0.5696	0.5696
5	12-1-2023	2-29-2024	0.5469	0.5469
6	3-1-2024	5-31-2024	0.6332	0.6332
7	6-1-2024	8-31-2024	0.2309	0.2309
		Highest	1.2357	1.2357

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Annual

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

2.2 Overall Operational

Unmitigated Operational

Category	tons/yr										MT/yr					
	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Area	0.5603	4.8700e-003	0.4227	2.0000e-005		2.3400e-003	2.3400e-003		2.3400e-003	2.3400e-003	0.0000	0.6908	0.6908	6.6000e-004	0.0000	0.7073
Energy	6.2500e-003	0.0534	0.0227	3.4000e-004		4.3200e-003	4.3200e-003		4.3200e-003	4.3200e-003	0.0000	119.8018	119.8018	6.0700e-003	1.7300e-003	120.4684
Mobile	0.1872	0.3027	1.9492	4.6600e-003	0.4950	3.7700e-003	0.4988	0.1322	3.5300e-003	0.1358	0.0000	437.5767	437.5767	0.0222	0.0214	444.5158
Waste						0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	9.7456	0.0000	9.7456	0.5760	0.0000	24.1443
Water						0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.8475	10.6841	11.5316	0.0880	2.1600e-003	14.3753
Total	0.7537	0.3610	2.3946	5.0200e-003	0.4950	0.0104	0.5054	0.1322	0.0102	0.1424	10.5931	568.7534	579.3464	0.6929	0.0253	604.2111

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Annual

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

2.2 Overall Operational

Mitigated Operational

Category	tons/yr											MT/yr				
	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Area	0.5603	4.8700e-003	0.4227	2.0000e-005	2.3400e-003	2.3400e-003	2.3400e-003	2.3400e-003	2.3400e-003	2.3400e-003	0.0000	0.6908	0.6908	6.6000e-004	0.0000	0.7073
Energy	6.2500e-003	0.0534	0.0227	3.4000e-004	4.3200e-003	4.3200e-003	4.3200e-003	4.3200e-003	4.3200e-003	4.3200e-003	0.0000	71.3035	71.3035	1.9800e-003	1.2300e-003	71.7198
Mobile	0.1854	0.2978	1.9176	4.5700e-003	0.4851	3.7000e-003	0.4888	0.1296	3.4700e-003	0.1331	0.0000	429.0545	429.0545	0.0219	0.0211	435.8823
Waste						0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	4.8728	0.0000	4.8728	0.2880	0.0000	12.0722
Water						0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.6780	9.1749	9.8529	0.0704	1.7400e-003	12.1311
Total	0.7519	0.3561	2.3630	4.9300e-003	0.4851	0.0104	0.4954	0.1296	0.0101	0.1397	5.5508	510.2237	515.7745	0.3829	0.0240	532.5128

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Percent Reduction	0.24	1.34	1.32	1.79	2.00	0.67	1.97	2.00	0.59	1.90	47.60	10.29	10.97	44.73	5.02	11.87

3.0 Construction Detail

Construction Phase

Phase Number	Phase Name	Phase Type	Start Date	End Date	Num Days Week	Num Days	Phase Description
1	Demolition	Demolition	12/1/2022	12/28/2022	5	20	
2	Site Preparation	Site Preparation	1/2/2023	1/13/2023	5	10	
3	Grading	Grading	1/14/2023	2/24/2023	5	30	

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Annual

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

4	Building Construction	2/25/2023	4/19/2024	5'	300
5	Paving	4/20/2024	5/17/2024	5'	20
6	Architectural Coating	5/18/2024	6/14/2024	5'	20

Acres of Grading (Site Preparation Phase): 35

Acres of Grading (Grading Phase): 120

Acres of Paving: 3.22

Residential Indoor: 276,611; Residential Outdoor: 92,204; Non-Residential Indoor: 0; Non-Residential Outdoor: 0; Striped Parking Area: 8,416 (Architectural Coating – sqft)

OffRoad Equipment

Phase Name	Offroad Equipment Type	Amount	Usage Hours	Horse Power	Load Factor
Demolition	Concrete/Industrial Saws	1	8.00	81	0.73
Demolition	Excavators	3	8.00	158	0.38
Demolition	Rubber Tired Dozers	2	8.00	247	0.40
Site Preparation	Crawler Tractors	4	8.00	212	0.43
Site Preparation	Rubber Tired Dozers	3	8.00	247	0.40
Grading	Crawler Tractors	2	8.00	212	0.43
Grading	Excavators	2	8.00	158	0.38
Grading	Graders	1	8.00	187	0.41
Grading	Rubber Tired Dozers	1	8.00	247	0.40
Grading	Scrapers	2	8.00	367	0.48
Building Construction	Cranes	1	7.00	231	0.29
Building Construction	Forklifts	3	8.00	89	0.20
Building Construction	Generator Sets	1	8.00	84	0.74
Building Construction	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	3	7.00	97	0.37
Building Construction	Welders	1	8.00	46	0.45
Paving	Pavers	2	8.00	130	0.42
Paving	Paving Equipment	2	8.00	132	0.36

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Annual

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

Paving	Rollers	2	8.00	80	0.38
Architectural Coating	Air Compressors	1	6.00	78	0.48

Trips and VMT

Phase Name	Offroad Equipment Count	Worker Trip Number	Vendor Trip Number	Hauling Trip Number	Worker Trip Length	Vendor Trip Length	Hauling Trip Length	Worker Vehicle Class	Vendor Vehicle Class	Hauling Vehicle Class
Demolition	6	15.00	6.00	50.00	14.70	6.90	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Site Preparation	7	18.00	6.00	0.00	14.70	6.90	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Grading	8	20.00	6.00	625.00	14.70	6.90	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Building Construction	9	83.00	31.00	0.00	14.70	6.90	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Paving	6	15.00	0.00	0.00	14.70	6.90	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Architectural Coating	1	17.00	0.00	0.00	14.70	6.90	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT

3.1 Mitigation Measures Construction

- Replace Ground Cover
- Water Exposed Area

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Annual

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

3.2 Demolition - 2022

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

Category	tons/yr										MT/yr					
	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Fugitive Dust					5.4000e-003	0.0000	5.4000e-003	8.2000e-004	0.0000	8.2000e-004	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Off-Road	0.0264	0.2572	0.2059	3.9000e-004		0.0124	0.0124	0.0116	0.0116	0.0000	33.9902	33.9902	9.5500e-003	0.0000	0.0000	34.2289
Total	0.0264	0.2572	0.2059	3.9000e-004	5.4000e-003	0.0124	0.0178	0.0116	0.0116	0.0124	0.0000	33.9902	9.5500e-003	0.0000	0.0000	34.2289

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

Category	tons/yr										MT/yr					
	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Hauling	8.0000e-005	3.3700e-003	7.2000e-004	1.0000e-005	4.3000e-004	4.0000e-005	4.7000e-004	1.2000e-004	4.0000e-005	1.5000e-004	0.0000	1.3915	1.3915	2.5000e-005	2.2000e-004	1.4573
Vendor	1.0000e-004	2.6600e-003	9.0000e-004	1.0000e-005	3.8000e-004	4.0000e-005	4.2000e-004	1.1000e-004	4.0000e-005	1.4000e-004	0.0000	1.0507	1.0507	1.0000e-005	1.6000e-004	1.0974
Worker	5.2000e-004	4.1000e-004	5.1100e-003	1.0000e-005	1.6500e-003	1.0000e-005	1.6600e-003	4.4000e-004	1.0000e-005	4.5000e-004	0.0000	1.3037	1.3037	3.0000e-005	4.0000e-005	1.3153
Total	7.0000e-004	6.4400e-003	6.7300e-003	3.0000e-005	2.4600e-003	9.0000e-005	2.5500e-003	6.7000e-004	9.0000e-005	7.4000e-004	0.0000	3.7459	3.7459	6.0000e-005	4.2000e-004	3.8700

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Annual

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

3.2 Demolition - 2022

Mitigated Construction On-Site

Category	tons/yr										MT/yr					
	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Fugitive Dust					1.8500e-003	0.0000	1.8500e-003	2.8000e-004	0.0000	2.8000e-004	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Off-Road	0.0264	0.2572	0.2059	3.9000e-004		0.0124	0.0124	0.0116	0.0116	0.0116	0.0000	33.9902	33.9902	9.5500e-003	0.0000	34.2289
Total	0.0264	0.2572	0.2059	3.9000e-004	1.8500e-003	0.0124	0.0143	2.8000e-004	0.0116	0.0118	0.0000	33.9902	33.9902	9.5500e-003	0.0000	34.2289

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

Category	tons/yr										MT/yr					
	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Hauling	8.0000e-005	3.3700e-003	7.2000e-004	1.0000e-005	4.3000e-004	4.0000e-005	4.7000e-004	1.2000e-004	4.0000e-005	1.5000e-004	0.0000	1.3915	1.3915	2.5000e-005	2.2000e-004	1.4573
Vendor	1.0000e-004	2.6600e-003	9.0000e-004	1.0000e-005	3.8000e-004	4.0000e-005	4.2000e-004	1.1000e-004	4.0000e-005	1.4000e-004	0.0000	1.0507	1.0507	1.0000e-005	1.6000e-004	1.0974
Worker	5.2000e-004	4.1000e-004	5.1100e-003	1.0000e-005	1.6500e-003	1.0000e-005	1.6600e-003	4.4000e-004	1.0000e-005	4.5000e-004	0.0000	1.3037	1.3037	3.0000e-005	4.0000e-005	1.3153
Total	7.0000e-004	6.4400e-003	6.7300e-003	3.0000e-005	2.4600e-003	9.0000e-005	2.5500e-003	6.7000e-004	9.0000e-005	7.4000e-004	0.0000	3.7459	3.7459	6.0000e-005	4.2000e-004	3.8700

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Annual

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

3.3 Site Preparation - 2023

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

Category	tons/yr										MT/yr					
	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Fugitive Dust					0.1089	0.0000	0.1089	0.0517	0.0000	0.0517	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Off-Road	0.0192	0.2094	0.0915	2.8000e-004	8.7900e-003	8.7900e-003	8.7900e-003	8.0900e-003	8.0900e-003	8.0900e-003	0.0000	25.0115	25.0115	8.0900e-003	0.0000	25.2137
Total	0.0192	0.2094	0.0915	2.8000e-004	0.1089	8.7900e-003	0.1177	0.0517	8.0900e-003	0.0598	0.0000	25.0115	25.0115	8.0900e-003	0.0000	25.2137

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

Category	tons/yr										MT/yr					
	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	3.0000e-005	1.0300e-003	4.1000e-004	1.0000e-005	1.9000e-004	1.0000e-005	2.0000e-004	5.0000e-005	1.0000e-005	6.0000e-005	0.0000	0.5048	0.5048	1.0000e-005	7.0000e-005	0.5271
Worker	2.9000e-004	2.2000e-004	2.8300e-003	1.0000e-005	9.9000e-004	0.0000	9.9000e-004	2.6000e-004	0.0000	2.7000e-004	0.0000	0.7617	0.7617	2.0000e-005	2.0000e-005	0.7681
Total	3.2000e-004	1.2500e-003	3.2400e-003	2.0000e-005	1.8000e-003	1.0000e-005	1.1900e-003	3.1000e-004	1.0000e-005	3.3000e-004	0.0000	1.2665	1.2665	3.0000e-005	9.0000e-005	1.2952

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Annual

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

3.3 Site Preparation - 2023

Mitigated Construction On-Site

Category	tons/yr										MT/yr					
	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Fugitive Dust					0.0374	0.0000	0.0374	0.0177	0.0000	0.0177	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Off-Road	0.0192	0.2094	0.0915	2.8000e-004	8.7900e-003	8.7900e-003	8.7900e-003	8.0900e-003	8.0900e-003	8.0900e-003	0.0000	25.0115	25.0115	8.0900e-003	0.0000	25.2137
Total	0.0192	0.2094	0.0915	2.8000e-004	0.0374	8.7900e-003	0.0462	0.0177	8.0900e-003	0.0258	0.0000	25.0115	25.0115	8.0900e-003	0.0000	25.2137

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

Category	tons/yr										MT/yr					
	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	3.0000e-005	1.0300e-003	4.1000e-004	1.0000e-005	1.9000e-004	1.0000e-005	2.0000e-004	5.0000e-005	1.0000e-005	6.0000e-005	0.0000	0.5048	0.5048	1.0000e-005	7.0000e-005	0.5271
Worker	2.9000e-004	2.2000e-004	2.8300e-003	1.0000e-005	9.9000e-004	0.0000	9.9000e-004	2.6000e-004	0.0000	2.7000e-004	0.0000	0.7617	0.7617	2.0000e-005	2.0000e-005	0.7681
Total	3.2000e-004	1.2500e-003	3.2400e-003	2.0000e-005	1.1800e-003	1.0000e-005	1.1900e-003	3.1000e-004	1.0000e-005	3.3000e-004	0.0000	1.2665	1.2665	3.0000e-005	9.0000e-005	1.2952

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Annual

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

3.4 Grading - 2023

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

Category	tons/yr										MT/yr					
	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Fugitive Dust					0.1543	0.0000	0.1543	0.0566	0.0000	0.0566	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Off-Road	0.0586	0.6254	0.4211	1.0700e-003		0.0251	0.0251	0.0231	0.0231	0.0231	0.0000	94.2320	94.2320	0.0305	0.0000	94.9939
Total	0.0586	0.6254	0.4211	1.0700e-003	0.1543	0.0251	0.1793	0.0566	0.0231	0.0796	0.0000	94.2320	94.2320	0.0305	0.0000	94.9939

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

Category	tons/yr										MT/yr					
	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Hauling	6.6000e-004	0.0329	8.6600e-003	1.7000e-004	5.3900e-003	3.8000e-004	5.7700e-003	1.4800e-003	3.6000e-004	1.8400e-003	0.0000	16.6463	16.6463	2.3000e-004	2.6200e-003	17.4339
Vendor	1.0000e-004	3.0900e-003	1.2300e-003	2.0000e-005	5.7000e-004	3.0000e-005	5.9000e-004	1.6000e-004	2.0000e-005	1.9000e-004	0.0000	1.5143	1.5143	2.0000e-005	2.2000e-004	1.5814
Worker	9.7000e-004	7.2000e-004	9.4200e-003	3.0000e-005	3.3000e-003	2.0000e-005	3.3100e-003	8.8000e-004	1.0000e-005	8.9000e-004	0.0000	2.5390	2.5390	6.0000e-005	7.0000e-005	2.5604
Total	1.7300e-003	0.0367	0.0193	2.2000e-004	9.2600e-003	4.3000e-004	9.6700e-003	2.5200e-003	3.9000e-004	2.9200e-003	0.0000	20.6995	20.6995	3.1000e-004	2.9100e-003	21.5756

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Annual

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

3.4 Grading - 2023

Mitigated Construction On-Site

Category	tons/yr										MT/yr					
	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Fugitive Dust					0.0530	0.0000	0.0530	0.0194	0.0000	0.0194	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Off-Road	0.0586	0.6254	0.4211	1.0700e-003		0.0251	0.0251	0.0231	0.0231	0.0231	0.0000	94.2319	94.2319	0.0305	0.0000	94.9938
Total	0.0586	0.6254	0.4211	1.0700e-003	0.0530	0.0251	0.0780	0.0194	0.0231	0.0425	0.0000	94.2319	94.2319	0.0305	0.0000	94.9938

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

Category	tons/yr										MT/yr					
	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Hauling	6.6000e-004	0.0329	8.6600e-003	1.7000e-004	5.3900e-003	3.8000e-004	5.7700e-003	1.4800e-003	3.6000e-004	1.8400e-003	0.0000	16.6463	16.6463	2.3000e-004	2.6200e-003	17.4339
Vendor	1.0000e-004	3.0900e-003	1.2300e-003	2.0000e-005	5.7000e-004	3.0000e-005	5.9000e-004	1.6000e-004	2.0000e-005	1.9000e-004	0.0000	1.5143	1.5143	2.0000e-005	2.2000e-004	1.5814
Worker	9.7000e-004	7.2000e-004	9.4200e-003	3.0000e-005	3.3000e-003	2.0000e-005	3.3100e-003	8.8000e-004	1.0000e-005	8.9000e-004	0.0000	2.5390	2.5390	6.0000e-005	7.0000e-005	2.5604
Total	1.7300e-003	0.0367	0.0193	2.2000e-004	9.2600e-003	4.3000e-004	9.6700e-003	2.5200e-003	3.9000e-004	2.9200e-003	0.0000	20.6995	20.6995	3.1000e-004	2.9100e-003	21.5756

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Annual

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

3.5 Building Construction - 2023

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

Category	tons/yr										MT/yr					
	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Off-Road	0.1730	1.5823	1.7868	2.9600e-003		0.0770	0.0770	0.0724	0.0724	0.0724	0.0000	254.9852	254.9852	0.0607	0.0000	256.5017
Total	0.1730	1.5823	1.7868	2.9600e-003		0.0770	0.0770	0.0724	0.0724	0.0724	0.0000	254.9852	254.9852	0.0607	0.0000	256.5017

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

Category	tons/yr										MT/yr					
	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	3.7000e-003	0.1169	0.0466	6.0000e-004	0.0215	9.7000e-004	0.0225	6.2200e-003	9.3000e-004	7.1500e-003	0.0000	57.3734	57.3734	5.8000e-004	8.4800e-003	59.9160
Worker	0.0296	0.0220	0.2866	8.3000e-004	0.1004	4.8000e-004	0.1008	0.0267	4.4000e-004	0.0271	0.0000	77.2701	77.2701	1.9000e-003	2.0300e-003	77.9216
Total	0.0333	0.1389	0.3332	1.4300e-003	0.1219	1.4500e-003	0.1233	0.0329	1.3700e-003	0.0342	0.0000	134.6435	134.6435	2.4800e-003	0.0105	137.8376

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Annual

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

3.5 Building Construction - 2023

Mitigated Construction On-Site

Category	tons/yr										MT/yr					
	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Off-Road	0.1730	1.5823	1.7868	2.9600e-003		0.0770	0.0770	0.0724	0.0724	0.0724	0.0000	254.9849	254.9849	0.0607	0.0000	256.5013
Total	0.1730	1.5823	1.7868	2.9600e-003		0.0770	0.0770	0.0724	0.0724	0.0724	0.0000	254.9849	254.9849	0.0607	0.0000	256.5013

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

Category	tons/yr										MT/yr					
	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	3.7000e-003	0.1169	0.0466	6.0000e-004	0.0215	9.7000e-004	0.0225	6.2200e-003	9.3000e-004	7.1500e-003	0.0000	57.3734	57.3734	5.8000e-004	8.4800e-003	59.9160
Worker	0.0296	0.0220	0.2866	8.3000e-004	0.1004	4.8000e-004	0.1008	0.0267	4.4000e-004	0.0271	0.0000	77.2701	77.2701	1.9000e-003	2.0300e-003	77.9216
Total	0.0333	0.1389	0.3332	1.4300e-003	0.1219	1.4500e-003	0.1233	0.0329	1.3700e-003	0.0342	0.0000	134.6435	134.6435	2.4800e-003	0.0105	137.8376

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Annual

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

3.5 Building Construction - 2024

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

Category	tons/yr										MT/yr					
	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Off-Road	0.0589	0.5378	0.6467	1.0800e-003	0.0245	0.0245	0.0245	0.0231	0.0231	0.0231	0.0000	92.7396	92.7396	0.0219	0.0000	93.2879
Total	0.0589	0.5378	0.6467	1.0800e-003	0.0245	0.0245	0.0245	0.0231	0.0231	0.0231	0.0000	92.7396	92.7396	0.0219	0.0000	93.2879

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

Category	tons/yr										MT/yr					
	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	1.3200e-003	0.0425	0.0168	2.1000e-004	7.8300e-003	3.5000e-004	8.1800e-003	3.4000e-004	2.6000e-003	2.6000e-003	0.0000	20.5417	20.5417	2.2000e-004	3.0300e-003	21.4509
Worker	0.0101	7.1100e-003	0.0976	2.9000e-004	0.0365	1.7000e-004	0.0367	1.5000e-003	9.8400e-003	9.8400e-003	0.0000	27.4250	27.4250	6.3000e-004	6.8000e-004	27.6446
Total	0.0114	0.0496	0.1144	5.0000e-004	0.0443	5.2000e-004	0.0448	4.9000e-004	0.0124	0.0124	0.0000	47.9667	47.9667	8.5000e-004	3.7100e-003	49.0955

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Annual

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

3.5 Building Construction - 2024

Mitigated Construction On-Site

Category	tons/yr										MT/yr					
	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Off-Road	0.0589	0.5378	0.6467	1.0800e-003		0.0245	0.0245	0.0231	0.0231	0.0231	0.0000	92.7395	92.7395	0.0219	0.0000	93.2878
Total	0.0589	0.5378	0.6467	1.0800e-003		0.0245	0.0245	0.0231	0.0231	0.0231	0.0000	92.7395	92.7395	0.0219	0.0000	93.2878

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

Category	tons/yr										MT/yr					
	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	1.3200e-003	0.0425	0.0168	2.1000e-004	7.8300e-003	3.5000e-004	8.1800e-003	2.2600e-003	3.4000e-004	2.6000e-003	0.0000	20.5417	20.5417	2.2000e-004	3.0300e-003	21.4509
Worker	0.0101	7.1100e-003	0.0976	2.9000e-004	0.0365	1.7000e-004	0.0367	9.6900e-003	1.5000e-004	9.8400e-003	0.0000	27.4250	27.4250	6.3000e-004	6.8000e-004	27.6446
Total	0.0114	0.0496	0.1144	5.0000e-004	0.0443	5.2000e-004	0.0448	0.0120	4.9000e-004	0.0124	0.0000	47.9667	47.9667	8.5000e-004	3.7100e-003	49.0955

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Annual

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

3.6 Paving - 2024

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

Category	tons/yr										MT/yr				CO2e	
	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4		N2O
Off-Road	9.8800e-003	0.0953	0.1463	2.3000e-004	4.6900e-003	4.6900e-003	4.6900e-003	4.3100e-003	4.3100e-003	4.3100e-003	0.0000	20.0265	20.0265	6.4800e-003	0.0000	20.1885
Paving	2.7600e-003				0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total	0.0126	0.0953	0.1463	2.3000e-004	4.6900e-003	4.6900e-003	4.6900e-003	4.3100e-003	4.3100e-003	4.3100e-003	0.0000	20.0265	20.0265	6.4800e-003	0.0000	20.1885

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

Category	tons/yr										MT/yr				CO2e	
	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4		N2O
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	4.5000e-004	3.2000e-004	4.4100e-003	1.0000e-005	1.6500e-003	1.0000e-005	1.6600e-003	1.0000e-004	1.0000e-005	4.4000e-004	0.0000	1.2391	1.2391	3.0000e-005	3.0000e-005	1.2490
Total	4.5000e-004	3.2000e-004	4.4100e-003	1.0000e-005	1.6500e-003	1.0000e-005	1.6600e-003	4.4000e-004	1.0000e-005	4.4000e-004	0.0000	1.2391	1.2391	3.0000e-005	3.0000e-005	1.2490

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Annual

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

3.6 Paving - 2024

Mitigated Construction On-Site

Category	tons/yr										MT/yr					
	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Off-Road	9.8800e-003	0.0953	0.1463	2.3000e-004	4.6900e-003	4.6900e-003	4.6900e-003	4.3100e-003	4.3100e-003	4.3100e-003	0.0000	20.0265	20.0265	6.4800e-003	0.0000	20.1884
Paving	2.7600e-003				0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total	0.0126	0.0953	0.1463	2.3000e-004	4.6900e-003	4.6900e-003	4.6900e-003	4.3100e-003	4.3100e-003	4.3100e-003	0.0000	20.0265	20.0265	6.4800e-003	0.0000	20.1884

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

Category	tons/yr										MT/yr					
	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	4.5000e-004	3.2000e-004	4.4100e-003	1.0000e-005	1.6500e-003	1.0000e-005	1.6600e-003	1.0000e-004	1.0000e-005	4.4000e-004	0.0000	1.2391	1.2391	3.0000e-005	3.0000e-005	1.2490
Total	4.5000e-004	3.2000e-004	4.4100e-003	1.0000e-005	1.6500e-003	1.0000e-005	1.6600e-003	4.4000e-004	1.0000e-005	4.4000e-004	0.0000	1.2391	1.2391	3.0000e-005	3.0000e-005	1.2490

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Annual

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

3.7 Architectural Coating - 2024

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

Category	tons/yr										MT/yr				CO2e	
	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4		N2O
Archit. Coating	0.4469					0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Off-Road	1.8100e-003	0.0122	0.0181	3.0000e-005	6.1000e-004	6.1000e-004	6.1000e-004	6.1000e-004	6.1000e-004	6.1000e-004	0.0000	2.5533	2.5533	1.4000e-004	0.0000	2.5569
Total	0.4487	0.0122	0.0181	3.0000e-005	6.1000e-004	6.1000e-004	6.1000e-004	6.1000e-004	6.1000e-004	6.1000e-004	0.0000	2.5533	2.5533	1.4000e-004	0.0000	2.5569

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

Category	tons/yr										MT/yr				CO2e	
	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4		N2O
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	5.2000e-004	3.6000e-004	5.0000e-003	2.0000e-005	1.8700e-003	1.0000e-005	1.8800e-003	5.0000e-004	1.0000e-005	5.0000e-004	0.0000	1.4043	1.4043	3.0000e-005	4.0000e-005	1.4155
Total	5.2000e-004	3.6000e-004	5.0000e-003	2.0000e-005	1.8700e-003	1.0000e-005	1.8800e-003	5.0000e-004	1.0000e-005	5.0000e-004	0.0000	1.4043	1.4043	3.0000e-005	4.0000e-005	1.4155

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Annual

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

3.7 Architectural Coating - 2024

Mitigated Construction On-Site

Category	tons/yr										MT/yr					
	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Archit. Coating	0.4469					0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Off-Road	1.8100e-003	0.0122	0.0181	3.0000e-005	6.1000e-004	6.1000e-004	6.1000e-004	6.1000e-004	6.1000e-004	6.1000e-004	0.0000	2.5533	2.5533	1.4000e-004	0.0000	2.5568
Total	0.4487	0.0122	0.0181	3.0000e-005	6.1000e-004	6.1000e-004	6.1000e-004	6.1000e-004	6.1000e-004	6.1000e-004	0.0000	2.5533	2.5533	1.4000e-004	0.0000	2.5568

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

Category	tons/yr										MT/yr					
	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	5.2000e-004	3.6000e-004	5.0000e-003	2.0000e-005	1.8700e-003	1.0000e-005	1.8800e-003	1.0000e-005	1.0000e-005	5.0000e-004	0.0000	1.4043	1.4043	3.0000e-005	4.0000e-005	1.4155
Total	5.2000e-004	3.6000e-004	5.0000e-003	2.0000e-005	1.8700e-003	1.0000e-005	1.8800e-003	1.0000e-005	1.0000e-005	5.0000e-004	0.0000	1.4043	1.4043	3.0000e-005	4.0000e-005	1.4155

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Annual

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

4.0 Operational Detail - Mobile

4.1 Mitigation Measures Mobile

Improve Pedestrian Network

Category	tons/yr											MT/yr				
	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Mitigated	0.1854	0.2978	1.9176	4.5700e-003	0.4851	3.7000e-003	0.4888	0.1296	3.4700e-003	0.1331	0.0000	429.0545	429.0545	0.0219	0.0211	435.8823
Unmitigated	0.1872	0.3027	1.9492	4.6600e-003	0.4950	3.7700e-003	0.4988	0.1322	3.5300e-003	0.1358	0.0000	437.5767	437.5767	0.0222	0.0214	444.5158

4.2 Trip Summary Information

Land Use	Average Daily Trip Rate			Unmitigated		Mitigated	
	Weekday	Saturday	Sunday	Annual VMT	Annual VMT	Annual VMT	Annual VMT
City Park	0.00	0.00	0.00				
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00				
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00				
Single Family Housing	387.86	391.14	350.55	1,308,764	1,308,764	1,282,589	1,282,589
Total	387.86	391.14	350.55	1,308,764	1,308,764	1,282,589	1,282,589

4.3 Trip Type Information

Land Use	Miles			Trip %			Trip Purpose %		
	H-W or C-W	H-S or C-C	H-O or C-NW	H-W or C-W	H-S or C-C	H-O or C-NW	Primary	Diverted	Pass-by
City Park	16.60	8.40	6.90	33.00	48.00	19.00	66	28	6
Other Asphalt Surfaces	16.60	8.40	6.90	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0	0

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Annual

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

Land Use	Miles				Trip %				Trip Purpose %			
	H-W or C-W	H-S or C-C	H-O or C-NW	H-W or C-NW	H-W or C-W	H-S or C-C	H-O or C-C	H-O or C-NW	Primary	Diverted	Pass-by	
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	16.60	8.40	6.90	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0	0	
Single Family Housing	14.70	5.90	8.70	40.20	19.20	40.60	86	11	3			

4.4 Fleet Mix

Land Use	LDA	LDT1	LDT2	MDV	LHD1	LHD2	MHD	HHD	OBUS	UBUS	MCY	SBUS	MH
City Park	0.537845	0.056225	0.173186	0.138405	0.025906	0.007191	0.011447	0.018769	0.000611	0.000309	0.023821	0.001097	0.005189
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.537845	0.056225	0.173186	0.138405	0.025906	0.007191	0.011447	0.018769	0.000611	0.000309	0.023821	0.001097	0.005189
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	0.537845	0.056225	0.173186	0.138405	0.025906	0.007191	0.011447	0.018769	0.000611	0.000309	0.023821	0.001097	0.005189
Single Family Housing	0.537845	0.056225	0.173186	0.138405	0.025906	0.007191	0.011447	0.018769	0.000611	0.000309	0.023821	0.001097	0.005189

5.0 Energy Detail

Historical Energy Use: N

5.1 Mitigation Measures Energy

Kilowatt Hours of Renewable Electricity Generated

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Annual

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

Category	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e	
tons/yr											MT/yr						
Electricity Mitigated						0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	9.4140	9.4140	7.9000e-004	1.0000e-004		9.4626
Electricity Unmitigated						0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	57.9124	57.9124	4.8900e-003	5.9000e-004		58.2111
NaturalGas Mitigated	6.2500e-003	0.0534	0.0227	3.4000e-004	4.3200e-003	4.3200e-003	4.3200e-003	4.3200e-003	4.3200e-003	4.3200e-003	0.0000	61.8895	61.8895	1.1900e-003	1.1300e-003		62.2572
NaturalGas Unmitigated	6.2500e-003	0.0534	0.0227	3.4000e-004	4.3200e-003	4.3200e-003	4.3200e-003	4.3200e-003	4.3200e-003	4.3200e-003	0.0000	61.8895	61.8895	1.1900e-003	1.1300e-003		62.2572

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Annual

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

Unmitigated

Land Use	NaturalGas Use kBTU/yr	tons/yr										MT/yr						
		ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e	
City Park	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Single Family Housing	1.15976e+006	6.2500e-003	0.0534	0.0227	3.4000e-004	4.3200e-003	4.3200e-003	4.3200e-003	4.3200e-003	4.3200e-003	4.3200e-003	0.0000	61.8895	61.8895	1.1900e-003	1.1300e-003	62.2572	
Total		6.2500e-003	0.0534	0.0227	3.4000e-004	4.3200e-003	4.3200e-003	4.3200e-003	4.3200e-003	4.3200e-003	4.3200e-003	0.0000	61.8895	61.8895	1.1900e-003	1.1300e-003	62.2572	

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Annual

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

Mitigated

Land Use	NaturalGas Use kBTU/yr	tons/yr										MT/yr						
		ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e	
City Park	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Single Family Housing	1.15976e+006	6.2500e-003	0.0534	0.0227	3.4000e-004	4.3200e-003	4.3200e-003	4.3200e-003	4.3200e-003	4.3200e-003	0.0000	61.8895	61.8895	1.1900e-003	1.1900e-003	1.1300e-003	62.2572	
Total		6.2500e-003	0.0534	0.0227	3.4000e-004	4.3200e-003	4.3200e-003	4.3200e-003	4.3200e-003	4.3200e-003	0.0000	61.8895	61.8895	1.1900e-003	1.1900e-003	1.1300e-003	62.2572	

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Annual

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

5.3 Energy by Land Use - Electricity

Unmitigated

Land Use	Electricity Use kWh/yr	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
		MT/yr			
City Park	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Single Family Housing	326551	57.9124	4.8900e-003	5.9000e-004	58.2111
Total		57.9124	4.8900e-003	5.9000e-004	58.2111

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Annual

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

5.3 Energy by Land Use - Electricity

Mitigated

Land Use	Electricity Use kWh/yr	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
		MT/yr			
City Park	-68367	-12.1246	-0.0010	-0.0001	-12.1871
Other Asphalt Surfaces	-68367	-12.1246	-0.0010	-0.0001	-12.1871
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	-68367	-12.1246	-0.0010	-0.0001	-12.1871
Single Family Housing	258184	45.7878	3.8600e-003	4.7000e-004	46.0240
Total		9.4141	8.0000e-004	1.1000e-004	9.4626

6.0 Area Detail

6.1 Mitigation Measures Area

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Annual

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

Category	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
	tons/yr															
Mitigated	0.5603	4.8700e-003	0.4227	2.0000e-005	2.3400e-003	2.3400e-003	2.3400e-003	2.3400e-003	2.3400e-003	2.3400e-003	0.0000	0.6908	0.6908	6.6000e-004	0.0000	0.7073
Unmitigated	0.5603	4.8700e-003	0.4227	2.0000e-005	2.3400e-003	2.3400e-003	2.3400e-003	2.3400e-003	2.3400e-003	2.3400e-003	0.0000	0.6908	0.6908	6.6000e-004	0.0000	0.7073
	MT/yr															

6.2 Area by SubCategory

Unmitigated

SubCategory	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
	tons/yr															
Architectural Coating	0.0447					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Consumer Products	0.5029					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Hearth	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Landscaping	0.0127	4.8700e-003	0.4227	2.0000e-005	2.3400e-003	2.3400e-003	2.3400e-003		2.3400e-003	2.3400e-003	0.0000	0.6908	0.6908	6.6000e-004	0.0000	0.7073
Total	0.5603	4.8700e-003	0.4227	2.0000e-005		2.3400e-003	2.3400e-003		2.3400e-003	2.3400e-003	0.0000	0.6908	0.6908	6.6000e-004	0.0000	0.7073
	MT/yr															

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Annual

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

6.2 Area by SubCategory

Mitigated

SubCategory	tons/yr										MT/yr					
	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Architectural Coating	0.0447					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Consumer Products	0.5029					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Hearth	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000			0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Landscaping	0.0127	4.8700e-003	0.4227	2.0000e-005		2.3400e-003	2.3400e-003	2.3400e-003	2.3400e-003	2.3400e-003	0.0000	0.6908	0.6908	6.6000e-004	0.0000	0.7073
Total	0.5603	4.8700e-003	0.4227	2.0000e-005		2.3400e-003	2.3400e-003	2.3400e-003	2.3400e-003	2.3400e-003	0.0000	0.6908	0.6908	6.6000e-004	0.0000	0.7073

7.0 Water Detail

7.1 Mitigation Measures Water

- Install Low Flow Bathroom Faucet
- Install Low Flow Kitchen Faucet
- Install Low Flow Toilet
- Install Low Flow Shower
- Use Water Efficient Irrigation System

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Annual

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	MT/yr			
Mitigated	9.8529	0.0704	1.7400e-003	12.1311
Unmitigated	11.5316	0.0880	2.1600e-003	14.3753

7.2 Water by Land Use

Unmitigated

Land Use	Indoor/Outdoor Use	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
	Mgal	MT/yr			
City Park	0 / 0.607655	1.1973	1.0000e-004	1.0000e-005	1.2035
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0 / 0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	0 / 0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Single Family Housing	2.67132 / 1.68409	10.3343	0.0879	2.1500e-003	13.1719
Total		11.5316	0.0880	2.1600e-003	14.3753

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Annual

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

7.2 Water by Land Use

Mitigated

Land Use	Indoor/Outdoor Use	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
	Mgal	MT/yr			
City Park	0 / 0.570589	1.1242	9.0000e-005	1.0000e-005	1.1300
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0 / 0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	0 / 0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Single Family Housing	2.13705 / 1.58136	8.7287	0.0703	1.7300e-003	11.0011
Total		9.8529	0.0704	1.7400e-003	12.1311

8.0 Waste Detail

8.1 Mitigation Measures Waste

Institute Recycling and Composting Services

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Annual

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

Category/Year

	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
	MT/yr			
Mitigated	4.8728	0.2880	0.0000	12.0722
Unmitigated	9.7456	0.5760	0.0000	24.1443

8.2 Waste by Land Use

Unmitigated

Land Use	Waste Disposed tons	Total CO2			CO2e
		CH4	N2O	CO2e	
City Park	0.04	8.1200e-003	4.8000e-004	0.0000	0.0201
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Single Family Housing	47.97	9.7375	0.5755	0.0000	24.1242
Total		9.7456	0.5760	0.0000	24.1443

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Annual

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

8.2 Waste by Land Use

Mitigated

Land Use	Waste Disposed tons	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
		MT/yr			
City Park	0.02	4.0600e-003	2.4000e-004	0.0000	0.0101
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Single Family Housing	23.985	4.8687	0.2877	0.0000	12.0621
Total		4.8728	0.2880	0.0000	12.0722

9.0 Operational Offroad

Equipment Type	Number	Hours/Day	Days/Year	Horse Power	Load Factor	Fuel Type
----------------	--------	-----------	-----------	-------------	-------------	-----------

10.0 Stationary Equipment

Fire Pumps and Emergency Generators

Equipment Type	Number	Hours/Day	Hours/Year	Horse Power	Load Factor	Fuel Type
----------------	--------	-----------	------------	-------------	-------------	-----------

Boilers

Equipment Type	Number	Heat Input/Day	Heat Input/Year	Boiler Rating	Fuel Type
----------------	--------	----------------	-----------------	---------------	-----------

User Defined Equipment

Magnolia Ranch Residential - Riverside-South Coast County, Annual

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

Equipment Type	Number
----------------	--------

11.0 Vegetation
